

The Committee on the Administration of Justice (CAJ)
45/47 Donegall Street, Belfast BT1 2BR
Tel: (028) 9096 1122 Fax: (028) 9024 6706
Website: www.caj.org.uk



Winner of the Council of Europe Human Rights Prize

***CAJ Submission to the
UN Sub-Commission on the Prevention of
Discrimination and Protection of Minorities***

July 1998

Submission No. S.71
Price: £1.00

Submission No. S.71
Price: £1.00

What is the CAJ?

The Committee on the Administration of Justice (CAJ) was established in 1981 and is an independent non-governmental organisation affiliated to the International Federation of Human Rights. CAJ takes no position on the constitutional status of Northern Ireland and is firmly opposed to the use of violence for political ends. Its membership is drawn from across the community.

The Committee seeks to ensure the highest standards in the administration of justice in Northern Ireland by ensuring that the government complies with its responsibilities in international human rights law. The CAJ works closely with other domestic and international human rights groups such as Amnesty International, the Lawyers Committee for Human Rights and Human Rights Watch and makes regular submissions to a number of United Nations and European bodies established to protect human rights.

CAJ's activities include - publishing reports, conducting research, holding conferences, monitoring, campaigning locally and internationally, individual casework and providing legal advice. Its areas of work are extensive and include prisons, policing, emergency laws, the criminal justice system, the use of lethal force, children's rights, gender equality, racism, religious discrimination and advocacy for a Bill of Rights.

The organisation has been awarded several international human rights prizes, including the Reebok Human Rights Award and the Council of Europe Human Rights Prize.

United Nations Economic and Social Council

Sub-Commission on the Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities

The Administration of Justice and the Human Rights of Detainees

The Question of Human Rights and States of Emergency

Written statement submitted by the International Federation of Human Rights, a non-governmental organisation in consultative status (Category II).

1. The International Federation of Human Rights and its affiliates, the Committee on the Administration of Justice (CAJ) in Northern Ireland and the Irish Council for Civil Liberties (ICCL) in the Republic of Ireland, continue to be concerned about the situation of human rights in Ireland, North and South. While we warmly welcome the Good Friday Agreement, it is crucial that the human rights aspects of the agreement are fully and speedily implemented if a lasting peace is to be secured. At the time of writing, human rights NGOs are concerned that the government's plans to implement the human rights aspects of the agreement will minimise, rather than maximise their impact. In addition there are a number of matters to which the UK government should give immediate priority, in particular the implementation of the recommendations of the Special Rapporteur on the Independence of Judges and Lawyers, Dato' Param Cumaraswamy, in his recent report on Northern Ireland.
2. In the Republic of Ireland there are also problems arising from the use of emergency powers in response to the Northern Ireland conflict and the Good Friday Agreement provides for new measures to protect human rights in the Republic as well as in Northern Ireland.

Northern Ireland

3. IFHR and CAJ believe that the agreement reached in the multi-party negotiations in Northern Ireland represents a very positive step in terms of securing effective protection for the rights of all in Northern Ireland. The agreement contains commitments to establish a Human Rights Commission and a new Bill of Rights, an independent commission to bring forward proposals for the future of policing, a review of the criminal justice system and measures to promote equality.
4. IFHR and CAJ believe that the human rights aspects of the agreement must be implemented fully. In addition we believe that there are a number of matters where immediate action should be taken to maintain the momentum of the peace process and ensure an end to ongoing human rights violations.
5. Accordingly we urge the UK government to implement the recommendations of the Special Rapporteur on the Independence of Judges and Lawyers following his visit to Northern Ireland and England in October 1997. As NGOs who have monitored the problems he examines, we welcome his report and agree with his conclusions that RUC officers have engaged in systematic abuse of defence lawyers in Northern Ireland and that there is a need for an independent judicial inquiry into allegations of official involvement in the murder of Belfast solicitor Patrick Finucane.
6. The Special Rapporteur also made a number of other important recommendations. We endorse them all, and in particular urge the United Kingdom government to take immediate action in order to bring about:
 - an end to deferral of access to legal advice for those held under emergency laws;
 - the introduction of legislation to give suspects the right to have a solicitor present during police interviews;
 - the restoration of the right to remain silent under police questioning;
 - the extension of the PACE standard for the admissibility of confession evidence to all cases;
 - the restoration of the right to trial by jury;
 - an end to the practice of closed prison visits in England and Wales;
 - the exemption of lawyers' offices from official surveillance; and
 - training on international human rights laws for the judiciary and the police.
7. We note that while the UK government welcomed the Special Rapporteur's report, it rejected all of its recommendations. We were intensely disappointed by this lack of any positive response, and hope that on reflection the government will give serious consideration to the grave issues raised in the Special Rapporteur's report and will draw up specific plans for implementing its proposals urgently.
8. We further note that the government of the Republic of Ireland, concluded that the Special Rapporteur's observations and recommendations deserve very close attention. The Irish government also expressed the view that his report "will be of considerable assistance in addressing the need to build confidence in the administration of justice on the part of both traditions in Northern Ireland." The Special Rapporteur concluded his address to the United Nations Commission on Human Rights in a similar vein, when he said:

"I am quite conscious of the fact that the ongoing peace talks in Northern Ireland are at a crucial stage. It is within this context that I concluded and made these

recommendations in my report with the conviction that respect for the rule of law and human rights with greater confidence in public institutions showing transparency and accountability will enhance the prospects for a lasting peaceful settlement of the conflict."

9. We echo those sentiments. A just and durable peace will depend crucially on an independent, well-informed judiciary and on lawyers unhindered in their duties by abusive treatment at the hands of law enforcement officials. It will also depend on respect for the rule of law, which these proposed reforms would do much to enhance.

The Republic of Ireland

10. Emergency legislation in the Republic of Ireland is based on the Offences Against the State Act 1939. This legislation has no provision for periodic reviews of its operations. It establishes a special non-jury court to try paramilitary-related offences, thus creating a two-tier justice system.
11. Under the emergency legislation, a police officer's opinion is accepted as evidence of an accused person's membership of an illegal organisation, an offence carrying a maximum penalty of ten years imprisonment. It is also an offence for a suspect to refuse to give an account of his/her movements to the police.
12. Despite the cease-fires in Northern Ireland and the corresponding decline in the level of paramilitary violence in the Republic, the non-jury Special Criminal Court has remained in use. The UN Human Rights Committee said as long ago as 1993, before the cease-fires, that the continued use of the Special Criminal Court was no longer justified and expressed its concern about the extent of police powers in the Republic. In a worrying example of the tendency for emergency powers to become part of the ordinary law, the Irish authorities are now sending a number of serious non-paramilitary cases to be tried by the Special Criminal Court. The Good Friday Agreement commits the Irish Government to re-examining its emergency laws. We believe that it should end the use of this legislation and the Special Criminal Court forthwith and return to the ordinary rule of law.
13. In previous submissions (1997) we made reference to allegations of ill treatment of persons arrested in connection with the murder of a police officer in 1996. The Government has failed to respond to calls for an independent inquiry into these allegations. The ICCL, together with British Irish Rights Watch has compiled a report on these allegations which it forwarded recently to the Human Rights Committee. In May of this year, police shot and killed a member of a dissident paramilitary group in controversial circumstances during an attempted armed robbery. The Government has again ignored calls for an independent inquiry, while the powers of inquests are too restricted to allow for a thorough investigation of this incident. We believe that fully independent inquiries into these incidents are vital to maintaining confidence in the integrity of the police force and sending a clear signal that police misconduct will not be tolerated.
14. The Good Friday Agreement also commits the Irish Government to re-considering a decision not to incorporate the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) into its domestic law and to establish a Human Rights

Commission in parallel with one in Northern Ireland. We welcome these commitments and hope that the Irish Government will agree to incorporate the ECHR so as to provide the same level of access to the Convention as will be available in Northern Ireland. We very much welcome the commitment to establish Human Rights Commissions in both Irish jurisdictions but we are concerned that they may be given less power and independence than is recommended in the Paris Principles on National Institutions. We believe that the British and Irish governments should adhere to the best international practice in setting up these two commissions and give them the widest possible powers and remits.

15. We are also concerned that a still relatively small increase in the number of asylum seekers and refugees arriving in the Republic of Ireland has resulted in a disturbing growth of racism and has been met with a restrictive and inadequate response by Government. We believe that the Irish government should take strong action to combat the growth of racism and should implement a structured programme for the reception and welfare of refugees and a transparent and independent mechanism for deciding on their applications for asylum.

Conclusion:

16. The peace agreement creates an unprecedented opportunity to put in place a new human rights regime that can give confidence to bruised and suspicious communities that they will not again be subject to the abuses of human rights that played a major role in initiating and sustaining the conflict in Northern Ireland with its spill-over effects on Great Britain and the Republic of Ireland. The proposed political settlement will only succeed, however, if all communities can be assured that the law will be enforced fairly and impartially by security forces that enjoy the confidence and respect of all communities.

**Committee on the Administration of Justice
45-47 Donegall Street
Belfast BT1 2FG**

*Extra copies of this document can be obtained from the above address
A publications catalogue and details of CAJ membership
are also available on request from the above address*