

Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Dr Brian Perkins (1-526972681)

Inspection date: 18 October 2022

Date of data download: 14 September 2022

Overall rating: Good

Safe

Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Y
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Y
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Y
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	Y
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Y
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Y
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">All staff had received safeguarding training to appropriate levels in line with guidance.There is a risk register in place for vulnerable patients which was monitored and kept up to date.	

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current UK Health and Security Agency (UKHSA) guidance if relevant to role.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">There were clear recruitment policies and procedures in place, including details of the checks required for locum staff.	

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment: 16/2/2022	Y
There was a fire procedure. Date of fire risk assessment: 15/11/2021	Y
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice used outside providers to carry out an annual PAT testing and calibration of equipment. We saw evidence which showed these had both been completed. The fire risk assessment was completed for the whole building and there were no actions required which affected the practice. 	

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out. Date of last infection prevention and control audit: 30/06/2021	Y
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Y
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were followed, and guidance was updated to reflect the latest COVID-19 guidance. We observed the premises to be clean and tidy and personal protective equipment and hand sanitiser was readily available for staff and patients. Staff had received up to date training. Where actions had been highlighted as part of the IPC audit, these had been actioned. 	

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Y
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Y
The practice was equipped to respond to medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Y
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Y

There were enough staff to provide appointments and prevent staff from working excessive hours	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was a clear procedure in place for staff to follow should they encounter acutely unwell patients either on the telephone or within the practice. Staff told us they worked together to cover staff absences and that there were enough staff to provide appointments. 	

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation. ¹	Y
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented, contained the required information and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Y
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Y

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimization was inconsistent.

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	1.60	0.94	0.82	Significant Variation (negative)
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected	15.1%	7.0%	8.5%	Variation (negative)

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)				
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	5.75	4.45	5.31	No statistical variation
Total items prescribed of Pregabalin or Gabapentin per 1,000 patients (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	393.1‰	186.6‰	128.0‰	Variation (negative)
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	4.82	0.84	0.59	Significant Variation (negative)
Number of unique patients prescribed multiple psychotropics per 1,000 patients (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	9.8‰	7.1‰	6.8‰	No statistical variation

Note: ‰ means *per 1,000* and it is **not** a percentage.

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Y
Blank prescriptions were kept securely, and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Y
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Y
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines. ¹	Partial
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Y
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing. ²	Y
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Y
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Y

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	N/A
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Y
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Y
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Y
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Y
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with UKHSA guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence, including from clinical searches.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As part of the inspection a number of set clinical record searches were undertaken by a CQC GP specialist advisor. The records of patients prescribed certain high-risk medicines were checked to ensure the required monitoring was taking place. These searches were visible to the practice. The records we examined showed for some high-risk medicines monitoring was not always carried out in line with guidance. However immediately following the inspection the provider submitted details of actions taken, for example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Patients prescribed novel anticoagulants (NOAC) had not always had creatinine clearance (CrCl) calculated prior to prescribing. Following the inspection, they audited all patients and CrCl was calculated. For those patients who required additional monitoring to enable CrCl to be calculated had been contacted. A review of five patients prescribed Benzodiazepine and Z drugs found an inconsistent approach to documenting reviews and monitoring. This was discussed with the provider who told us discussion took place when medicines were initiated and reviewed but acknowledged this was not always fully documented within clinical records. Of those where a medication review was overdue, we saw the plan in place to schedule reviews. In addition, the practice planned to review all patient prescribed benzodiazepines or Z-drugs and where necessary arrange consultations with patients to discuss a phased reduction. Discussions will be clearly documented within clinical records. Data showed the practice prescribed a higher number of hypnotics when compared to the local and England average. This was discussed with the provider, and it was noted there had been a gradual decline in prescribing for example, in June 2021 prescribing levels were at 5.02 and reduced to 4.82 by June 2022. Following the inspection, they initiated a quality improvement plan alongside the primary care network in relation to hypnotic prescribing. They were also exploring opportunities of working with other specialist services to support patients where it may be appropriate and safe to wean patients off specific medicines. During the clinical searches the GP specialist advisor also reviewed a sample of five consultations which included a medication review. They found in all cases detailed medication reviews had been completed. Antibiotic prescribing was higher than local and England averages, some of this was due to the higher prevalence of patients with COPD 4.5% compared to 1.9% England average. In particular medicine issued as part of a rescue pack. The practice was also working with the local Integrated 	

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
Care System (Oldham) to review antimicrobial prescribing and review guidance to bring prescribing in line with the Greater Manchester Medicines Management Group (GMMM) guidelines. Where it is deemed clinically appropriate to prescribe outside of guidelines this will be detailed in clinical records.	

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	14
Number of events that required action:	14
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff reported they were familiar with the system and were encouraged to report incidents. We saw they were discussed in practice meetings. All formal complaints were also treated as significant events, assessed and reflected upon as a team as a learning tool for improvement. 	

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts. ¹	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice had a system in place to review and action safety alerts as appropriate and these were discussed during practice meetings. The GP specialist advisor reviewed a sample of patients prescribed a combination of medicines which were subject to a Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) alert to and found appropriate action had been taken. 	

Effective

Rating: Good

QOF requirements were modified by NHS England and Improvement for 2020/21 to recognise the need to reprioritise aspects of care which were not directly related to COVID-19. This meant that QOF payments were calculated differently. For inspections carried out from 1 October 2021, our reports will not include QOF indicators. In determining judgements in relation to effective care, we have considered other evidence as set out below.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing. ¹	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way. ²	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated. ³	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients.	Y

Effective care for the practice population

Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.• Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.• Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.• The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.• Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.• All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check.

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Management of people with long term conditions

Findings

- As part of the inspection a number of set clinical record searches were undertaken by a CQC GP specialist advisor. The records of patients with long term conditions were checked to ensure the required assessment and reviews were taking place. These searches were visible to the practice. The records we examined provided evidence that overall patients with long term conditions had been monitored and reviewed appropriately. However, we noted for those patients who had received treatment for an acute exacerbation of asthma that they were not consistently followed up by a clinician. This was discussed with the provider and they told us they would work with the clinical team and pharmacist to review the protocol to ensure where appropriate follow ups are carried out in a timely manner.
- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. The clinical team worked in a coordinated way to arrange and manage chronic disease reviews such as Diabetes and Hypertension. The GP would list the requirements of the reviews in terms of observations to note, investigations and targets.
- For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	28	30	93.3%	Met 90% minimum

The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	38	38	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	38	38	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	38	38	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 5 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (two doses of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	33	35	94.3%	Met 90% minimum

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: <https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for persons aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for persons aged 50 to 64). (Snapshot date: 31/03/2022) (UK Health and Security Agency)	78.4%	N/A	80% Target	Below 80% target
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	61.0%	58.4%	61.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	62.9%	58.7%	66.8%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	60.0%	50.4%	55.4%	No statistical variation

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Any additional evidence or comments

During Lockdown and continuously from then the whole practice team had monitored Cytology uptake and childhood Immunisations and were constantly ensuring all patients who required appointments had them booked with the appropriate clinician.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a programme of targeted quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Y

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

- The practice regularly audited achievements and prescribing data using local and national data, supported by the primary care network clinical pharmacist working within the practice.
- We saw there was a range of quality improvement work carried out within the practice. For example:
 - There was a learning disability quality improvement project, whereby the practice reviewed the accuracy of the GP learning disability register. They looked to improve uptake of annual health checks, medications optimisation and addressed reasonable adjustments for communication.
 - Early cancer diagnosis quality improvement project was set up, which included an analysis of cancer cases, and identifying improvements where appropriate, including additional safety netting.
 - A sodium valproate audit had been completed to ensure prescribing was in line with guidance.

Effective staffing

The practice was able staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Y
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Y

Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice worked in a multidisciplinary way with colleagues across health and social care to ensure coordinated care was in place for vulnerable patients and to support patients and their families at the end of life. A focused care practitioner worked within the practice. The focused care practitioner worked with individual patients and households in the community, providing a wide range of support and advocacy. They supported patients with social issues which may impact on their health and wellbeing. 	

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
Do Not Attempt Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (DNACPR) decisions were made in line with relevant legislation and were appropriate. ¹	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence Our clinical review of notes where a DNACPR decision had been recorded, showed where possible the patients views had been sought and respected, and where a patient did not have capacity the views of family were sought. We saw that information had been shared with relevant agencies.	

Responsive

Access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected access to GP practices and presented many challenges. In order to keep both patients and staff safe early in the pandemic practices were asked by NHS England and Improvement to assess patients remotely (for example by telephone or video consultation) when contacting the practice and to only see patients in the practice when deemed to be clinically appropriate to do so. Following the changes in national guidance during the summer of 2021 there has been a more flexible approach to patients interacting with their practice. During the pandemic there was a significant increase in telephone and online consultations compared to patients being predominantly seen in a face to face setting.

	Y/N/Partial
Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimize the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice	Y
The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online)	Y
Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs	Y
There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment	Y
Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised	Y
There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages)	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- They practice offered on the day appointments and urgent on the day appointments. Patients were offered a choice of telephone, face to face or video appointments.
- The practice did not currently offer online consultations; however, patients were able to contact the practice by email.
- The practice website provided patients with a range of information on how they could access care and treatment in hours and out of hours. The website could be translated into a range of languages.
- The most recent results from the National GP survey carried out (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022) showed no statistical variation when compared to local and national averages. For example, the percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the appointment they were offered was 73% in line with the national average of 72%.
- The practice continued to be aware of the challenges patients faced with the telephone system, they continued to raise concerns with the telecom provider, however this was out of the practices hands and impacted on all practices within the building.

Well-led

Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Y
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Y
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Y
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The GP requested anonymous feedback from colleagues and patients as part of their own revalidation. We noted from the results that out of 15 colleagues who completed the confidential survey, they all stated the GP worked effectively with colleagues and was committed to the care and wellbeing of patients. A survey was also sent to 28 patients and 2 parents or guardians. A summary of results showed all 30 respondents stated the doctor made them feel at ease and very good at listening to them. 	

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
--	-------------

The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Y

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Y
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y
When people were affected by things that went wrong, they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Y
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Y
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Y
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work for the service. Staff told us there was an open culture within the practice and they had the opportunity to raise any issues at team meetings and felt confident and supported in doing so. The practice achieved the Gold award for Pride in practice and this was displayed in all clinical areas. 	

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Y
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Y
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y
There are recovery plans in place to manage backlogs of activity and delays to treatment.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was a clear management and leadership structure in place and leads in post for key areas. 	

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes to manage performance.	Y
There was a quality improvement programme in place.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A programme of quality improvement, clinical and internal audits were used to monitor quality and to make improvements.• As part of the CCG quality assurance visit in April 2022 it was noted that the practice ranked 1st of 39 based on the CCG PC Scorecard (Jan 2022) keeping a consistent placing as per the previous quarter (Sept 2022).	

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to monitor and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed.	Y

Governance and oversight of remote services

	Y/N/Partial
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	Y
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Y
Patients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	Y

The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and managed.	Y
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	Y
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	Y
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	Y
Staff are supported to work remotely where applicable.	Y

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Y
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	N
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice worked alongside the Primary Care Network and Integrated Care Systems (ICS) Oldham to work together and identify ways in which they can meet the needs of patients. The GP requested anonymous feedback from patients as part of their own revalidation. We noted from the results that out of 28 patients and 2 parents or guardians who completed the confidential survey, showed all 30 respondents stated the doctor made them feel at ease and very good at listening to them. The practice had been unable to identify a group of patients to form a patient participations group and were in early discussions with other practices within the PCN to set up a joint PPG as an additional means of seeking patients' views. The practice was set to re-establish the friends and family test as a means of gathering ongoing feedback from patients. 	

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice. The practice team was forward thinking and looked to develop in ways which supported the patient population.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practice's performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤ -3
Variation (positive)	> -3 and ≤ -2
Tending towards variation (positive)	> -2 and ≤ -1.5
No statistical variation	< 1.5 and > -1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥ 1.5 and < 2
Variation (negative)	≥ 2 and < 3
Significant variation (negative)	≥ 3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: <https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- **UKHSA:** UK Health and Security Agency.
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- $\%$ = per thousand.

