

CAJ's submission no. S357

CAJ's submission to the Labour Relations Agency's consultation on its draft Equality Scheme

September 2011

What is the CAJ?

The Committee on the Administration of Justice (CAJ) was established in 1981 and is an independent non-governmental organisation affiliated to the International Federation of Human Rights. CAJ takes no position on the constitutional status of Northern Ireland and is firmly opposed to the use of violence for political ends. Its membership is drawn from across the community.

The Committee seeks to ensure the highest standards in the administration of justice in Northern Ireland by ensuring that the government complies with its responsibilities in international human rights law. The CAJ works closely with other domestic and international human rights groups and makes regular submissions to a number of United Nations and European bodies established to protect human rights.

CAJ's activities include - publishing reports, conducting research, holding conferences, campaigning locally and internationally, individual casework and providing legal advice. Its areas of work are extensive and include policing, emergency laws and the criminal justice system, equality and advocacy for a Bill of Rights.

CAJ however would not be in a position to do any of this work, without the financial help of its funders, individual donors and charitable trusts (since CAJ does not take government funding). We would like to take this opportunity to thank Atlantic Philanthropies, Barrow Cadbury Trust, Joseph Rowntree Charitable Trust and the Oak Foundation.

The organisation has been awarded several international human rights prizes, including the Reebok Human Rights Award and the Council of Europe Human Rights Prize.

Submission to the Labour Relations Agency's Consultation on its draft Equality Scheme

The Committee on the Administration of Justice ('CAJ') is an independent human rights organisation with cross community membership in Northern Ireland and beyond. It was established in 1981 and lobbies and campaigns on a broad range of human rights issues. CAJ seeks to secure the highest standards in the administration of justice in Northern Ireland by ensuring that the government complies with its obligations in international human rights law. CAJ is co-convenor of the Equality Coalition. We welcome the opportunity to comment on the Labour Relations Agency's (LRA) consultation on its draft equality scheme.

CAJ acknowledges LRA's efforts in producing a comprehensive draft equality scheme. We had the opportunity to engage with LRA at an Equality Coalition event in June 2011, which we found to be very helpful. We are encouraged that LRA has adopted the ECNI model scheme as a basis for its draft equality scheme, and expanded on it in parts. In particular, we welcome LRA's commitment to post each screening template on its website, and inform consultees when each template is complete. This will help civil society to input to the screening process in a timely manner. In this brief submission, we will suggest a couple of additions, beyond the ECNI model scheme, which would strengthen the LRA equality scheme in practice.

First, we note that LRA has not consulted upon its audit of inequalities. The ECNI has made clear that the consultation on the audit of inequalities is implicit in the request for consultation on the draft action plan. The publication or consultation of audit of inequalities would help civil society inform LRA of any irregularities or omissions arising, which are more difficult to identify within the action plan. Commentary would also be more constructive, given that the audit is not constrained by resources and strategic plans, as is likely the case for the draft action plan. We therefore recommend that LRA publish and/or consult on its draft audit of inequalities.

Further, we recommend that LRA commits to publish and consult on its audit of inequalities and action plan in the future, by explicitly adding them as documents for which LRA will seek input from its stakeholders and consult upon (currently only changes to the draft action plan are referred to at para

2.12 LRA draft equality scheme). Please note that, due to a lack of resources, we have not reviewed the LRA draft action plan.

We would like to remind LRA that, in addition to the s75 action-based plan, s75 continues to apply to all LRA policies in relation to all nine equality groups. Although we recognise the positive impacts that the action-based plan could have on addressing inequalities, we are also aware that it could have a limiting influence on the operation of s75 outside the specific priorities identified within it. Also, newly emerging inequalities may not be captured in the original audit of inequalities. We therefore hope that any data gaps identified in the audit of inequalities will be addressed, and that the audit will provide a useful tool for policy-makers when applying s75 beyond the scope of the action-based plan.

Secondly, CAJ recommends that LRA include statements in its equality scheme to explain the operation of s75, which is often misunderstood. In particular, we recommend that the LRA equality scheme include an explanation of the relationship between the equality duty (s75(1)) and the good relations duty (s75(2)). The ECNI Guide for Public Authorities¹ ('the ECNI Guide') clearly states that 'good relations cannot be based on inequality' and confirms that 'the term due regard was intended to be, and is, stronger than regard'.² It also clarifies that 'the discharge of the good relations duty cannot be an alternative to or cannot set aside the equality of opportunity duty'.³

As the LRA equality scheme will be used as a point of reference for its staff's application of s75 and any training provided, it is crucial that the equality scheme itself contains clear statements on the relationship and difference between the two s75 duties. Similarly, the ECNI Guide provides useful statements on positive action and multiple identities. We believe that the inclusion of these statements, or similar, would help staff to understand s75. For example, it is a common misunderstanding that 'universal application' implies a neutral impact on equality groups, when it can, of course, exacerbate inequalities.

¹ Section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998: A Guide for Public Authorities, ECNI, April 2010, found at

<http://www.equalityni.org/archive/pdf/S75GuideforPublicAuthoritiesApril2010.pdf>.

² Ibid at page 26.

³ Ibid, at page 27.

The useful passages in the ECNI Guide are as follows: ‘The promotion of equality of opportunity entails more than the elimination of discrimination. It requires proactive measures to be taken to facilitate the promotion of equality of opportunity between the categories identified in Section 75 (1). The equality duty should not deter a public authority from taking action to address disadvantage among particular sections of society – indeed such action may be an appropriate response to addressing inequalities. There is no conflict between the Section 75 statutory duties and other affirmative action measures or positive action measures which a public authority may undertake under anti-discrimination laws.’⁴

If you would like any further information, please do not hesitate to contact CAJ at the details listed below.

Committee on the Administration of Justice
September 2011

⁴ Ibid, at page 25. At the same page, the ECNI Guide also states: ‘Individuals do not neatly fit into one Section 75 category or another, individuals will invariably be members of a number of Section 75 categories. Thus Section 75 enables multiple identity issues to be considered as well as issues regarding particular categories of people.’