# **Care Quality Commission**

## **Inspection Evidence Table**

## **New Pond Row Surgery (1-542616986)**

Inspection date: 17 October 2018

Date of data download: 05 November 2018

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

## Safe

#### Safety systems and processes

Safeguarding	Y/N
There were lead members of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Υ
Safety and safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Υ
Policies were in place covering adult and child safeguarding.	Υ
Policies were updated and reviewed and accessible to all staff.	Υ
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role (for example level three for GPs, including locum GPs)	Υ
Information about patients at risk was shared with other agencies in a timely way.	Υ
Systems were in place to highlight vulnerable patients on record. There was a risk register of specific patients	Υ
Disclosure and Barring Service checks were undertaken where required	Υ

Recruitment Systems	Y/N
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance and if relevant to role.	Y
Systems were in place to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Y
Staff who require medical indemnity insurance had it in place	Y

Safety Records	Y/N
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person  Date of last inspection/Test: Booked for 02/11/2018	Y
There was a record of equipment calibration  Date of last calibration: 26/09/2018	Y
Risk assessments were in place for any storage of hazardous substances e.g. liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals	N/A
Fire procedure in place	N
Fire extinguisher checks	Υ
Fire drills and logs	Partly
Fire alarm checks	Υ
Fire training for staff	Y
Fire marshals	Y
<ul> <li>Fire risk assessment</li> <li>Date of completion: 17/01/2018</li> <li>Idditional comments: <ul> <li>Some of the recommended actions from a fire risk assessment undertaken in January 2018 had not been implemented. This included ensuring that fire evacuation drills were recorded, including unplanned evacuations which can be considered valid drills. However, actions that were identified as high risk and requiring immediate attention had been addressed.</li> <li>The practice's fire safety policy had not been updated to reflect the extension and new layout of the premises.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Health and safety Premises/security risk assessment? Weekly assessment undertaken by the practice manager Date of last assessment: 15/10/2018	Y
Health and safety risk assessment and actions Date of last assessment: 15/10/2018	Υ
Additional comments: Actions taken included the replacement of a broken equipment trolle purchasing of a new chair for reception staff.	y and the

Infection control	Y/N
Risk assessment and policy in place	Υ
Date of last infection control audit: September 2018	
The practice acted on any issues identified	Υ
Detail: No significant issues identified. As well as an annual audit of infection control the practice undertook weekly checks of each room and provided monthly reports which were discussed with the cleaning contractor.	
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe?	Y

## Risks to patients

Question	Y/N
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Υ
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Υ
Risk management plans were developed in line with national guidance.	Υ
Staff knew how to respond to emergency situations.	Y
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Υ
In addition, there was a process in the practice for urgent clinician review of such patients.	Y
The practice had equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis.	Υ
There were systems in place to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance.	Y
Explanation of any answers: It was noted that whilst receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient they had not had any awareness training specifically in relation to the 'red flag' sepsis symptoms that might be reported by patients.	

### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Question	Y/N
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Y
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Υ
Referrals to specialist services were documented.	Υ
The practice had a documented approach to the management of test results and this was	Υ

managed in a timely manner.	
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Υ

## Appropriate and safe use of medicines

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2017 to 30/06/2018) NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.93	0.94	0.95	Comparable with other practices
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/07/2017 to 30/06/2018) (NHSBSA)	10.4%	10.2%	8.7%	Comparable with other practices

Medicines Management	Y/N
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Υ
Staff had the appropriate authorisations in place to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Υ
Prescriptions (pads and computer prescription paper) were kept securely and monitored.	Υ
There was a process for the management of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Υ
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, audits for unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Y
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Υ
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were systems for the safe ordering, checks on receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines in line with national guidance.	N/A
Up to date local prescribing guidelines were in use.	Υ
Clinical staff could access a local microbiologist for advice.	Υ
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols in place for identifying and verifying the patient in line with General Medical Council guidance.	N/A
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines and risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held.	Υ
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases.	Υ
There was medical oxygen on site.	Υ
The practice had a defibrillator.	Υ

Both were checked regularly and this was recorded.	Y
Medicines that required refrigeration were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective in us	e. Y

### Explanation of any answers:

Following the results of a clinical audit the practice developed and implemented a template to ensure that the correct monitoring of patients being prescribed blood thinning medicines was undertaken. This ensures appropriate and safe levels of the medicine were prescribed on an ongoing basis. The template had been shared local as an example of good practice.

### Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

Significant events	Y/N
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events	Y
Staff understood how to report incidents both internally and externally	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months.	3
Number of events that required action	3

### Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice;

Event	Specific action taken
A two-week referral form was completed by the GP on the patient	The practice implemented a new system whereby all two-week referrals were documented manually in a book. Every week a staff
notes but not sent as a task to the	member checked with patients to see whether the referrals had
	been acknowledged by the hospital. If not, then the staff member
was therefore not sent.	chased this up with the hospital to make sure the referral had been
The GP had advised patient to contact	sent.
the surgery if they had not received	
appointment within 2 weeks.	

Safety Alerts	Y/N
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts	Y
Staff understand how to deal with alerts	Y
Comments on systems in place: All alerts were received by the practice manager who circ appropriately and kept a central record of all action taken in response.	ulated them

### Any additional evidence

Record keeping in relation to the reporting and recording of significant events was not always complete. It was therefore not always clear that the practice had learned from and shared lessons with all relevant staff, identified themes and acted to improve safety in the practice.

## **Effective**

## Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Prescribing				
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2017 to 30/06/2018) (NHSBSA)	0.85	0.79	0.83	Comparable with other practices

## People with long-term conditions

Diabetes Indicators				
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	85.2%	83.7%	78.8%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	18.2% (102)	17.8%	13.2%	
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	78.9%	77.4%	77.7%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	16.1% (90)	16.1%	9.8%	

Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	77.3%	80.1%	80.1%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	18.2% (102)	22.0%	13.5%	

Other long-term conditions				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	78.3%	68.8%	76.0%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	4.2% (21)	15.2%	7.7%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG	England	England
		average	average	comparison
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	78.5%	81.9%	average 89.7%	Variation (negative)
had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12				Variation

## Any additional evidence or comments

The practice had recently appointed an additional practice nurse which would help increase the practice's capacity for undertaking chronic disease management reviews.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	84.0%	78.8%	82.6%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	4.8% (72)	6.2%	4.2%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	88.3%	87.8%	90.0%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	6.6% (14)	6.8%	6.7%	

### Families, children and young people

Child Immunisation				
Indicator	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	63	64	98.4%	Met 95% WHO based target (significant variation positive)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	56	62	90.3%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	55	62	88.7%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	56	62	90.3%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)

## Any additional evidence or comments

The practice had recently appointed an additional practice nurse which would help increase the practice's capacity for providing childhood immunisation appointments.

## Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Cancer Indicators					
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison	
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (Public Health England)	71.2%	74.0%	72.1%	Comparable with other practices	
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3-year coverage, %) (PHE)	71.5%	72.2%	70.3%	N/A	
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5-year coverage, %) <sub>(PHE)</sub>	60.9%	61.2%	54.6%	N/A	
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (PHE)	85.7%	66.0%	71.3%	N/A	
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (PHE)	51.0%	52.1%	51.6%	Comparable with other practices	

## People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Mental Health Indicators				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	91.4%	74.7%	89.5%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	5.4% (4)	22.4%	12.7%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	93.1%	79.8%	90.0%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	2.7% (2)	17.4%	10.5%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	79.0%	82.6%	83.0%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	7.5% (5)	9.2%	6.6%	

### **Coordinating care and treatment**

Indicator	Y/N
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	Yes

### Helping patients to live healthier lives

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	96.6%	93.1%	95.1%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	0.6% (13)	0.8%	0.8%	

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

## Description of how the practice monitors that consent is sought appropriately

The practice could monitor that consent was sought for interventions through the patient records.

# Caring

## Kindness, respect and compassion

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received	13
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service	10
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service	3
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service	0

## Examples of feedback received:

Source	Feedback
Comments cards	Patients commented that the staff were always friendly, polite and helpful. They told us the doctors were caring and took the time to listen. The commented that they thought the surgery was clean and hygienic.
	The mixed comments were received from one patient who felt they may have been misdiagnosed. Another was unhappy they had had to wait too long to be seen by the health care assistant and who also felt the waiting area was too hot and stuffy with no fresh air. One patient commented that that prescriptions sometimes took a long time or went missing, but that this was always satisfactorily sorted out by a staff member.

### **National GP Survey results**

**Note:** The questions in the 2018 GP Survey indicators have changed. Ipsos MORI have advised that the new survey data must not be directly compared to the past survey data, because the survey methodology has changed in 2018. This means that we cannot be sure whether the change in scores was due to the change in methodology, or was due to a genuine change in patient experience.

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
7497	228	107	46.9%	1.43%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	88.9%	89.9%	89.0%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	86.9%	88.5%	87.4%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	98.2%	96.3%	95.6%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	79.3%	86.0%	83.8%	Comparable with other practices

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Ν

### Any additional evidence

The practice utilised the results of the national GP patient survey and formulated an action plan based on that.

### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

## **National GP Survey results**

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	95.8%	95.0%	93.5%	Comparable with other practices

Question	Y/N
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Υ
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Υ
Information leaflets were available in large print and easy read format.	Υ
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Υ

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified	The practice had 191 carers on its register which represented nearly 3 % of the practice's list.
How the practice supports carers	The practice had a dedicated 'carers' champion' who led on all carer-related matters, providing advice and information to staff and patients as required. They were also responsible for recording carer status on patient records. They ensured carers were given relevant information and sign posted them to the local carers' support organisations. There was carers' information and literature in the waiting area and on notice boards.
How the practice supports recently bereaved patients	The practice sent a sympathy card to patients and carers who had recently been bereaved. The card included information about who to contact and go to for further support including bereavement counselling

## Privacy and dignity

Question	Y/N
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Υ

	Narrative
Arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk	There was a queuing system at the reception which encouraged patients not to queue beyond a certain point to respect the privacy of patients speaking with the receptionist. There was a private room available for confidential conversations if required.

Question	Y/N
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Υ
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Υ

## Responsive

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

Practice Opening Times			
Day	Time		
Monday	8am – 6.30pm		
Tuesday	7am – 6.30pm (extended access appointments from 7am)		
Wednesday	8am - 6.30pm		
Thursday	8am – 7.30pm (extended access appointments until 7.20pm)		
Friday	8am – 6.30pm		

### Telephone lines open each day:

8am - 6.30pm

#### **Extended Access**

In addition to the practice's own extended access appointments patients could access evening and weekend appointments at locations across the locality as part of a GP 'extended access hub'.

Home visits	Y/N
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention	Υ

### If yes, describe how this was done

The reception staff took the details of all requests for a home which were forwarded to a GP for assessment. The visits were then prioritised and allocated to an appropriate clinician for a visit.

### National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
7497	228	107	46.9%	1.43%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs	96.9%	96.1%	94.8%	Comparable with other practices

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
were met (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)				

### Timely access to the service

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	47.6%	73.0%	70.3%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	61.5%	71.1%	68.6%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	55.8%	67.0%	65.9%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	69.2%	77.9%	74.4%	Comparable with other practices

#### Any additional evidence or comments

The practices GP patient survey results were below local and national averages for questions relating to access to care and treatment, particularly in relation to getting through to the practice on the phone. The practice was aware of this and had implemented several measures to improve access. This included employing additional receptionists to answer the phones. Additional practice nurses, an advanced nurse practitioner and paramedic staff had been employed to provide additional appointments and GP hours had been increased. The appointment system had been reviewed to provide increased pre-bookable appointments and dedicated telephone slots. The practice had also joined with the local 'GP access hub' which provided additional evening and weekend appointments.

## Listening and learning from complaints received

Complaints	Y/N
Number of complaints received in the last year.	6
Number of complaints we examined	6
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way	6
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman	0

### Well-led

### Leadership capacity and capability

#### Examples of how leadership, capacity and capability were demonstrated by the practice

The practice leaders demonstrated leadership, capacity and capability in several ways. They had closed an old branch surgery and invested in the building an extension to their existing premises which provided more suitable facilities for patients and staff. They had enhanced the skill mix of the practice with the employment of an advanced nurse practitioner and paramedic practitioners to provide additional capacity to meet increased patient demand. Investment had also been made in additional reception and nursing staff to provide more prompt access for patients. Practice leaders engaged with the local health and social care community and took opportunities for innovative and collaborative working. For example, by working with the local GP federation to increase access to appointments in the evenings and at weekends through the GP Access Hubs.

### Vision and strategy

#### **Practice Vision and values**

The practice's key aims and values were to: -

- Offer skilled care to enable Patients to achieve their optimum state of health and well-being.
- Treat all Patients with respect at all times.
- Uphold the human and citizenship rights of all who receive treatment, work and visit here.
- Support individual choice and personal decision-making as the right of all patients.
- Respect and encourage the right of independence of all patients.
- Recognise the individual uniqueness of patients, staff and visitors, and treat them with dignity and respect at all times.
- Respect individual requirement for privacy at all times and treat all information relating to individuals in a confidential manner.

#### Culture

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff questionnaire Staff interviews	Feedback from staff was mixed. Most staff told us they enjoyed working at the practice and were proud to do so. They told us they had good access to training and felt all their learning needs were met.  Most staff told us they felt able to raise concerns and that their views were listened to and acted on. For example, the practice had recruited additional reception staff to deal with increased workload.  However, some staff did not feel sufficiently supported in their roles. They told us that did not feel they could raise concerns or issues in confidence and felt that there was a culture of blame in relation to complaints. They did not always feel they were sufficiently respected or treated courteously. Some staff felt they could
	benefit from more frequent staff meetings.  We fed this back to the partners and they told us they had been made aware of

these concerns because of a previous staff survey. They felt these issues had	
been dealt with and that things had improved but realised there was some more	<u> </u>
work to do to ensure staff felt sufficiently supported in their roles.	

### **Governance arrangements**

Examples of structures, quality and sustainable of	processes and systems in place to support the delivery of gare.	good
Practice specific policies  We saw that there were policies in place for safeguarding, infection control and health and safety. However, some polices required updating, for example the fire safety policy which required updating to reflect the requirements of the new premises.		ing, for
		Y/N
Staff could describe the go	vernance arrangements	Y
Staff were clear on their roles and responsibilities		Y

## Managing risks, issues and performance

Major incident planning	Y/N
Major incident plan in place	Υ
Staff trained in preparation for major incident	Υ

## Examples of actions taken to address risks identified within the practice

Risk	Example of risk management activities
Increased wait for appointments	Recruitment of additional reception and clinical staff to meet increasing patient demand.
Health and safety risk assessments	Regular health and safety risk assessments were undertaken, for example the purchasing of new equipment and office furniture.

#### Appropriate and accurate information

Question	Y/N
Staff whose responsibilities include making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Υ

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

### Feedback from Patient Participation Group;

#### **Feedback**

The practice was in the process of re-establishing its patient participation group. However, we saw evidence that the practice engaged with patients and acted in response to their feedback. For example, in response to the national GP patient survey results, the practice had invested in additional staff and had reviewed its appointment system to increase capacity and improve telephone response times. The practice had also made the waiting are more comfortable and relaxing for patients by providing drinking water and playing soothing background music. There was a quiet area of the waiting room for patients who preferred a more peaceful and private area.

The practice had engaged two local primary schools and a secondary school to display the pupils' artwork around the surgery. This had helped enhance the practice environment and sense of community.

#### Continuous improvement and innovation

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits in past two years

Audit area	Improvement
Adherence to prescribing guidelines for an antibiotic medicine	A two-cycle audit enabled the practice to demonstrate a significant improvement in adherence to prescribing guidelines for an antibiotic medicine. This meant the practice had assurance it was meeting required standards and following good practice

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as comparable, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as comparable to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

	Variation Band	Z-score threshold
1	Significant variation (positive)	Z ≤-3
2	Variation (positive)	-3 < Z ≤ -2
3	Comparable to other practices	-2 < Z < 2
4	Variation (negative)	2 ≤ Z < 3
5	Significant variation (negative)	Z ≥3
6	No data	Null

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link:

http://www.cqc.org.uk/what-we-do/how-we-use-information/monitoring-gp-practices

#### Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework (see <a href="https://qof.digital.nhs.uk/">https://qof.digital.nhs.uk/</a>).
- RCP: Royal College of Physicians.
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment. (See NHS Choices for more details).