Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Carpenters Practice (1-9152464154)

Inspection date: 13 July 2022

Date of data download: 11 July 2022

Overall rating: Good

Safe Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Υ
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Υ
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	1

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Υ
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current UK Health and Security Agency (UKHSA) guidance if relevant to role.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment: 11/2/2022	Y
There was a fire procedure.	
Date of fire risk assessment: 24/1/2022 Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out. Date of last infection prevention and control audit: 7/4/2022	Y
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Υ
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Υ
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Υ
The practice was equipped to respond to medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Υ
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Y
There were enough staff to provide appointments and prevent staff from working excessive hours	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	,

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had have the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Υ
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Υ
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Υ
Referrals to specialist services were documented, contained the required information and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Υ
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Υ
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non- clinical staff.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

At the last inspection we found a small number of patients who were not monitored following national guidelines for prescribing and some lack of clarity over the management of test results.

At this inspection again we found a very small number of patients who were not being monitored in line with national guidance. In all cases we could see the patients had been invited to the practice for the relevant tests and for some this was multiple times. For some of these patients they were receiving a prescription covering a short time, which is good practice.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had have systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.46	0.60	0.79	Variation (positive)
The number of prescription items for coamoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)	5.5%	9.6%	8.8%	Tending towards variation (positive)
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/10/2021 to 31/03/2022)	4.75	5.74	5.29	No statistical variation
Total items prescribed of Pregabalin or Gabapentin per 1,000 patients (01/10/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)	37.6‰	64.5‰	128.2‰	Significant Variation (positive)
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)	0.14	0.47	0.60	Significant Variation (positive)
Number of unique patients prescribed multiple psychotropics per 1,000 patients (01/10/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)		5.4‰	6.8‰	Tending towards variation (positive)

Note: ‰ means per 1,000 and it is **not** a percentage.

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Υ
Blank prescriptions were kept securely, and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Y
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y

Y/N/Partial
Υ
Υ
Υ
Υ
Υ
Υ
Υ
Υ
Υ
Υ
Υ
Υ

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial	
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Υ	
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Υ	
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Υ	
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.		
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.		
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:		
Number of events that required action:	32	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:		

Example of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
New patient needed prescription, initially	Discussed at clinical meeting and agreed in future if new
not given right appointment to get	patients need repeat prescription to have appointment and
prescription.	prescribe small amount until required tests can be arranged.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We saw the practice had systems in place in response to patient safety alerts which included carryring out searches of patient records. The one example we looked at regarding ACE inhibitors identified three out of five patients had not had the required blood tests. We discussed this with the provider and there were reasons for two of these patients which were recorded.

Effective

Rating: Good

QOF requirements were modified by NHS England and Improvement for 2020/21 to recognise the need to reprioritise aspects of care which were not directly related to COVID-19. This meant that QOF payments were calculated differently. For inspections carried out from 1 October 2021, our reports will not include QOF indicators. In determining judgements in relation to effective care, we have considered other evidence as set out below.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Υ
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Υ
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice had prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients during the pandemic	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

At our last inspection we found that while staff had access to local and national guidelines this information was not always used to deliver care and treatment that met patients' needs. Although the practice had a comprehensive repeat prescribing and medicine review policy, the systems in place were not effective as there was no process to ensure all clinicians documented that they had looked at a patient's monitoring results and determined it was safe to prescribe.

At this inspection we found three patients out of 15 taking three different medicines had not had the required monitoring. When discussed with the provider there were reasons for two of these patients which were recorded.

Effective care for the practice population

Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check. There were 66 patients
 with learning disabilities registered at the practice and 16 of them had had a check since April
 2022. There were plans in place to complete the rest of these reviews before the end of March
 2023.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Management of people with long term conditions

Findings

- At the last inspection we saw 20 patients with hypothyroidism had not had thyroid function monitoring in the previous 18 months. At this inspection we found 7 patients had not had monitoring in the last year and looked at five in detail. Of these, four were no longer taking thyroxine and the fifth patient had not had the necessary monitoring, despite the practice making several attempts to contact them and invite them for the tests. In the interim, they were being prescribed small quantities of the medicine until they had.
- We reviewed records of patients with asthma prescribed two or more courses of rescue steroids and found 22 and looked at five patients in detail. We saw thorough assessments had been completed although there was no evidence of a follow up after the course for any patients. Three of these five patients were overdue an annual review of their medication.

- We reviewed records of three patients with chronic kidney disease and found one had not had
 monitoring in the last year. We could see the practice had sent multiple invites and reminders to
 the patient.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.
- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. We reviewed five patients records and found all five had received a medicines review in the last year.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care
 delivery for patients with long-term conditions.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	198	228	86.8%	Below 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	176	218	80.7%	Below 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	179	218	82.1%	Below 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR)	176	218	80.7%	Below 90% minimum

$(01/04/2020\ to\ 31/03/2021)$ (NHS England and				
Improvement)				
The percentage of children aged 5 who				
have received immunisation for measles,				
mumps and rubella (two doses of MMR)	191	251	76.1%	Below 80% uptake
(01/04/2020 to $31/03/2021)$ (NHS England and				
Improvement)				

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Any additional evidence or comments

The provider had a programme to improve childhood immunisations which included regular searches of the practice patient recording system to identify eligible children and routine calls for appointments and recalls and texts and telephone call reminders when appointments were booked.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for persons aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for persons aged 50 to 64). (Snapshot date: 31/12/2021) (UK Health and Security Agency)	63.2%	N/A	80% Target	Below 70% uptake
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	44.1%	54.8%	61.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	56.5%	58.1%	66.8%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	36.8%	53.2%	55.4%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

The provider was aware of the need to improve the rates of women attending for cervical screening and was working through their plan which included regular checks of the patient recording system to identify eligible women and contacting those who had not attended. Those who were overdue were flagged on the patient recording system to enable clinical staff to discuss when the patient attended the practice for other reasons.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a programme of targeted quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Υ
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Y

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

The practice had continued with their quality improvement and audit activities during the pandemic. There were no new audits since our inspection in March 2022.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. The practice had a programme of learning and development. Staff had protected time for learning and development. There was an induction programme for new staff. Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation. The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates. There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.		Y/N/Partial
Staff had protected time for learning and development. There was an induction programme for new staff. Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation. The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates. There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Υ
There was an induction programme for new staff. Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation. The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates. There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when	The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Υ
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of Y professional revalidation. The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates. There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when	Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Υ
supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation. The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates. There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when	There was an induction programme for new staff.	Υ
advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician Y associates. There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when	supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of	Y
γ, γ, γ, γ, σ, σ, σ, σ, γ, σ,	advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician	Y
		Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We saw the provider had an induction programme for new staff. Records were maintained of training staff had completed which identified when training was due for renewal. Supervision arrangements were in place for all staff.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Υ
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Υ
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Any additional evidence or comments

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
Do Not Attempt Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (DNACPR) decisions were made in line with relevant legislation and were appropriate.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	I

Caring Rating: Good

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated treat patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Υ
Staff displayed understanding and a non-judgemental attitude towards patients.	Y
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

National GP Patient Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	84.9%	85.0%	89.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	82.8%	82.8%	88.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	89.6%	92.8%	95.6%	Tending towards variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	74.1%	77.0%	83.0%	No statistical variation

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient feedback exercises.	Υ

Any additional evidence

The practice manager told us they had recently started to contact patients to seek feedback. Records we saw indicated those contacted in the last two weeks had raised a complaint at the practice. The records indicated some of these calls led to further actions or investigations. It was not clear how this was going to be used in the future to seek patients' views of the services provided.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Y
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
Easy read and pictorial materials were made available when required.	

National GP Patient Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	87.0%	88.8%	92.9%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Υ
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Υ
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	218 patients were identified as carers which is 1%
How the practice supported carers (including young carers).	The practice had identified patients who were carers and this was flagged on the patient recording system. These patients were signposted to relevant support organisations.
How the practice supported recently bereaved patients.	GPs made contact with patients in the event of a bereavement to offer support and signpost to other services if relevant.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Υ
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
While the reception area where patients checked in on arrival and wait for appointments is	s open we did

While the reception area where patients checked in on arrival and wait for appointments is open we did not observe any issues with confidentiality.

Responsive

Rating: Good

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs/ Services did not meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Υ
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Υ
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Y
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	•

Practice Opening Times			
Time			
8-6.30			
8-6.30			
8-6.30			
8-6.30			
8-6.30			
9-12.30 and 1.30-6 and 6.30-8 when required			
9-12.30 and 1.30-6 and 6.30-8 when required			
9-12.30 and 1.30-6 and 6.30-8 when required			
9-12.30 and 1.30-6 and 6.30-8 when required			
9-12.30 and 1.30-6 and 6.30-8 when required			

Further information about how the practice is responding to the needs of their population

- Patients had a named GP.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues when required.
- In recognition of the religious and cultural observances of some patients, the GP would respond
 quickly, often outside of normal working hours, to provide the necessary death certification to
 enable prompt burial in line with families' wishes when bereavement occurred.
- Parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- The practice provided extended access hours between Monday and Friday from 6.30 -8pm depending on patient requests.
- Pre-bookable appointments were also available to patients at additional locations within the area.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, Travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and Travellers.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

Access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected access to GP practices and presented many challenges. In order to keep both patients and staff safe early in the pandemic practices were asked by NHS England and Improvement to assess patients remotely (for example by telephone or video consultation) when contacting the practice and to only see patients in the practice when deemed to be clinically appropriate to do so. Following the changes in national guidance during the summer of 2021 there has been a more flexible approach to patients interacting with their practice. During the pandemic there was a significant increase in telephone and online consultations compared to patients being predominantly seen in a face to face setting.

	Y/N/Partial
Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimize the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice	Υ
The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online)	Υ
Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs	Y
There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment	Y
Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised	Y
There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages)	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

National GP Patient Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	50.3%	N/A	67.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	60.9%	65.8%	70.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	64.5%	64.4%	67.0%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	76.0%	76.3%	81.7%	No statistical variation

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	34
Number of complaints we examined.	4
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	4
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Υ
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
not had required blood tests.	Patient experience reviewed and discussed at clinical meeting, agreed in similar instances to give short prescription to enable the patient to have the required checks.

Well-led Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels. Leaders demonstrated they had the capacity and skills to deliver high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Y
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Υ
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Υ
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Υ
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Υ
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and				
values.	Υ			
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.				
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Υ			
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.				
When people were affected by things that went wrong, they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Υ			
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Υ			
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Υ			
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Y			
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:				

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
	Staff we spoke with were positive about working at the practice, they said they
	were given the training and support to carry out their role.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Υ
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Υ
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y
Explanation of any anguars and additional oxidence:	•

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

At our last inspection in March 2022 we found the overall governance arrangements needed strengthening because risks in relation to the management of patients with long term conditions were not fully managed and while there was a policy which detailed the monitoring required before patients received repeat prescriptions not all staff were following it.

At this inspection we found improvements had been made to the management of patients with long term conditions and repeat prescribing.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes to manage performance.	Υ
There was a quality improvement programme in place.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

The practice had systems in place to continue to deliver services, respond to risk and meet patients' needs during the pandemic

	Y/N/Partial			
The practice had adapted how it offered appointments to meet the needs of patients during the pandemic.	Y			
The needs of vulnerable people (including those who might be digitally excluded) had been considered in relation to access.	Y			
There were systems in place to identify and manage patients who needed a face-to-face appointment.	Υ			
The practice actively monitored the quality of access and made improvements in response to findings.	Υ			
There were recovery plans in place to manage backlogs of activity and delays to treatment.	Υ			
Changes had been made to infection control arrangements to protect staff and patients using the service.	Y			
Staff were supported to work remotely where applicable.				

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

At the last inspection in March 2022 we found some risks identified within the practice were not sufficiently well managed. For example: risks in relation to patients who required monitoring for their conditions and coding of patients' diagnosis had not been identified by the provider's own governance systems. The practice had a written protocol for repeat prescribing of medicines which needed monitoring, however, clinicians had not followed the protocol to ensure appropriate monitoring and prescribing had been carried out. After the

inspection the provider informed us they had contacted any patients identified during our review of patient records to attend the practice for any monitoring, tests or reviews.

At this inspection we found the provider had continued to monitor patients had appropriate monitoring before repeat prescribing of medicines.

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to monitor and improve performance.	Υ
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Υ
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Governance and oversight of remote services

	Y/N/Partial			
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Υ			
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.				
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Y			
Patients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	Υ			
The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and managed.	Υ			
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	Υ			
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	Υ			
Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.	Υ			
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	Υ			
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	<u>'</u>			

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved involve the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

Υ
i
Y
Y
Y

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Ħ	$\overline{}$	^	15		

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- UKHSA: UK Health and Security Agency.
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- % = per thousand.