Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Westminster Medical Centre (1-570789209)

Inspection date: 31 August and 1 September 2022

Date of data download: 31 August 2022

Overall rating: Good

Safe Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse and were updating the safeguarding registers at the time of inspection.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Υ
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Υ
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

At the time of the inspection the safeguarding processes and registers were under review to corroborate the registers with the local safeguarding team.

Relevant information was shared with the out of hours service and the provider was reviewing the registers to make sure it was upto date.

We raised this with the provider during our inspection to address this.

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current UK Health and Security Agency (UKHSA) guidance if relevant to role.	Partial
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

answers and additional evidence:

We reviewed two staff records and found staff vaccination status had been requested and the recruitment records were being updated.

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment: June 2022	Partial
There was a fire procedure.	Y
Date of fire risk assessment: 16 March 2022 Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Generic Health and safety risk assessments had been completed internally. The risk assessments identified recommended controls but these were not specific to the practice. For example, the hazardous substances assessment did not include what substances were used at the practice.

There were actions from the fire risk assessment that had not been completed at the time of the inspection. For example, oxygen cylinders were stored on their side instead of upright in a well ventilated area. This was raised with the provider who addressed this following our inspection.

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out. Date of last infection prevention and control audit: 21 March 2022	Y
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Partial
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Some recommendations from the infection and control audit were outstanding at the time of the inspection. For example, refurbishment of the flooring due to old fire safety strips peeling and making cleaning difficult. The provider had plans to address this.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Υ
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Partial
The practice was equipped to respond to medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Υ
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Υ
There were enough staff to provide appointments and prevent staff from working excessive hours	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The provider had a generic induction checklist and this was not tailored to individual roles.	

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Υ
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented, contained the required information and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Partial
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non- clinical staff.	Partial

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Review of patient records in relation to the clinical searches identified that care records were managed in line with current guidance.

We identified a test result was waiting for review by a staff member who had left the practice as the inboxes had not been amended following recent staff changes. The provider addressed this straight away and put process in place to ensure that it would not happen again.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimization.

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.94	0.92	0.82	No statistical variation
The number of prescription items for co- amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	4.3%	8.2%	8.5%	Variation (positive)
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	5.68	5.42	5.31	No statistical variation
Total items prescribed of Pregabalin or Gabapentin per 1,000 patients (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	333.1‰	224.3‰	128.0‰	Variation (negative)
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)		0.88	0.59	Tending towards variation (negative)
Number of unique patients prescribed multiple psychotropics per 1,000 patients (01/10/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)		11.1‰	6.8‰	Variation (negative)

Note: ‰ means *per 1,000* and it is **not** a percentage.

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial	
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.		
Blank prescriptions were kept securely, and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Y	
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y	

The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review. There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines. The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services. There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing. The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength). There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer. If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance. The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient	ırtial	
of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines. The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services. There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing. The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength). There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer. If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance. The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient		
changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services. There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing. The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength). There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer. If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance. The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient		
including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing. The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength). There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer. If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance. The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient	,	
unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength). There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer. If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance. The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient	r	
England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer. If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance. The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient	,	
written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance. The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient	,	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.		
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.		
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.		
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	,	
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with UKHSA guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	,	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence, including from clinical searches.

As part of this inspection a number of set clinical record searches were undertaken by a CQC GP specialist advisor. The records of patients prescribed certain high-risk medicines were checked to ensure the required monitoring was taking place. These searches were visible to the practice.

We saw the provider had made improvements to systems and processes for medicines management following shared learning from another provider.

The provider was part of the primary care network community health team. This team provided a support bridge for patients on psychotropic medicines whilst patients waited for secondary care appointments. Clinics were available at the practice two days a week.

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events		
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Υ	
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.		
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.		
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.		
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.		
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:		
Number of events that required action:	15	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We were told the practice had identified 15 incidents within the last 12 months. Three of these had been escalated as significant events and learning had been identified and shared with staff.

We saw learning was identified and changes had been made to make improvements.

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
Needlestick injury	Staff training needs identified.
Death notification	Systems and processes for the practice had been followed.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial	
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Υ	
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.		
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The clinical pharmacist reviewed the safety alerts initially and completed any actions required. This was shared and reviewed with medical staff at the weekly clinical meeting.		

Effective

Rating: Good

QOF requirements were modified by NHS England and Improvement for 2020/21 to recognise the need to reprioritise aspects of care which were not directly related to COVID-19. This meant that QOF payments were calculated differently. For inspections carried out from 1 October 2021, our reports will not include QOF indicators. In determining judgements in relation to effective care, we have considered other evidence as set out below.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice had prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients during the pandemic	Y

Effective care for the practice population

Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Management of people with long term conditions

Findings

As part of our inspection, we conducted a series of patient clinical record searches to review the practice's procedures for the management of patients with long term conditions.

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health
 and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked
 with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care
 delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	69	83	83.1%	Below 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	71	98	72.4%	Below 80% uptake

The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	68	98	69.4%	Below 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	70	98	71.4%	Below 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 5 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (two doses of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	59	88	67.0%	Below 80% uptake

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice was below the minimum 90% World Health Organisation target for the childhood immunisation uptake indicators.
- The small number of patients registered at this practice affected the overall percentage.
- At the time of the inspection the practice nurse worked one day a week to continue to deliver childhood vaccination clinics to improve uptake. An advert for a new practice nurse was in progress.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for persons aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for persons aged 50 to 64). (Snapshot date: 31/03/2022) (UK Health and Security Agency)	57.9%	N/A	80% Target	Below 70% uptake
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	54.9%	54.0%	61.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	47.1%	53.2%	66.8%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	30.6%	51.6%	55.4%	Tending towards variation (negative)

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Any additional evidence or comments

 At the time of the inspection the practice nurse appintments for cervical smears were available one day a week. An advert for a new practice nurse was in progress.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Υ
The practice had a programme of targeted quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Υ
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Υ

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

The practice had completed two cycle clinical audits for patients with gestational diabetes and atrial fibrillation prescribed anticoagulants.

Other clinical audits included missed diagnosis for type two diabetes, clozapine and resuscitation. The audits identified actions for improvements based on The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance.

- The missed diagnosis for type two diabetes identified a coding issue. Patients results had been reviewed and records had been coded correctly.
- The clozapine audit identified the medicine precription had started in secondary care. Patients
 were reviewed and given information leaflets to ensure patients were informed of the potential
 side effects.
- The resuscitation audit identified gaps such as having a review process in place, ensuing the signed copy was scanned into the patient record when completed electronically and the importance of coding. Learning had been identified and changes were made to the new doctors induction pack.

Effective staffing

The practice was able demonstrate staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Υ
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Υ
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Υ
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Υ
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Υ

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Υ
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Υ
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity.	Y
Fundamentary of any engineer and additional avidance.	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice worked with the local primary care network with improving access to psychological therapies and held two clinics a week at the practice.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Υ
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Υ
Do Not Attempt Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (DNACPR) decisions were made in line with relevant legislation and were appropriate.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Our clinical review of notes where a DNACPR decision had been recorded identified that patients' views had been sought and respected. We saw that information had been shared with relevant agencies.

The practice had completed a DNACPR audit and were working through the actions identified at the time of the inspection.

Well-led

Rating: Requires improvement

At this inspection 31 August and 1 September 2022 we rated the practice as requires improvement for providing well-led services because:

• The overall governance arrangements were not established at the time of the inspection. The practice did not have consistently clear and effective processes for managing non-clinical risks, issues and performance.

Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Υ
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Partial
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Υ
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Partial

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

At the time of the inspection an acting practice manager had recently been appointed until a new practice manager could be recruited. Some of the information required to maintain oversight of the governance and risks at the practice was not accessible to the provider. The provider was working on this to gain access to documents or in the process of requesting copies from third parties.

The provider told us the biggest challenge for the practice had been staffing due to resignations and maternity leave. The provider acknowledged they had seen a higher than expected turnover of staff in the last few years due to the changing landscape and staff had found other employment opportunities.

The partners worked alternative days on site to ensure support was available for staff. The partners took responsibility for the clinical oversight of the practice.

There were no immediate succession plans for the leadership team.

Vision and strategy

The practice were reviewing the vision and strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	N
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	N

Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	N

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice vision and strategy were under review by the provider and were yet to be developed with input from staff and patients. The provider had plans but these were not yet written down.

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care. Staffing challenges had impacted on staff culture.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	
When people were affected by things that went wrong, they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Y
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	•

Staff told us the staffing shortages had been difficult with the increased workload but had improved recently with the recruitment of new staff.

Governance arrangements

The overall governance arrangements were under review.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	N
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Partial
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

At the time of the inspection the governance arrangements for the practice were under review. There were shortfalls with document management and governance arrangements. For example, information relating to third party assurance assessments had not been accessible to the partners. This had been addressed and the provider was working through the outstanding actions.

The provider was also reviewing the practice management roles and responsibilities prior to recruitment for a new practice manager.

Managing risks, issues and performance

The practice did not have clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	N
There were processes to manage performance.	
There was a quality improvement programme in place.	Υ
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	N
A major incident plan was in place.	Υ
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Partial

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The provider was reviewing the assurance systems in place following issues identified prior to the inspection. The systems for non-clinical risk assessments and monitoring had not taken place. Records were kept but not available to the registered person. The provider was in the process of reviewing the assurance systems at the time of the inspection.

The practice had systems in place to continue to deliver services, respond to risk and meet patients' needs during the pandemic

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had adapted how it offered appointments to meet the needs of patients during the pandemic.	Y
The needs of vulnerable people (including those who might be digitally excluded) had been considered in relation to access.	Y
There were systems in place to identify and manage patients who needed a face-to-face appointment.	Υ
The practice actively monitored the quality of access and made improvements in response to findings.	Y
There were recovery plans in place to manage backlogs of activity and delays to treatment.	Y
Changes had been made to infection control arrangements to protect staff and patients using the service.	Y
Staff were supported to work remotely where applicable.	Y

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to monitor and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed.	Y

Governance and oversight of remote services

	Y/N/Partial
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	
Patients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	
The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and nanaged.	
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	
Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.	Y
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	Y

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice did not always involve the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

mg. quanty and cactaman car.	
	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Partial
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	N
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Partial
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	-

At the time of the inspection there was no active patient participation group. The practice were trying to recruit more members to the group. The service used complaints and internal patient surveys to gather feedback to plan and improve the delivery of services.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were evidence of systems and processes for learning, improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
The provider was working with the local primary care network to support services within the community.	

The provider was working with the local primary care network to support services within the community. For example, recruiting care navigators to support the local nursing homes.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- UKHSA: UK Health and Security Agency.
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- ‰ = per thousand.