

Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Consett Medical Centre (1-549441837)

Inspection date: 25 November 2022

Date of data download: 09 November 2022

Overall rating: Requires Improvement

At our last inspection in January 2016 we rated the practice as Good overall and Good in all of the key questions. At this inspection we found the practice were not ensuring people's safety and patient feedback on their ability to access services offered was poor.

Safe Improvement

Rating:

Requires

At the last inspection in January 2016 we rated the practice as good for providing safe services. However, at this inspection we found that tasks within the clinical records system had not been completed in a timely manner.

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Y
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Y
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Y
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	Y
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Y
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Y

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Y

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current UK Health and Security Agency (UKHSA) guidance if relevant to role.	Y

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment: November 2022	Y
There was a fire procedure. Date of fire risk assessment: November 2022	Y
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Y

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out. Date of last infection prevention and control audit: June 2022	Y
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Y
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Y
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Y
The practice was equipped to respond to medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Y
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Y

There were enough staff to provide appointments and prevent staff from working excessive hours	Partial
The leadership team told us on occasion GP partners at the practice worked above their normal hours to ensure patients who needed a consultation received one. There was a GP recruitment plan in place and leaders hoped this would help alleviate this issue.	
Some staff told us they had not had sepsis training, we spoke to the practice manager who provided evidence that this important training was scheduled to take place in December 2022.	

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff did not have the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation. ¹	N
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented, contained the required information and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	N
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Y
As part of our clinical searches we identified 5000 outstanding tasks. These tasks were both clinical and administrative. The practice had identified this as an issue prior to our inspection. They had analysed the tasks to see why this backlog had occurred and to prioritise areas of risk. They told us that the clinical system had not been updated correctly on the completion of tasks. They had identified this was an issue with clinicians and retraining had taken place. A plan was in place to ensure the backlog was cleared and all clinicians were involved in this. On the day of our clinical searches we saw evidence that tasks were being completed by clinicians correctly and the overall number of outstanding tasks reduced.	

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Note: From July 2022, CCGs have been replaced with Sub Integrated Care Board Locations (SICBL) and CCG ODS codes have been retained as part of this.

Indicator	Practice	SICBL average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	1.00	1.05	0.82	No statistical variation
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	8.4%	6.8%	8.5%	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	4.23	4.70	5.31	Variation (positive)
Total items prescribed of Pregabalin or Gabapentin per 1,000 patients (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	331.9‰	265.9‰	128.0‰	Variation (negative)
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	0.69	0.43	0.59	No statistical variation
Number of unique patients prescribed multiple psychotropics per 1,000 patients (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	6.2‰	6.1‰	6.8‰	No statistical variation

Note: ‰ means *per 1,000* and it is **not** a percentage.

i	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Y
Blank prescriptions were kept securely, and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Y
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Y
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of effective medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines. ¹	Y
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Y

i	Y/N/Partial
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing. ²	Y
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Partial
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Y
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	Y
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Y
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Y
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Y
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Y
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with UKHSA guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Y
During our clinical searches we examined the prescribing of pregabalin and gabapentin which are used in the treatment of epilepsy and anxiety. Both these medicines are schedule 3 controlled drugs which means there are additional requirements needed to ensure these medicines are prescribed safely. Our searches indicated the current management of these medicines appeared to be effective. However, due to the large amount of outstanding medication reviews we could not be assured. We looked at additional information we hold which indicated the practice's performance figures were improving slowly but steadily. The practice told us they were actively engaged in working with their pharmacist in order to ensure the over prescribing of these medicines continued to reduce. For example, they had recently identified and written to women of childbearing age to discuss the prescribing of these medicines.	

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y

There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	6
Number of events that required action:	6

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
Missed diagnosis seen multiple times	Family history had been missed, reflective practice presentation on incident to all clinicians by clinicians as part of a learning event
Unexpected death	Econsult now includes automatic signposting to crisis team and is backed up by a phone text

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts. ¹	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y
We saw examples of actions taken on recent alerts, for example, regarding sodium valproate.	

Effective

Rating: Good

QOF requirements were modified by NHS England and Improvement for 2020/21 to recognise the need to reprioritise aspects of care which were not directly related to COVID-19. This meant that QOF payments were calculated differently. For inspections carried out from 1 October 2021, our reports will not include QOF indicators. In determining judgements in relation to effective care, we have considered other evidence as set out below.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing. ¹	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way. ²	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated. ³	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients.	Y

Effective care for the practice population

Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.• Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.• Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.• The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.• Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.• All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check.• End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.

- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Management of people with long term conditions

Findings

- As part of our clinical searches we identified 5000 outstanding clinical tasks, some of which involved the management of long term conditions. The practice had identified this as an issue prior to our inspection and were working to address this.
- Patients with long-term conditions were offered an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. However, due to the amount of outstanding clinical tasks it was difficult to assess the effectiveness of this though it was clear patients with long term conditions were being seen regularly. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	148	151	98.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster)	144	150	96.0%	Met 95% WHO based target

(01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)				
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	144	150	96.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	144	150	96.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 5 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (two doses of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	175	180	97.2%	Met 95% WHO based target

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: <https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Cancer Indicators	Practice	SICBL average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for persons aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for persons aged 50 to 64). (Snapshot date: 30/06/2022) (UK Health and Security Agency)	73.1%	N/A	80% Target	Below 80% target
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	13.8%	63.6%	61.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	68.6%	69.0%	66.8%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	65.7%	60.7%	55.4%	No statistical variation

Note: From July 2022, CCGs have been replaced with Sub Integrated Care Board Locations (SICBL) and CCG ODS codes have been retained as part of this.

Any additional evidence or comments

The service provided unverified figures that indicated their uptake for cervical screening was slightly over 80%. The practice provided telephone appointments for patients to help reassure them about the screening process. In addition, they also provided data indicating their breast screening for the time period shown was above the national average. Breast screening was suspended for a period as part of the government's measures against COVID-19.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a programme of targeted quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Y

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

The practice took part in the primary care network quality and safety improvement programme. The programme had led to improvements in anti-coagulant monitoring and the prescribing of mental health medicines.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Y
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y

The practice has a comprehensive training and development plan for their staff. This included management courses, digital champion courses, phlebotomy courses and nursing staff were undertaking additional training on paediatrics.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity.	Y

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
Do Not Attempt Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (DNACPR) decisions were made in line with relevant legislation and were appropriate. ¹	Y
Our clinical review of notes where a DNACPR decision had been recorded identified that, where possible the patient's views had been sought and respected and we saw that information had been shared with relevant agencies.	

Responsive Improvement

Rating:

Requires

We rated the practice as requires improvement for providing responsive services because:

- Patient feedback on their ability to access the services offered was poor.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Y
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Y
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Y
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Y

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8.30am – 8pm
Tuesday	8.30am – 8pm
Wednesday	8.30am – 8pm
Thursday	8.30am - 5.30pm
Friday	8.30am - 5.30pm

Appointments available:	
Monday	As above
Tuesday	As above
Wednesday	As above
Thursday	As above
Friday	As above
	Out of hours service provided by 111

Further information about how the practice is responding to the needs of their population

- Patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- In recognition of the religious and cultural observances of some patients, the GP would respond quickly, often outside of normal working hours, to provide the necessary death certification to enable prompt burial in line with families' wishes when bereavement occurred.
- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.
- The practice liaised regularly with the community services to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Additional nurse appointments were available until 8pm on a Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday for school age children so that they did not need to miss school.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- The practice was open until 8 pm on a Monday to Wednesday. Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area, as the practice was a member of a GP federation. Appointments were available on Saturday and Sunday from 10am until 1pm.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, Travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and Travellers.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

Access to the service

People were not always able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

	Y/N/Partial
Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimize the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice	Partial

The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online)	Y
Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs	Partial
There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment (including those who might be digitally excluded).	Y
Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised	Y
There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages)	Y

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: From July 2022, CCGs have been replaced with Sub Integrated Care Board Locations (SICBL) and CCG ODS codes have been retained as part of this.

Indicator	Practice	SICBL average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	16.8%	N/A	52.7%	Significant Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	41.3%	59.2%	56.2%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	34.7%	55.6%	55.2%	Tending towards variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	66.3%	75.8%	71.9%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice had received a large volume of negative feedback about the way they managed access to appointments both via the National Patient Survey and NHS reviews.

The practice manager and leadership team had taken a wide variety of action in an attempt to improve outcomes. However, at the time of our inspection, the impact of these changes was yet to be fully reflected in patient satisfaction or feedback.

The practice operated a triage system in which patient's needs were first assessed by trained care navigators and then directed to GP's to make a decision as to what to do next. This included face to face appointments, telephone appointments and responses to electronic consultations. They had diversified

the types of appointments available to include direct access to physiotherapy, podiatry, a minor eye issue optician service, social prescribers and pharmacists.

A paramedic had been recruited to the service to further diversify the support for patients within the practice. The practice manager was utilising their previous experience with third sector providers to ensure people were directed towards smaller local services that could offer support with issues that could have a detrimental effect on people's health such as heat or IT poverty.

A new cloud based telephone system had been installed in February 2022, this replaced the old system which operated through 17 phone lines. A cloud based system enabled the practice to handle a much larger volume of calls and directed patients to different services available. The phone system was monitored by the practice manager and the leadership team. The practice manger had quickly noted that reception staff could be more efficient and had also trained other administrative staff on how to handle calls.

We asked the practice to show us their access data. They provided evidence that from October 2021 to October 2022 the practice had provided 119,272 appointments. These appointments had been set up via telephone or the econsult system. The appointments included face to face and telephone appointments with a range of staff including GP's, nurses, healthcare assistants and pharmacists. Of the 119,272 appointments offered 5901 patients had failed to attend.

From the point when the new telephone system was installed in February 2022 to October 2022 the practice received 86,100 telephone calls 68,500 of these were answered and 12,166 were offered a call back. Of the call-backs 11,688 were completed with the remainder not answering their phones. Average hold times showed that 42 percent of patients were on hold for under 1 minute, 23 percent for 1 to 5 minutes, 12 percent for 5 to 10 minutes and 21 percent for over 10 minutes.

Staff told us they felt supported by the practice leadership team. There were times they felt pressured due to not being able to offer as many appointments as people wanted. We spoke with the leadership team and they acknowledged this was a challenge for them. However, as well as the paramedic they had successfully recruited a GP and a diabetes specialist nurse. They had also applied to be a tier 2 visa practice which would enable them to recruit from overseas. The leadership team were aware of the issues faced within the primary care sector and were engaging with partners such as the local head of GP training to try and find effective solutions to recruitment of staff.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	28
Number of complaints we examined.	6

Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	6
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

Any additional evidence or comments

We examined the complaints for themes and trends. They covered a wide variety of subjects but there was nothing to indicate that people formally complained about 1 or 2 particular areas. We noted there were no complaints in relation to the incomplete tasks identified as part of our clinical searches.

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
Staff attitude	Further training and feedback to individuals
Clinical Treatment	Further training individuals spoken with

Well-led

Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Y
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Y
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Y
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Y
A new practice manager had recently been appointed and a leadership team, including administrative and clinical staff had been created. This meant that future changes in staffing would have less impact on the sustainability of the practice.	
Prior to our inspection the leadership team had identified the issue with incomplete tasks within their clinical system. They had carried out an analysis as to why this had occurred and prioritised the backlog to ensure that any possible areas of risk were addressed first. They had also put training plans and audits in place to ensure this would not occur again.	

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care

	Y/N/Partial
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Y
Staff told us they felt involved in the day to day running of the practice and were consulted with around its future.	

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Y
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y
When people were affected by things that went wrong, they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Y
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Y
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Y
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Y

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff questionnaires	Staff told us they felt supported by the leadership team and the practice was a good place to work.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Y
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Y
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y
There are recovery plans in place to manage backlogs of activity and delays to treatment.	Y

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes to manage performance.	Y
There was a quality improvement programme in place.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Partial
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y
Any additional evidence or comments	
The practice had identified the backlog of clinical tasks and went on to analyse the risk and address the cause of poor practice relating to record keeping. However, the backlog had built up over a prolonged period and could have been resolved earlier. This indicated previous failure to identify the risk in a timely manner.	

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to monitor and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed.	Y

Governance and oversight of remote services

	Y/N/Partial
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y

The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	Y
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Y
Patients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	Y
The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and managed.	Y
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	Y
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	Y
Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.	Y
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	Y
Staff are supported to work remotely where applicable.	Y

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Y
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Y
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Feedback
The practice participation group had struggled to remain fully active throughout COVID-19 however, they did provide positive feedback as to how they worked closely with the practice.

Any additional evidence
The practice was aware of poor patient feedback and were examining ways to improve how they consulted with patients about their expectations of the service. This included being part of a wider primary care network approach which was hosting a larger and wider ranging patient participation group.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There was evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practice's performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤ -3
Variation (positive)	> -3 and ≤ -2
Tending towards variation (positive)	> -2 and ≤ -1.5
No statistical variation	< 1.5 and > -1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥ 1.5 and < 2
Variation (negative)	≥ 2 and < 3
Significant variation (negative)	≥ 3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a SICBL average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a SICBL average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: <https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- **UKHSA:** UK Health and Security Agency.
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- **%** = per thousand.