

Inspection Evidence Table

Prentis Medical Centre

(1-558156320)

Inspection Date: 19 April 2023

Date of data download: 14/04/2023

Overall rating: Good

Safe

Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Y
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Y
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Y
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	Y
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Y
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Y
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Y

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current UK Health and Security Agency (UKHSA) guidance if relevant to role.	Y

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.	Y
Date of last assessment: May 2022	Y
There was a fire procedure.	Y
Date of fire risk assessment: May 2022	Y
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We saw evidence that the practice used an external company to undertake health and safety risk assessments including Legionella and fire, where recommendations had been suggested we saw the practice had an action log with completion dates and who the action was completed by. 	

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out.	Y
Date of last infection prevention and control audit: November 2022	Y
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Y
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We saw the infection control lead had undertaken an infection control audit in November 2022, all actions had been implemented. 	

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Y
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Y
The practice was equipped to respond to medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Y
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Y
There were enough staff to provide appointments and prevent staff from working excessive hours.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Staff feedback indicated that the practice could operate more effectively if they had more staff; leaders were aware of this and had recruited two new team members.	

Information to deliver safe care and treatment.

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Y
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented, contained the required information and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Y
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Y

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation.

Note: From July 2022, CCGs have been replaced with Sub Integrated Care Board Locations (SICBL) and CCG ODS codes have been retained as part of this.

Indicator	Practice	SICBL average	England	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022) (NHSBSA)	0.61	0.64	0.86	Tending towards variation (positive)
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022) (NHSBSA)	8.0%	8.3%	8.1%	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/07/2022 to 31/12/2022) (NHSBSA)	5.34	5.52	5.24	No statistical variation
Total items prescribed of Pregabalin or Gabapentin per 1,000 patients (01/07/2022 to 31/12/2022) (NHSBSA)	157.5‰	73.2‰	130.3‰	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/01/2022 to 31/12/2022) (NHSBSA)	0.46	0.37	0.56	No statistical variation
Number of unique patients prescribed multiple psychotropics per 1,000 patients (01/07/2022 to 31/12/2022) (NHSBSA)	10.4‰	4.7‰	6.8‰	No statistical variation

Note: ‰ means *per 1,000* and it is **not** a percentage.

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Y
Blank prescriptions were kept securely, and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Y
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Y
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of effective medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Y

The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Y
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Y ¹
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Y
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Y
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance. ¹	Partial ²
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Y
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Y
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Y
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Y
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with UKHSA guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence, including from clinical searches.</p> <p>1. We reviewed a random sample of five patient records prescribed Lithium and found that calcium checks had not been done in a one-year period for three patients, however all other monitoring had been done. When we raised this with the practice, they explained that these specific patients were hard to reach patients however, they had a system in place, and they were looking at ways to improve calcium monitoring. The practice had taken steps to improve the monitoring such as adding additional warning alerts and adding these patients to a risk register. After the inspection the practice informed us, they had contacted the patients and the pharmacist would be monitoring.</p> <p>2. Controlled drugs –On the day of the inspection we identified that the practice stocked Diazepam without a register within their emergency medicine trolley. When we raised this with the practice, they explained it was kept for precaution as they thought it was required, they then removed it and informed us they would no longer keep it on site.</p>	

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	3
Number of events that required action:	3

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
Missed Depo injection- a patient missed their appointment then turned up at the practice the next day appearing to be agitated. Patient went to doctors' room once current patient had left the room.	Staff members knew the patient, so kept patient calm, they communicated with each other about what action to take. A GP then gave the Depo injection. The practice had a discussion regarding the situation, they also created a protocol and reception staff will now contact patient home/carer for missed depo injections.
Staff member was clearing the reception area and re-stocking the specimen drawers when she found two uncollected patient smear samples.	Staff member informed the lead GP and Practice Manager. The nurse was informed, the nurse reviewed her notes and contacted the lab and affected patients. A meeting was held with all staff present to make sure this did not happen again.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: We saw the practice had an effective system in place for monitoring and managing safety alerts.</p>	

Effective

Rating: Good

QOF requirements were modified by NHS England and Improvement for 2020/21 to recognise the need to reprioritise aspects of care which were not directly related to COVID-19. This meant that QOF payments were calculated differently. For inspections carried out from 1 October 2021, our reports will not include QOF indicators. In determining judgements in relation to effective care, we have considered other evidence as set out below.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice had prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients during the pandemic.	Y
The practice prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients.	Y

Effective care for the practice population

Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty.
- Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe Mental illness, and personality disorder.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Management of people with long term conditions

Findings

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered an effective annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (UKHSA COVER team)	27	30	90.0%	Met 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (UKHSA COVER team)	24	39	61.5%	Below 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (UKHSA COVER team)	28	39	71.8%	Below 80% uptake

The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (UKHSA COVER team)	26	39	66.7%	Below 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 5 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (two doses of MMR) (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (UKHSA COVER team)	23	39	59.0%	Below 80% uptake

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: <https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Any additional evidence or comments

- After the inspection the practice provided recent verified Child Health Information Service (CHIS) data for April 2022- March 2023 which showed the practice had significantly improved childhood immunisation uptake, current data reflected the practice was above 80%. See table below.

Child Immunisation	Practice	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) (i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2022 to 31/12/2022) (CHIS data)	95%	Met 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2022 to 31/12/2022) (CHIS data)	86%	Above 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2022 to 31/12/2022) (CHIS data)	83.8%	Above 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2022 to 31/12/2022) (CHIS data)	83.8%	Above 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 5 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (two doses of MMR) (01/04/2022 to 31/12/2022) (CHIS data)	82.1%	Above 80% uptake

The practice feedback they had implemented a range of strategies to improve the uptake this included, an action plan recruiting a new nurse who was providing more sessions at the practice.

- Improving their call and recall system.
- Having childhood immunisations as a standard agenda item on the monthly meeting.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	SICBL average	England	England comparison
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	55.3%	54.2%	61.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	54.7%	60.5%	66.8%	N/A
The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for persons aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for persons aged 50 to 64). (30/09/2022 to 30/09/2022) (UKHSA)	67.2%	N/A	80.0%	Below 70% uptake

Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice provided unverified data from 22/23 which demonstrated an improvement with the uptake for cervical screening, for 25-49 age range this was 64.8% and 50-64 age range this was 70.9%.
- The practice had implemented a range of strategies to improve the uptake this included,
- Having a recall system led by designated staff, which included weekend working to accommodate their patient population.
- A policy, which provided guidance on cervical smear disclaimers to patients after three recalls and provided information to help and support patients to make an informed decision.
- Recruiting a new nurse who provided more sessions at the practice.
- They had developed an action plan to increase uptake including having a care coordinator contact patients to encourage patients to come in, if this was not successful the nurse or GPs would call and invite patients to come in.
- Late evening appointments, they worked with the hub to offer late evening and weekend appointments.
- Batch messaging was used on a regular basis to send reminders and encourage patients to book.
- The practice participated in a PCN smear and childhood immunisation project 2019-2021 to increase uptake for patient outcomes for cervical smears and immunisations and other screening checks.
- Smear uptake was added as standard agenda item for monthly meetings.
- Posters and leaflets were displayed in the patient waiting area.
- The practice explained that they had a transient population, as well as other large populations of patients that were often difficult to reach, however the practice was devising ideas on how they could engage with various groups, for example planning to specifically target various groups, and doing outreach work.
- They also planned to engage and work with other local practice taking into account learning from peers.
- The practice provided unverified data which showed although they were lower than the national average over the last five years, they were gradually improving.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a programme of targeted quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Y
Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years: <ul style="list-style-type: none">We saw that the practice had undertaken a range of audits, seven in total these audits included prescribing controlled drugs, uncomplicated urinary tract infection, an audit looking at prescribing and use of Amitriptyline and Oxycodone together, patients prescribed Benzodiazepines. An audit looking at patients prescribed- Apixaban, Dabigatran, Edoxaban and Rivaroxban The audits looked at if blood test were up to date and if shared care agreements were in place.	

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Y
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

- The practice had a prescribing pharmacist, the lead GP had regular meetings with him and supervised him accordingly.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The practice was responsible for providing GP services to 15 care homes within the area for 148 residents, one of the partners regularly engaged with the care home managers. 	

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity.	Y

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
Do Not Attempt Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (DNACPR) decisions were made in line with relevant legislation and were appropriate. 1	Y

Caring

Rating: Good

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Y
Staff displayed understanding and a non-judgemental attitude towards patients.	Y
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Y

Patient feedback	
Source	Feedback
PPG Chair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There have been numerous changes over the last few years and they have improved the running of the practice, for example change in leadership, recruiting more staff, increasing face to face appointment time. The practice involves patients and staff and encourages us to feedback back and give ideas on improving the running of the practice. Still hard to get an appointment.

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: From July 2022, CCGs have been replaced with Sub Integrated Care Board Locations (SICBL) and CCG ODS codes have been retained as part of this.

Indicator	Practice	SICBL average	England	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	80.1%	82.7%	84.7%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	78.2%	80.5%	83.5%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	81.8%	92.2%	93.1%	Tending towards variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall	70.1%	69.3%	72.4%	No statistical variation

experience of their GP practice (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)				
Any additional evidence or comments				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice had undertaken their own patient survey, as they had reviewed the national survey and where they had identified they were slightly below they wanted to address this and find out why. The practice explained the variation was likely to be a result of patients wanting to see one specific GP, they also looked at all consultation types and reviewed how they could improve. They also reviewed friends and family test (FFT) feedback on a monthly basis. 				

	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Y

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Y
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Y

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: From July 2022, CCGs have been replaced with Sub Integrated Care Board Locations (SICBL) and CCG ODS codes have been retained as part of this.

Indicator	Practice	SICBL average	England	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	83.9%	88.7%	89.9%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Y
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Y
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Y

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	1.5% (72 carers)
How the practice supported carers (including young carers).	The practice had a carers list and coded carers, they also had a carers pack which they gave to carers, there were also leaflets in the waiting room. The practice care coordinator called the carers and offered health checks.
How the practice supported recently bereaved patients.	A condolence card is sent to the family, and the family is signposted for additional support.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Y
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Y

Responsive

Rating: Good

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Y
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Y
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Y
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8am -6:30pm
Tuesday	8am – 6:30pm Extended Hours 8pm
Wednesday	8am – 6:30pm Extended Hours 8pm
Thursday	8am -6:30pm
Friday	8am -6:30pm
Appointments available:	
Monday	9am-6.30pm
Tuesday	9am-6.30pm
Wednesday	9am-6.30pm
Thursday	9am-6.30pm

Friday	9am-6.30pm
--------	------------

Further information about how the practice is responding to the needs of their population

- Patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- The practice liaised regularly with the community services to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Additional nurse appointments were available the practice had recruited and trained a new nurse who worked additional days.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- The practice was open until 8pm on a Tuesday and Wednesday. Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area,
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, Travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and Travellers.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

Access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

	Y/N/Partial
Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimise the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice.	Y
The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online).	Y
Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs.	Y
There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment (including those who might be digitally excluded).	Y
Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.	Y
There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages).	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence

- Due to feedback from patients the practice had recently increased their face-to-face appointments from 10 minutes to 15 minutes.

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: From July 2022, CCGs have been replaced with Sub Integrated Care Board Locations (SICBL) and CCG ODS codes have been retained as part of this.

Indicator	Practice	SICBL average	England	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	50.5%	N/A	52.7%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	52.3%	52.9%	56.2%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	50.5%	52.9%	55.2%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	64.2%	67.2%	71.9%	No statistical variation

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	9
Number of complaints we examined.	9
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	9
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y

There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y
---	---

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Patient complained about difficulty getting through to the reception on the phone and waited for 30mins after message on the phone and was advised they were number two in the queue. 	<p>Discussed with Practice manager and admin team at practice meeting.</p> <p>Reception team to ask other admin for support if longer than expected queues.</p> <p>All staff to encourage patient to use online services where possible considering their preferences, IT skills and suitability.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Patient complained about system for booking an on the day appointment. 	<p>The complaint was reviewed by the practice manager. It was discussed with the reception team, who were informed about giving mixed messages to patients and reminded to follow practice protocol, the patient received an apology for the miscommunication.</p>

Well-led

Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels. Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Y
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Y
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Y
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Y

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">The practice was working closely with staff and the PPG to develop the vision and values.	

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Y
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y

When people were affected by things that went wrong, they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Y
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Y
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Y
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All staff spoken during the inspection fed back that leaders were approachable and they were able to raise concerns and their concerns were listened to. The practice had recently undergone a restructure and staff that were inconsistent with the vision and values were dismissed. The practice had set up a WhatsApp group to communicate with staff and share information on a daily basis. We saw the practice had regular clinical meetings, as well as monthly practice meetings. 	

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leaders and managers were visible and approachable. Everyone worked well as a team and were supportive to each other. All staff had clear roles and responsibilities. There was an open-door policy.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Y
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Y
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y
There are recovery plans in place to manage backlogs of activity and delays to treatment.	Y

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes to manage performance.	Y
There was a quality improvement programme in place.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">We saw several quality improvement We saw a major incident plan and staff we spoke with were able to explain what actions they would take if required.	

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to monitor and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed.	Y

Governance and oversight of remote services

	Y/N/Partial
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	Y
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Y
Patients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	Y

The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and managed.	Y
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	Y
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	Y
Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.	Y
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	Y
Staff are supported to work remotely where applicable.	Y

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Y
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Y
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice had recently (April 2023) joined The HBD PCN includes the Knights Hill, Herne Hill, Brixton Hill, Deerbrook and Northwood GP Practices. The practice was part of the Lambeth GP Federation The practice had undertaken its own patient survey to get a better understanding of patients' needs. Leaders informed us they aimed to provide patient centred care to all our patients by listening to their views and needs, ensuring continuous monitoring and auditing to help us improve healthcare services. 	

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Feedback
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice was keen to get the voice of their patients and had re-established its PPG, who fed back that they had their first meeting post COVID in March 2023, and a lot of changes had happened within the practice. We were informed the practice worked closely with the PPG and was actively engaging and listening to their views to make improvements to how the practice was run. The PPG wanted the phone waiting times to be reduced, the practice responded by having all practice support team to answer calls during peak periods to support reception. At the time of the inspection the practice had just recruited two more reception staff members.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The practice had become a training practice in 2021, and at the time of the inspection they had two trainee doctors.• The lead GP and Practice manager who had joined 2021, 2022 had implemented a number of new systems and processes to aid in developing the practice to ensure it operated effectively and efficiently, for example;• The practice had become a training practice.• One of the partners was responsible for providing ward care for 15 of the care homes they were responsible for.• The practice had recruited a nurse and got her trained up so she could provide additional care for patients and they also increased her working hours.• Face to face appointment times had increased from 10 minutes to 15 minutes.• The Lead GP had a special interest in Dermatology and had completed their training and was looking towards providing this service in future.	

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a “z-score” (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤ -3
Variation (positive)	> -3 and ≤ -2
Tending towards variation (positive)	> -2 and ≤ -1.5
No statistical variation	< 1.5 and > 1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥ 1.5 and < 2
Variation (negative)	≥ 2 and < 3
Significant variation (negative)	≥ 3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have “Met 90% minimum” have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link:

<https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- **UKHSA:** UK Health and Security Agency.
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- ‰ = per thousand.