

Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Winstanley Medical Centre (P92038)

Inspection date: 1 August 2018

Date of data download: 18 July 2018

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2016/17.

Please Note: CQC was not able to automatically match data for this location to our own internal records. Data from external websites has been used to populate this Evidence Table. Sources are noted for each data item.

Safe

Safety systems and processes

Safeguarding	Y/N
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Yes
Safety and safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Yes
Policies were in place covering adult and child safeguarding.	Yes
Policies were updated and reviewed and accessible to all staff.	Yes
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role (for example level three for GPs, including locum GPs)	Yes
Information about patients at risk was shared with other agencies in a timely way.	Yes
Systems were in place to highlight vulnerable patients on record. There was a risk register of specific patients	Yes
Disclosure and Barring Service checks were undertaken where required	Yes

Recruitment Systems	Y/N
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Yes
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance and if relevant to role.	Yes
Systems were in place to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Yes
Staff who require medical indemnity insurance had it in place	Yes

Safety Records	Y/N
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person Date of last inspection/Test:	Yes Oct 16
There was a record of equipment calibration Date of last calibration:	Yes 18 Jan 18
Risk assessments were in place for any storage of hazardous substances e.g. liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals	Yes
Fire procedure in place	Yes
Fire extinguisher checks	Yes
Fire drills and logs	Yes
Fire alarm checks	Yes
Fire training for staff	Yes
Fire marshals	Yes
Fire risk assessment Date of completion	Yes
Actions were identified and completed.	Yes
Additional observations: Portable appliance testing is scheduled for Jan 19	
Health and safety Premises/security risk assessment? Date of last assessment:	Yes Jul 18
Health and safety risk assessment and actions Date of last assessment:	Yes Jul 18

Infection control	Y/N
Risk assessment and policy in place Date of last infection control audit: The practice acted on any issues identified: No issues identified	Yes Jul 18
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe?	Yes

Risks to patients

Question	Y/N
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Yes
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Yes
Risk management plans were developed in line with national guidance.	Yes
Staff knew how to respond to emergency situations.	Yes
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Yes
In addition, there was a process in the practice for urgent clinician review of such patients.	Yes
The practice had equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis.	Yes
There were systems in place to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance.	Yes
Explanation of any answers: Sepsis management: The practice had equipment available to undertake assessments such as adult and paediatric pulse oximeters, a blood pressure machine and thermometers. Staff had received training in sepsis awareness.	

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Question	Y/N
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Yes
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Yes
Referrals to specialist services were documented.	Yes
The practice had a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Yes
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Yes

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.90	1.05	0.95
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/01/2017 to 31/12/2017) (NHSBSA)	9.3%	8.3%	8.8%

Medicines Management	Y/N
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Yes
Staff had the appropriate authorisations in place to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Yes
Prescriptions (pads and computer prescription paper) were kept securely and monitored.	Yes
There was a process for the management of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Yes
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example audits for unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Yes
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Yes
Up to date local prescribing guidelines were in use.	Yes
Clinical staff were able to access a local microbiologist for advice.	Yes
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines and risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held.	Yes
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases.	Yes
There was medical oxygen on site.	Yes
The practice had a defibrillator.	Yes
Both were checked regularly and this was recorded.	Yes
Medicines that required refrigeration were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective in use.	Yes
Explanation of any answers: The Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) medicines management technician worked with the practice and undertook medicines audits on their behalf. If a change of medicines was identified for patients the medicines management technician would write informing them of any changes. Patients also had the opportunity for a medication review with the GP.	

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

Significant events	Y/N
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events	Yes
Staff understood how to report incidents both internally and externally	Yes
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information	Yes
Number of events recorded in last 12 months.	Seven
Number of events that required action	Seven

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice;

Event	Specific action taken
All events	The practice consistently recorded all significant events. They were all documented appropriately and discussed at staff meetings. Any learning points and actions were determined at these meetings. All events were then reviewed at subsequent meetings to ensure appropriate action had taken place.

Safety Alerts	Y/N
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts	Yes
Staff understand how to deal with alerts	Yes
Comments on systems in place: Safety alerts were circulated to the GPs by the practice manager. The principal GP would lead on these if action was required and ensured that any actions were cascaded to the appropriate staff member. The CCG medicines management technician, in cooperation with the GPs, acted upon medicines alerts.	

Effective

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Prescribing			
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/01/2017 to 31/12/2017) (NHSBSA)	0.43	0.98	0.84

People with long-term conditions

Diabetes Indicators			
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	90.4%	81.3%	79.5%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	
	21.9% (35)	13.9%	12.4%
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	82.5%	82.4%	78.1%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	21.3% (34)	7.9%	9.3%
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	85.3%	79.4%	80.1%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	19.4% (31)	13.3%	13.3%

Other long-term conditions			
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	71.6%	77.7%	76.4%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	9.9% (17)	9.2%	7.7%
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	92.2%	91.4%	90.4%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	3.0% (2)	9.8%	11.4%
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	85.5%	85.8%	83.4%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	4.5% (21)	4.1%	4.0%
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	100.0%	89.0%	88.4%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	18.2% (6)	6.0%	8.2%

Any additional evidence or comments: The most recent unverified QOF data indicated the practice had maintained the high QOF results but had reduced exception reporting in some areas

Families, children and young people

Child Immunisation				
Indicator	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib)((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)England)	64	64	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)England)	40	40	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)England)	40	40	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	39	40	97.5%	Met 95% WHO based target

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Cancer Indicators			
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2009 to 31/03/2017) (Public Health England)	79.8%	74.7%	72.1%
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (PHE)	74.3%	71.9%	72.5%
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(PHE)	57.0%	58.1%	57.4%
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (PHE)	80.0%	70.2%	70.3%
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (PHE)	27.3%	39.5%	51.0%

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Mental Health Indicators			
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	100.0%	92.3%	90.3%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	50.0% (3)	14.9%	12.5%
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	100.0%	93.3%	90.7%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	50.0% (3)	12.1%	10.3%
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	100.0%	85.6%	83.7%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	11.1% (1)	9.4%	6.8%
Any additional evidence or comments: The most recent unverified QOF data indicated the practice had maintained the high QOF results but had reduced exception reporting in some areas			

Monitoring care and treatment

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	552	544	534
Overall QOF exception reporting	6.8%	Not available	5.8%

Coordinating care and treatment

Indicator	Y/N
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	Yes

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (QOF)	99.7%	96.0%	95.3%
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate
	0.7% (5)	0.8%	0.8%

Consent to care and treatment

Description of how the practice monitors that consent is sought appropriately
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately and undertook checks of patient records to ensure this was recorded in an accurate and consistent manner.

Caring

Kindness, respect and compassion

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received	37
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service	37
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service	0
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service	0

Examples of feedback received:

Source	Feedback
CQC comment cards	All patients who responded said they were treated with kindness and dignity. We saw comments that staff and GPs were supportive, encouraging and caring, explaining care and treatment and taking time with patients; patients said they were treated with respect.
NHS Choices	There were several comments that indicated patients were satisfied they were treated with kindness, dignity and respect.
Friends and Family Test	Patients consistently said they were extremely or highly likely to recommend the practice.

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
3,119	296	108	36.49%	3.46%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that they would definitely or probably recommend their GP surgery to someone who has just moved to the local area (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	73%	80%	77%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	84%	88%	89%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who answered positively to question 22 "Did you have confidence and trust in the GP you saw or spoke to?" (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	96%	95%	95%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	83%	85%	86%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	93%	94%	91%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	91%	93%	91%

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Yes

Date of exercise	Summary of results
Jun/Jul 18	<p>There were 44 completed inhouse patient surveys. The results are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 75% of patients stated receptionists were very helpful, 25% reported they were

	<p>fairly helpful.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 89% of patients said it was easy to get through to the practice by phone. • 93% of patients felt that their last appointment was very or fairly convenient. • 75% of patients said their waiting time was less than ten minutes. • 91% of patients were very or fairly satisfied with their GP. • 93% of patients said the nursing provided by the surgery was very good or good. • 98% of patients were very or fairly satisfied with the surgery opening times. • 98% of patients reported the surgery overall to be very good or good. <p>Comments from patients were very complimentary about the staff, both clinical and administrative, and several remarked about the friendly service received.</p>
--	---

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Examples of feedback received:

Source	Feedback
CQC Comment Cards	All patients said they were involved in decisions about their treatment and care and received satisfactory explanations of any procedures or treatment they were going to undertake.

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at explaining tests and treatments (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	78%	86%	86%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a GP, the GP was good or very good at involving them in decisions about their care (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	75%	83%	82%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at explaining tests and treatments (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	91%	93%	90%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they saw or spoke to a nurse, the nurse was good or very good at involving them in decisions about their care (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	84%	89%	85%

Question	Y/N
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first	Yes

language.	
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Yes
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Yes

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified	97 carers identified, 3% of the patient population.
How the practice supports carers	There was a designated lead for carers in the practice. Practice staff had undertaken carers awareness training and there was information available for them in the practice waiting area.
How the practice supports recently bereaved patients	Staff told us that if families had experienced bereavement, their GP contacted them and all staff in the practice were notified of this. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service. The principal GP would attend funerals of patients subject to the wishes of the bereaved family.

Privacy and dignity

Question	Y/N
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Yes

	Narrative
Arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk	The chairs in the reception area were situated away from the reception desk. There were measures in place to ensure people could not see computer screens.

Question	Y/N
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Yes
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Yes

Responsive

Responding to and meeting people's needs

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Monday	8am to 6.30pm
Tuesday	8am to 8pm
Wednesday	8am to 6.30pm
Thursday	8am to 6.30pm
Friday	8am to 6.30pm

Patients requiring a GP outside of normal working hours were advised to contact the surgery and they would be directed to the local out of hours service which was provided by Bridgewater NHS Foundation Trust –through NHS 111. Additionally, patients can access GP services in the evening and on Saturdays and Sundays through the Wigan GP access alliance at locations across Wigan Borough.

Home visits	Y/N
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention	Yes
If yes, describe how this was done	
All home visit requests would be triaged by the GP to decide if a home visit was necessary.	

Timely access to the service

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
3,119	296	108	36.49%	3.46%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were 'Very satisfied' or 'Fairly satisfied' with their GP practice opening hours (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	88%	80%	76%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who gave a positive answer to 'Generally, how easy is it to get through to someone at your GP surgery on the phone?'	94%	79%	71%

(01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)			
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they wanted to see or speak to a GP or nurse from their GP surgery they were able to get an appointment (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	90%	86%	84%
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2017 to 31/03/2017)	91%	78%	73%

Examples of feedback received from patients:

Source	Feedback
CQC Comment Cards and inhouse patient survey	Patients commented that it was easy to get an appointment and they did not have to wait too long.

Listening and learning from complaints received

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	Eight
Number of complaints we examined	Eight
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way	Eight
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman	Nil

Well-led

Leadership capacity and capability

Examples of how leadership, capacity and capability were demonstrated by the practice

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.
- They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Any additional evidence

The practice had recently become a partnership with a view to long term succession planning for the GPs

Vision and strategy

Practice Vision and values

The practice ethos was to treat all patients, public and staff with Dignity & Respect and be safe, transparent, adaptable and resilient, all these underpinned with integrity.

They worked collaboratively with patients, professionals and the wider community for the benefit of the practice population

Culture

Examples that demonstrate that the practice has a culture of high-quality sustainable care

The practice had:

- An embedded culture of caring for patients and staff.
- All staff demonstrated an awareness of health values.
- Clinical staff were skilled to meet clinical needs.
- Policies, procedures and training were in place to support the vision and strategy.
- The practice worked collaboratively with other practices and the wider health community.

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff	Staff told us that they were well supported by management at the practice and

	they felt able to approach managers for support.
Staff	Staff were encouraged to engage in professional development.

Governance arrangements

Examples of structures, processes and systems in place to support the delivery of good quality and sustainable care.	
Practice specific policies	There were a range of both clinical and administrative policies, that were easily accessible for all staff, that supported the delivery of good quality and sustainable care.
	Y/N
Staff were able to describe the governance arrangements	Yes
Staff were clear on their roles and responsibilities	Yes

Managing risks, issues and performance

Major incident planning	Y/N
Major incident plan in place	Yes
Staff trained in preparation for major incident	Yes

Appropriate and accurate information

Question	Y/N
Staff whose responsibilities include making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Yes

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Feedback from Patient Participation Group;

Feedback
On the 70 anniversary of the NHS the practice arranged a tea party inviting patients turning 70 years old in 2018. It was well attended by patients and staff. The practice nurse took charge of the refreshments and money was raised for a local charity with a raffle. Members of staff made short presentations about the changes taking place in service provision. The patient participation group (PPG) was in attendance.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a z-score, a statistical tool which shows the deviation from the England average. It gives us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average, and measures this in standard deviations. We calculate a z-score for each indicator, thereby highlighting the practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are +2 or more or -2 or less are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry.

N.B. Not all indicators are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for banding variation:

- Significant variation (positive)
- Variation (positive)

- Comparable to other practices
- Variation (negative)
- Significant variation (negative)

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: <http://www.cqc.org.uk/what-we-do/how-we-use-information/monitoring-gp-practices>

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- **PHE:** Public Health England
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework (see <https://qof.digital.nhs.uk/>).
- **RCP:** Royal College of Physicians.
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.([See NHS Choices for more details](#)).