# **Care Quality Commission**

# **Inspection Evidence Table**

# **Longford Street Medical Centre (1-540240815)**

Inspection date: 24 April 2018

Date of data download: 11 April 2018

# Safe

#### Safety systems and processes

Source	
There was a lead members of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Yes
Safety and safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Yes
Policies were in place covering adult and child safeguarding. They were updated and reviewed and accessible to all staff.	Yes
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role (for example level three for GPs, including locum GPs)	Yes
The practice worked in partnership with other agencies to protect patients from abuse, neglect, harassment, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect. Information about patients at risk was shared with other agencies in a timely way.	Yes
Systems were in place to highlight vulnerable patients on record. There was a risk register of specific patients	Yes
Reports and learning from safeguarding incidents were available to staff.	Yes
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required	Yes
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had a DBS check.	Yes

Recruitment Systems	
The registered person provided assurances that safety was promoted in their recruitment practices.	Yes
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff, locums and volunteers).	Yes
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current PHE guidance and if relevant to role.	Yes
Systems were in place to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Yes
Staff who require medical indemnity insurance had it in place	Yes

Safety Records	
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person	Yes
There was a record of equipment calibration	Yes
Risk assessments were in place for any storage of hazardous substances e.g. liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals	Yes
Fire procedure in place	Yes
Fire extinguisher checks	Yes
Fire drills and logs	No
Fire alarm checks	Yes
Fire training for staff	Yes
Fire marshals	Yes
Fire risk assessment	Yes
Actions were identified and completed.	Yes
Additional observations:	
The practice told us that they carried out impromptu fire drills but these were not logged. They told us that they would log them in future.	
Health and safety	
Premises/security risk assessment?	Yes
Health and safety risk assessment and actions	Yes
Additional comments:	
The practice carried out a Health and safety risk assessment immediately after the inspection.	

Infection control	
Risk assessment and policy in place	Yes
The provider acted on any issues identified	Yes
Detail:	
The sharps bins in the treatment rooms were placed on the desks in reach of small children and not wall mounted due to electrical trunking around the walls. This had been risk assessed by the CCG infection control team on more than one occasion and had been deemed the safest place as the bins were placed at the point of vaccination.	
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe?	Yes

## Risks to patients

The practice had systems in place to monitor and review staffing levels and skill mix.	Yes
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Yes
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients and risk management plans were developed in line with national guidance	Yes
Staff knew how to respond to emergency situations.	Yes
Receptionists were aware of 'red flag' sepsis symptoms that might be reported by patients and how to respond.	Yes
The practice had equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis.	Yes
There were systems in place to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with NICE guidance.	Yes
The impact on safety was assessed and monitored when the practice carried out changes to the service or the staff.	Yes

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Yes
The care records we saw demonstrated that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was made available to relevant staff in an accessible way.	Yes
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Yes
Referrals to specialist services were documented.	Yes

The practice had a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Yes
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Yes

# Safe and appropriate use of medicines

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU).(01/07/2016 to 30/06/2017)(NHSBSA)	1.41	1.14	0.98	Variation (high prescribing)
Percentage of antibiotic items prescribed that are Co-Amoxiclav, Cephalosporins or Quinolones.(01/07/2016 to 30/06/2017) (NHSBSA)	8.2%	7.7%	8.9%	Comparable to other practices

Medicine Management	
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Yes
Staff had the appropriate authorisations in place to administer medicines (including PGDS or PSDs).	Yes
Prescriptions (pads and computer prescription paper) were kept securely and monitored.	Yes
There was a process for the management of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	No
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example audits for unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Yes
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team CD Accountable Officer.	Yes
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were systems for the safe ordering, checks on receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines in line with national guidance.	NA
Up to date local prescribing guidelines were in use. Clinical staff were able to access a local microbiologist for advice.	Yes
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols in place for identifying and verifying the patient in line with GMC guidance.	Yes
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines and .risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held.	Yes
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases	Yes
There was medical oxygen on site	Yes
The practice had a defibrillator	Yes
Both were checked regularly and this was recorded.	Yes
Medicines that required refrigeration were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective in use.	Yes

Explanation of any 'No' answers:

Although there was a process for the management of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) we found examples of patients with overdue blood tests and some where some patients were overdue a prescription.

## Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

Significant events	
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events	Yes
Staff understood how to report incidents both internally and externally	Yes
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information	Yes
Number of events recorded in last 12 months.	13

## Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice;

Event	Specific action taken
A prescription had been posted to the wrong patient	<ul> <li>The practice no longer posts prescriptions.</li> <li>All staff trained in Information Governance and Information Security</li> <li>The prescription drawer now checked regularly for mis-filed prescriptions</li> </ul>
Several events were where patients said they had lost prescriptions for controlled drugs and asking for a duplicate prescription.	<ul> <li>The practice introduced a system where prescriptions for controlled drugs could only be requested and printed by a GP.</li> <li>A process was introduced where when a prescription was collected, it was signed for and the clinical system noted accordingly.</li> </ul>

Safety Alerts	
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts	Yes
Staff understand how to deal with alerts	Yes

# **Effective**

## Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Prescribing				
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU). (01/07/2016 to 30/06/2017) (NHSBSA)	1.27	1.03	0.90	Comparable to other practices

CCG average 77.1%  CCG Exception rate 26) 8.6% CCG	Tengland average  79.5%  England Exception rate  12.4%	England comparison  Comparable to other practices
CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate 12.4%	
Exception rate  26) 8.6%	Exception rate	
-,		
CCG		
e average	England average	England comparison
81.5%	78.1%	Comparable to other practices
CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
/	0.070	
CCG e average	England average	England comparison
80.0%	80.1%	Comparable to other practices
4) 10.49/	12 20/	
	e average  81.5%  CCG Exception rate  4) 5.2% CCG average	e average average  81.5% 78.1%  e CCG England Exception rate 4) 5.2% 9.3%  CCG England average  80.0% 80.1%

Other long term conditions				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions. (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	73.0%	77.7%	76.4%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	3.5% (18)	4.1%	7.7%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with COPD who had a review undertaken including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	91.5%	91.2%	90.4%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	14.5% (54)	6.9%	11.4%	
	, ,	CCG		
Indicator	Practice	average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 150/90 mmHg or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	Practice 84.8%			
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 150/90 mmHg or	84.8%  Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	84.7%  CCG Exception rate	83.4%  England Exception rate	comparison  Comparable to
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 150/90 mmHg or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	84.8%  Practice Exception rate (number of	84.7%  CCG Exception rate 2.5%	83.4%  England Exception	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 150/90 mmHg or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	84.8%  Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	84.7%  CCG Exception rate	83.4%  England Exception rate	comparison  Comparable to
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 150/90 mmHg or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)  QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions) 1.9% (28) Practice	84.7%  CCG Exception rate 2.5% CCG	83.4%  England Exception rate 4.0% England	Comparison  Comparable to other practices  England
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 150/90 mmHg or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)  QOF Exceptions  Indicator  In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy.	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions) 1.9% (28)  Practice	84.7%  CCG Exception rate  2.5% CCG average	83.4%  England Exception rate 4.0% England average	Comparison  Comparable to other practices  England comparison  Comparable to

Child Immunisation				
Indicator	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
Percentage of children aged 1 with completed primary course of 5:1 vaccine. (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	104	104	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target Significant Variation (positive)
The percentage children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	103	109	94.5%	Met 90% Minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	105	109	96.3%	Met 95% WHO based target Significant Variation (positive)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (first dose of MMR) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	105	109	96.3%	Met 95% WHO based target Significant Variation (positive)

Cancer Indicators				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening who were screened adequately within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64 (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (Public Health England)	72.4%	73.1%	72.1%	Comparable to other practices
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (PHE)	68.3%	65.9%	70.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(PHE)	57.4%	52.5%	54.6%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (PHE)	80.4%	81.3%	71.2%	N/A

Mental Health Indicators				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	92.6%	92.3%	90.3%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
Indicator	17.1% (14) Practice	11.6% CCG average	12.5% England average	England comparison
		average	average	Companison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	94.5%	92.3%	90.7%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	11.0% (9)	8.4%	10.3%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	87.1%	84.3%	83.7%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	3.1% (2)	5.2%	6.8%	

## Monitoring care and treatment

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	558	542	539
Overall QOF exception reporting	6.4%	4.9%	5.7%

#### **Effective staffing**

Question	Y/N
The registered person provided assurances that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Yes
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed	Yes
The provider had a programme of learning and development.	Yes
There was an induction programme for new staff. This included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Yes
Staff had access to appraisals, one to one, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Yes
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Yes

The practice invested in the development of staff for example the Health Care Assistants had all previously been employed as receptionists.

## Coordinating care and treatment

Indicator	Y/N
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	Yes

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with physical and/or mental health conditions whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	95.3%	96.4%	95.3%	Comparable to other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
Indicator	0.4% (9) Practice	0.4% CCG average	0.8% England average	England comparison
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (PHE)	51.6%	60.5%	51.6%	Comparable to other practices

# Caring

## Kindness, respect and compassion

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received	13
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service	13
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service	0
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service	0

# Examples of feedback received:

Source	Feedback
Comment cards	Generally staff were considered kind and caring and patients said that it was a good service.

**National GP Survey results** 

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	% of practice population	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%
9,526	321	3%	109	33.96%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that they would definitely or probably recommend their GP surgery to someone who has just moved to the local area (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GP Patient Survey)	63.7%	75.7%	78.9%	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	83.8%	89.3%	88.8%	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who answered positively to question 22 "Did you have confidence and trust in the GP you saw or spoke to?" (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	89.4%	95.2%	95.5%	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	71.2%	86.4%	85.5%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	79.1%	91.3%	91.4%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	76.2%	90.0%	90.7%	Variation (negative)

# The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises Yes

Date of exercise	Summary of results
March 2018	The practice has carried out two of its own surveys which showed evidence of an improvement in patient satisfaction.

# **National GP Survey results**

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at explaining tests and treatments (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	64.4%	86.0%	86.4%	Significant Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at involving them in decisions about their care (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	65.3%	81.8%	82.0%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at explaining tests and treatments (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	80.3%	90.3%	89.9%	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at involving them in decisions about their care (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017) (GPPS)	74.1%	85.4%	85.4%	Comparable to other practices

Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language. Yes

Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations. Yes

Information leaflets were available in easy read format. Yes

Information about Carers Direct support group was available on the practice website. Yes

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified	The practice had 213 patients registered as carers. This was approximately 2% of the total patient list.
How the practice supports carers	The practice had a Carers Champion who ensured that carers were signposted to relevant support services. Carers were given a carers pack which included signposting information and consent forms to share information with other agencies. Appointments for carers were given as a priority.
How the practice supports recently bereaved patients	Staff told us that if families had experienced bereavement the practice contacted them. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.

#### **Privacy and dignity**

Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments. Yes

Narrative
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.

Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations. Yes

A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues. Yes

# Responsive

Responding to and meeting people's needs

Practice Opening Times				
Day	Time			
Monday	08:00-18:30			
Tuesday	08:00-18:30			
Wednesday	08:00-18:30			
Thursday	08:00-18:30			
Friday	08:00-18:30			

Extended hours opening	
	The practice is part of a group of local practices that offer appointments with a GP and Nurse until
	9.30pm Monday to Friday, weekends and bank
	holidays.

Home visits	
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention	Yes

If yes, describe how this was done
The request would be triaged by one of the GPs and a clinical decision made on whether a visit would be made.

#### Timely access to the service

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were 'Very satisfied' or 'Fairly satisfied' with their GP practices opening hours. (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	74.0%	79.0%	80.0%	Comparable to other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who gave a positive answer to "Generally, how easy is it to get through to someone at your GP surgery on the phone?" (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	34.3%	60.5%	70.9%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they wanted to see or speak to a GP or nurse from their GP surgery they were able to get an appointment (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	49.7%	68.2%	75.5%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	42.5%	67.9%	72.7%	Variation (negative)

Examples of feedback received from patients:

Source	Feedback
NHS Choices	The feedback is mixed with some patients experiencing difficulty accessing appointments. Other comments are about the excellent service received.

In response to the poor patient survey results the practice had:-

- Surveyed patients on a monthly basis and adjusted the appointment system several times in order to improve patient satisfaction.
- Added one morning surgery and one afternoon surgery which was book on the day only, adding 33 more routine appointments each day.
- Surgeries were adjusted each day to meet daily demand.
- At busy periods the administration team also answered phone calls adding up to three more lines.
- The practice constantly monitored both the telephones and appointments to improve satisfaction.

#### Listening and learning from complaints received

The complaints policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations. Yes (See My expectations for raising concerns and complaints and NHS England Complaints policy)

Information was available to help patients understand the complaints system. Yes

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	10
Number of complaints we examined	10
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way	10
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman	0

#### **Additional comments:**

Staff training was carried out following a number of complaints.

The practice introduced a system of synchronising medication so that all medications were due at the same time making it more convenient for patients.

# Well-led

#### Leadership capacity and capability

#### Vision and strategy

#### **Practice Vision and values**

The practice told us that they aim to be the best version of what they can on the day dealing with patients effectively and efficiently.

The practice has GPs who were planning to retire in the coming few years and were looking to recruit replacements.

#### Culture

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff	Many staff had worked for the practice for a long period of time and staff turnover was low
Staff	Staff felt proud and enjoyed working at the practice.

Examples of concerns raised by staff and addressed by the practice

Source	Example	
	Staff told us that they felt supported and were able to report any problems managers and they would have support.	s to
The practice's speaking up policies are in line with the NHSI National Raising Issues Policy. Yes		Yes

Examples of action taken by the practice to promote the safety and wellbeing of staff

Source	Example
Staff	Open door policy – managers were always available should they be needed

#### Examples of action taken by the practice to promote equality and diversity for staff

Source	Example
Training	Staff had completed training in Equality and Diversity.

#### Examples of actions to improve quality in past 2 years

Area	Impact
Prescribing	Audits show that inappropriate prescribing of antibiotics is reducing as required in current guidelines.

#### Appropriate and accurate information

Staff whose responsibilities include making statutory notifications understand what this	
entails	Yes

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Examples of methods of engagement

	Method	Impact
Patients	NHS Choices, Family and Friends	Patients are able to comment on the service provided by the practice. Feedback is reviewed and changes to the practice are made where appropriate.
Public	Patient Participation Group (PPG)	The practice is presently recruiting to the PPG and considering inviting patients to a virtual group.
Staff	Meetings	Regular meetings were held, the agenda was set by staff and managers and minutes circulated.
External partners	Meetings	The practice meets with various external partners to input and engage in local developments, learning opportunities and improve outcomes for patients.

#### Feedback from Patient Participation Group;

Feedback
The practice is presently recruiting to the PPG and are looking at inviting patients to take part in a virtual
group.

#### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

Examples of innovation and improvements	Impact on patients
The practice, with other	Patients were signposted to the right person at the right time across a

practices in the Clinical	variety of health services.
Commissioning Group and the	
wider NHS, had receptionists	
who were trained as care	
navigators.	

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a z-score, a statistical tool which shows the deviation from the England average. It gives us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average, and measures this in standard deviations. We calculate a z-score for each indicator, thereby highlighting the practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are +2 or more or -2 or less are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry.

N.B. Not all indicators are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for banding variation:

#### Significant variation (positive)

- Variation (positive)
- Comparable to other practices
- Variation (negative)
- Significant variation (negative)

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: <a href="http://www.cgc.org.uk/what-we-do/how-we-use-information/monitoring-qp-practices">http://www.cgc.org.uk/what-we-do/how-we-use-information/monitoring-qp-practices</a>