# **Care Quality Commission**

## **Inspection Evidence Table**

## Dr Hendy & Rizwan (1-559534222)

Inspection date: 10 April 2018

Date of data download: 04 April 2018

## Safe

#### Safety systems and processes

Source	
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Yes
Safety and safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Yes
Policies were in place covering adult and child safeguarding. They were updated and reviewed and accessible to all staff.	Yes
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role (for example level three for GPs, including locum GPs)	Yes
The practice worked in partnership with other agencies to protect patients from abuse, neglect, harassment, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect. Information about patients at risk was shared with other agencies in a timely way.	Yes
Systems were in place to highlight vulnerable patients on record. There was a risk register of specific patients	Yes
Reports and learning from safeguarding incidents were available to staff.	Yes
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required	Yes
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had a DBS check.	Yes

The practice had applied for and was waiting for the results of DBS checks for all administration staff. Chaperone duties were carried out by clinical staff only until results of the DBS checks had been received.

Recruitment Systems	
The registered person provided assurances that safety was promoted in their recruitment practices.	Yes
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff, locums and volunteers).	Yes
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current PHE guidance and if relevant to role.	Yes
Systems were in place to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Yes
Staff who require medical indemnity insurance had it in place	Yes

Safety Records	
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person	Yes
Date of last inspection/Test:	12/2016
There was a record of equipment calibration	Yes
Date of last calibration:	16/8/2017
Risk assessments were in place for any storage of hazardous substances e.g. liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals	Yes
Fire procedure in place	Yes
Fire extinguisher checks	Yes
Fire drills and logs	No
Fire alarm checks	Yes
Fire training for staff	Yes
Fire marshals	No
Fire risk assessment	Yes
Date of completion	12/16
Actions were identified and completed.	Yes
Health and safety	
Premises/security risk assessment?	Yes
Date of last assessment:	02/18
Health and safety risk assessment and actions	Yes

Date of last assessment:	02/18
Additional comments:	
NHS Estates maintain the building on behalf of the 3 practices that are located within. The practice managers have a meeting planned with the Estates and plan to carry out a fire drill	

Infection control	
Risk assessment and policy in place Date of last infection control audit: The provider acted on any issues identified	Yes 4/1/18 Yes
<ul> <li>Detail:</li> <li>Issues identified were: <ul> <li>To carry out regular risk assessments and keep a copy.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Staff to provide evidence of immunisation status and record when boosters due.</li> </ul>	
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe?	Yes

## Any additional evidence

Clinical waste and clinical specimens were contracted on behalf of all 3 practices within the building and arranged by NHS Estates.

A legionella risk assessment had also been carried out on 12/01/2018

## **Risks to patients**

The practice had systems in place to monitor and review staffing levels and skill mix.	Yes
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Yes
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients and risk management plans were developed in line with national guidance	Yes
Staff knew how to respond to emergency situations.	Yes
Receptionists were aware of 'red flag' sepsis symptoms that might be reported by patients and how to respond.	Yes
The practice had equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis.	Yes
There were systems in place to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with NICE guidance.	Yes
The impact on safety was assessed and monitored when the practice carried out changes to the service or the staff.	Yes

## Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Yes
The care records we saw demonstrated that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was made available to relevant staff in an accessible way.	Yes
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Yes
Referrals to specialist services were documented.	Yes
The practice had a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Yes
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their on-going care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Yes

## Safe and appropriate use of medicines

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU).(01/07/2016 to 30/06/2017)(NH5B5A)	1.32	1.14	0.98	Comparable to other practices
Percentage of antibiotic items prescribed that are Co-Amoxiclav, Cephalosporins or Quinolones. <b>(01/07/2016 to 30/06/2017)</b> (NHSBSA)	8.6%	7.7%	8.9%	Comparable to other practices

Medicine Management	
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Yes
Staff had the appropriate authorisations in place to administer medicines (including PGDS or PSDs).	Yes
Prescriptions (pads and computer prescription paper) were kept securely and monitored.	Yes
There was a process for the management of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Yes
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example audits for unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Yes
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team CD Accountable Officer.	Yes
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were systems for the safe ordering, checks on receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines in line with national guidance.	NA
Up to date local prescribing guidelines were in use. Clinical staff were able to access a local microbiologist for advice.	Yes
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols in place for identifying and verifying the patient in line with GMC guidance.	Yes
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines and .risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held.	Yes
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases	Yes
There was medical oxygen on site	Yes
The practice had a defibrillator	Yes
Both were checked regularly and this was recorded.	Yes
Medicines that required refrigeration were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective in use.	Yes

The defibrillator was shared by the 3 practices located in the building.

### Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

Significant events	
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events	Yes
Staff understood how to report incidents both internally and externally	Yes
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information	Yes
Number of events recorded in last 12 months.	19

#### Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice;

Event	Specific action taken
A diagnosis of sepsis was made in the surgery and a code red 999 call was made for an ambulance. It took 10 minutes to get through on the 999 number. The ambulance appeared four hours after the code red ambulance was ordered.	Incident reported to CCG.
Whilst completing a report the doctor saw that the patient's records had an electronic letter attached for a patient with a similar name	The electronic letter was removed from the patient record and staff reminded that they should scrutinise all correspondence to ensure that they are filing correspondence into the correct patient record.
and using a specific medical device	In future medical staff to give patients explicit written instructions on how and where to obtain replacement medical devices when they become faulty.

Safety Alerts		
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts	Yes	
Staff understand how to deal with alerts	Yes	
Comments on systems in place:		
The practice manager ensured that all clinical and administration staff received alerts as appropriate by sending emails to staff and discussing them in meetings.		

## Effective

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Prescribing				
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU). (01/07/2016 to 30/06/2017) (NHSBSA)	1.32	1.10	0.90	Comparable to other practices

Diabetes Indicators				
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	69.1%	76.7%	79.5%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	6.2% (19)	8.6%	12.4%	
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	64.6%	76.4%	78.1%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	1.6% (5)	6.5%	9.3%	
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	60.3%	74.8%	80.1%	Significant Variation (negative)
QOF Exceptions	8.1% (25)	11.7%	13.3%	

Other long term conditions				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions. <b>(01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017)</b> (QOF)	70.6%	74.3%	76.4%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	4.2% (13)	5.8%	7.7%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with COPD who had a review undertaken including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months <b>(01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017)</b> (QOF)	84.5%	88.8%	90.4%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	6.7% (6)	7.5%	11.4%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 150/90 mmHg or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	78.2%	83.8%	83.4%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	0.3% (2)	3.2%	4.0%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy. <b>(01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017)</b> (QOF)	92.6%	92.2%	88.4%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions) 1.8% (1)	CCG Exception rate 6.0%	England Exception rate 8.2%	

Child Immunisation				
Indicator	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
Percentage of children aged 1 with completed primary course of 5:1 vaccine. (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	48	48	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target Significant Variation (positive)
The percentage children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	42	42	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target Significant Variation (positive)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	40	42	95.2%	Met 95% WHO based target Significant Variation (positive)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (first dose of MMR) <b>(01/04/2016 to</b> <b>31/03/2017)</b> (NHS England)	42	42	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target Significant Variation (positive)

Cancer Indicators				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening who were screened adequately within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64 <b>(01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017)</b> (Public Health England)	60.8%	72.5%	72.1%	Comparable to other practices
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (PHE)	69.5%	71.3%	70.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(PHE)	46.5%	52.0%	54.6%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (PHE)	60.0%	71.4%	71.2%	N/A

Mental Health Indicators				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months <b>(01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017)</b> (QOF)	85.4%	89.1%	90.3%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	2.0% (1)	7.7%	12.5%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
		average	average	companson
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	95.9%	91.0%	90.7%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	0 (0)	7.0%	10.3%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	80.8%	90.4%	83.7%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	0 (0)	5.6%	6.8%	

### Monitoring care and treatment

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	493	529	539
Overall QOF exception reporting	4.3%	4.4%	5.7%

### Effective staffing

Yes
Yes
overall
oractice
(

### Coordinating care and treatment

Indicator	Y/N
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	Yes

### Helping patients to live healthier lives

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with physical and/or mental health conditions whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	92.9%	94.9%	95.3%	Comparable to other practices

QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	0 (0)	0.6%	0.8%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) <b>(01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017)</b> (PHE)	60.0%	49.6%	51.6%	Comparable to other practices

# Caring

## Kindness, respect and compassion

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received	4
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service	4
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service	n/a
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service	n/a

## Examples of feedback received:

Source	Feedback
For example, Comments	I always feel like I am involved in my care.
cards, NHS Choices	The doctors and nurses listen and you do not feel rushed.

## National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	% of practice population	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%
4,251	312	7%	102	32.69%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that they would definitely or probably recommend their GP surgery to	86.5%	79.8%	78.9%	Comparable to other practices

someone who has just moved to the local area				
(01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GP Patient Survey)				
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient				
survey who stated that the last time they saw or	93.1%	89.0%	88.8%	Comparable to
spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at	93.170	09.070	00.070	other practices
listening to them (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)				
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient				
survey who answered positively to question 22	97.1%	96.0%	95.5%	Comparable to
"Did you have confidence and trust in the GP you	97.170	90.078	90.070	other practices
saw or spoke to?" (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)				
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient				
survey who stated that the last time they saw or				Comparable to
spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at	89.9%	86.0%	85.5%	other practices
treating them with care and concern (01/01/2017 to				
31/03/2017) (GPPS)				
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient				
survey who stated that the last time they saw or	90.7%	91.8%	91.4%	Comparable to
spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good	90.778	91.070	91.470	other practices
at listening to them (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)				
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient				
survey who stated that the last time they saw or				Comparable to
spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good	94.6%	91.2%	90.7%	Comparable to other practices
at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2017				
to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)				

The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises No

Date of exercise	Summary of results
	The practice plan to carry out its own survey in the near future.

## National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at explaining tests and treatments (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	93.8%	86.8%	86.4%	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at	91.0%	83.6%	82.0%	Comparable to other practices

involving them in decisions about their care (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)				
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at explaining tests and treatments (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	88.0%	90.2%	89.9%	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at involving them in decisions about their care (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	88.4%	86.4%	85.4%	Comparable to other practices

Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language. Yes

Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations. Yes

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified	119 carers were registered which was approximately 3% of the practice list.
How the practice supports carers	One member of staff was the designated lead for carers who signposted carers to the support services available to them.
How the practice supports recently bereaved patients	The practice contacted bereaved patients either by phone or letter and offered them a convenient appointment or a visit by one of the GPs.

#### Privacy and dignity

Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments. Yes

	Narrative
Arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk	A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.

Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.

# Responsive

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

Practice Opening Times		
Day	Time	
Monday	08:00-19:30	
Tuesday	08:00-18:30	
Wednesday	08:00-18:30	
Thursday	08:00-18:30	
Friday	08:00-18:30	
Appointments available Between 08:30 and 11:50 and 15:00 and 17		
Extended hours opening Monday evening until 18:50		

Home visits	
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention	Yes
If yes, describe how this was done	
The request would be triaged by one of the GPs except those from elderly patients w visited when they requested one.	/ho were always

#### Timely access to the service

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were 'Very satisfied' or 'Fairly satisfied' with their GP practices opening hours. (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	85.0%	84.3%	80.0%	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient	95.9%	78.0%	70.9%	Comparable to other

survey who gave a positive answer to "Generally, how easy is it to get through to someone at your GP surgery on the phone?" (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)				practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they wanted to see or speak to a GP or nurse from their GP surgery they were able to get an appointment (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	70.6%	75.1%	75.5%	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	84.3%	76.0%	72.7%	Comparable to other practices

#### Listening and learning from complaints received

- The complaints policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations. Yes
- Information was available to help patients understand the complaints system. Yes

Number of complaints received in the last year.	3
Number of complaints we examined	3
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way	3
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman	0

## Well-led

### Leadership capacity and capability

#### Vision and strategy

#### **Practice Vision and values**

The practice plan to recruit a GP Assistant which is a new post where the person was formerly a paramedic and has gone through retraining.

#### Culture

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff member	The GPs and management have an open door policy and staff feel confident about speaking to them with any concerns or problems.
Staff member	It's like a family and everyone works together to support each other.

Examples of action taken by the practice to promote the safety and wellbeing of staff

Source	Example
Management	There is zero tolerance at the practice, we were told of an example where patients have been removed after threatening and abusive behaviour towards staff members.

Examples of action taken by the practice to promote equality and diversity for staff

Source	Example
Management	All staff have received training in Equality and Diversity
Management	The practice achieved Gold Standard in the Pride in Practice Awards which meant that they recognised that all patients should be treated equally regardless of sexual orientation, gender identification, race or religious beliefs.

#### Appropriate and accurate information

Staff whose responsibilities include making statutory notifications understand what this	
entails	Yes

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

#### Feedback from Patient Participation Group;

#### Feedback

At present the practice has a virtual patient group and is planning an actual group and is presently attempting to recruit.

#### Continuous improvement and innovation

Examples of innovation and improvements	Impact on patients
January 2018	Patients with the most need have access to the worker who can help them in all aspects of their health and social care needs and have been seen to reduce inappropriate admissions to hospital.

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a z-score, a statistical tool which shows the deviation from the England average. It gives us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average, and measures this in standard deviations. We calculate a z-score for each indicator, thereby highlighting the practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are +2 or more or -2 or less are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry.

N.B. Not all indicators are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for banding variation:

#### Significant variation (positive)

- Variation (positive)
- Comparable to other practices
- Variation (negative)
- Significant variation (negative)
- Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:
  - Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices. Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: http://www.cqc.org.uk/what-we-do/how-we-use-information/monitoring-gp-practices