

Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Islington Central Medical Centre (1-550738505)

Inspection date: 4 December 2018

Overall rating: add overall rating here

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

Safe

Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	
There were lead members of staff for adult and child safeguarding processes and procedures.	Y
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Y
Policies were in place covering adult and child safeguarding.	Y
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Y
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	Y
Policies were accessible to all staff.	Y
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role (for example, level three for GPs, including locum GPs).	Y
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Y
Systems were in place to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Y
There was a risk register of specific patients.	Y
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Y

Safeguarding	
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.	Y
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers. to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <p>The practice's policies had been reviewed in May 2018. They were available to all staff on the Intradoc system. We saw evidence that safeguarding cases were discussed at clinical meetings, with minutes circulated to staff by email, requesting read receipts. Minutes were then stored on Intradoc for easy access. Minutes of MDT meetings were also passed on to staff by email and stored on the shared drive.</p> <p>The practice showed us evidence of appropriate systems being in place for coding and flagging of patients' records where safeguarding concerns were known and of how these were monitored and reviewed. We saw evidence of the systems being discussed and reviewed at practice meetings.</p> <p>The availability of chaperones was advertised in the waiting areas and consultation rooms and on the practice website. Twenty staff had been trained to act as chaperones. Those that we spoke with were aware of the chaperoning process and their responsibilities.</p>	

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance and if relevant to role.	Y
Systems were in place to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Y
Staff who required medical indemnity insurance had it in place.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person.	Y
Date of last inspection/test:	July 2018
There was a record of equipment calibration.	Y
Date of last calibration:	July 2018

Risk assessments were in place for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Y May 2018
There was a fire procedure in place.	Y May 2018
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks. Date of last check:	Y 18/10/18
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill:	Y 28/11/18
There was a record of fire alarm checks. Date of last check: System inspected and certified:	Y 28/11/18 14/11/18
There was a record of fire training for staff. Date of last training:	Y Various / ongoing
There were fire marshals in place.	Y
A fire risk assessment had been completed. Date of completion:	Partial 18/10/17
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The 2018 fire risk assessment was overdue. We discussed this with practice and were sent evidence confirming one was carried out on the 12 December 2018. Fire drills were conducted every six months. The fire alarm was tested weekly. There were five trained fire marshals.	
Health and safety	Y/N/Partial
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out. Date of last assessment:	Y 3/4/18
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment:	Y 3/4/18
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: Evidence of risk assessment provided.	

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
An infection risk assessment and policy were in place.	Y
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Date of last infection prevention and control audit:	November 2018
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Y
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>Lead responsibility for infection prevention and control was shared between one of the partner GPs and the service development manager.</p> <p>Staff had been trained appropriately according to their roles and responsibilities and undertaken relevant refresher training and updates. We were shown evidence that the leads had further specialist training booked for January 2019.</p> <p>We saw evidence of ongoing monitoring of cleaning and liaison with the cleaning contractor to discuss any identified during the monitoring process. IPC issues and guidance were discussed and reviewed at clinical meetings.</p>	

Risks to patients

There were adequate in systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Y
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Y
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Y
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Y
Panic alarms were fitted and administrative staff understood how to respond to the alarm and the location of emergency equipment.	Y
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Y
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Y

There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Y
There was equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis or other clinical emergencies.	Y
There were systems in place to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance.	Y
When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>Work rotas were subject to ongoing review by the practice management, with restrictions placed on staff leave during known or anticipated busy times. Arrangements were in place to use staff from the IHub extended hours service, which operates from the premises, to cover in emergencies.</p> <p>Information regarding sepsis was available to patients in the waiting areas. We saw evidence that sepsis guidance had been discussed at a clinical meeting in June 2018 and distributed to staff. Most staff had received recent relevant training and we were sent evidence confirming the few remaining members completed the training shortly after our inspection.</p>	

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Y
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented.	Y
There was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Y
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>The practice had conducted a recent referral process audit and introduced revised processes and guidance as a consequence, including increased use of the Electronic Referral System.</p>	

The practice showed us evidence that individual clinicians' correspondence received and processed using the Docman system was effectively managed.

The practice provided evidence of an effective monitoring process for 2-week referrals.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.59	0.71	0.94	Variation (positive)
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)	14.5%	10.2%	8.7%	Variation (negative)

Medicines management	
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Y
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Y
Staff had the appropriate authorisations in place to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	N/A
There was a process in place for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Y
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Y

Medicines management	
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Y
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Y
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Y
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures in place for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	N/A
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Y
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols in place for verifying patient identity.	N/A
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Y
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases.	Y
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems were in place to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Y
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>The practice's protocols on prescriptions, prescriptions compliance and repeat prescribing had been reviewed in May 2018. A revised process relating to broad-spectrum anti-biotics (such as co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones) prescribing had recently been introduced.</p> <p>The practice showed us evidence of repeat-cycle prescribing audits, monitoring performance and effecting improvements.</p> <p>We found two examples of patients prescribed high-risk medicines (Warfarin and Lithium), whose health and / or test results had not been monitored in accordance with prescribing guidelines. We discussed them with the partner GPs. The practice was entirely responsive and this matter was raised as a significant event after our inspection. The practice sent us records of the matters being investigated and of learning from them being reviewed at a clinical meeting, with clinicians being reminded of the need to follow prescribing guidance.</p> <p>We saw evidence of monitoring of emergency medicines and equipment.</p>	

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	18
Number of events that required action:	2
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: Significant events reviews were a standing agenda item for clinical meetings.	

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
Breach of confidentiality	Meeting with patient to discuss data breach. Information Commissioner notified. Action plan drawn up: policy put in place; test emails being sent to patient to confirm correct address, before any personal information is sent.
Burglary	Lock up procedure implemented. Prescription security reviewed.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The saw the practice's procedure for receiving and acting on safety alerts, last revised in May 2018, and reviewed a number of examples. Several staff members were registered to received alerts from the NHS Central Alerting System. Named staff were responsible for reviewing these and establishing their relevance. Where necessary, appropriate records searches were conducted and any affected patients contacted. We saw letters sent to patients in respect of a recent alert regarding the shortage of Junior Epipens and patients prescribed Hydrochlorothiazide had been contacted by phone regarding a possible associated risk.	

Effective

Rating: Good

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
Appropriate referral pathways were in place to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>Guidance, such as that issued by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence, (NICE) was a standing agenda item for clinical meetings. The practice showed us evidence that it had implemented revised system for monitoring, discussing and implementing such guidance and we were shown several examples of this practice.</p>	

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)	0.54	0.81	0.81	No statistical variation

Older people

Population group rating: add rating here

Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.

- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks were offered to patients over 75 years of age.

People with long-term conditions Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated appropriately.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	88.3%	78.8%	78.8%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	17.0% (84)	15.7%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	81.7%	77.0%	77.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.5% (22)	11.0%	9.8%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	79.0%	81.2%	80.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	6.3% (31)	12.8%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	77.0%	76.8%	76.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.7% (16)	3.5%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.2%	91.2%	89.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.3% (5)	7.4%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	80.5%	82.0%	82.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.2% (33)	4.7%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	82.4%	85.7%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	15.6% (22)	12.7%	6.7%	N/A

Findings

- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children’s appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health visitors when necessary.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib)((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	225	242	93.0%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	187	220	85.0%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	187	220	85.0%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	186	220	84.5%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice was aware of the below-average figures for three of the childhood immunisation indicators. Staff told us this was partly due to a transient population, there being a 20% annual turnover of patients. We saw evidence the practice sent several reminders to parents regarding the benefits of immunisations to encourage and improve take-up rates.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (Public Health England)	62.4%	63.3%	72.1%	No statistical variation
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3-year coverage, %) (PHE)	54.3%	59.2%	70.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5-year coverage, %)(PHE)	51.5%	44.4%	54.6%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (PHE)	71.1%	73.9%	71.3%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (PHE)	65.8%	53.3%	51.6%	No statistical variation

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.

- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	90.2%	91.5%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	5.2% (10)	9.0%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	92.5%	91.7%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.1% (8)	8.2%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	80.8%	84.5%	83.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	7.1% (4)	4.7%	6.6%	N/A

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	553.8	-	537.5
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	3.9%	6.2%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

We saw evidence that clinical audit drove improvement at the practice. For example, a two-cycle audit of 150 patients with atrial fibrillation showed a reduction in the number being inadequately coagulated (ie. not being prescribed anticoagulants) from 11.7% to 9%. We also saw a two-cycle audit of patients prescribed sodium valproate showed an increase in the number of those whose records documented them receiving counselling regarding the associated risks.
There was evidence of the audits being discussed at clinical meetings for learning to be shared.

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice highlighted other quality improvement work, such as learning from significant events and complaints, reviewing and implementing clinical guidance, and various team and clinical meetings sharing information and developments in healthcare.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Y

The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Y
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff. This included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example nurses.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	N/A
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>We reviewed five staff files and saw that required recruitment checks had been carried and appropriate information was recorded. Training needs was monitored using an online system.</p> <p>Appraisals of non-clinical staff were up to date. Appraisals of clinical staff were conducted outside the practice. We discussed the benefits of in-house appraisals with the partner GPs and it was confirmed that a system for this would be introduced.</p>	

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	Y
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Y
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p>	

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.8%	94.6%	95.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.3% (8)	0.8%	0.8%	N/A

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Caring

Rating: Good

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Y
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	67
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	63
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	4
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	0

Source	Feedback
Comments cards	The majority of comments cards we received were consistently positive regarding the service, particularly in relation to caring aspects. Those that were mixed, mentioned issues such as occasional delays in telephone access, getting appointments and the Electronic Prescription Service. However, they stated these had improved.
PPG members	This was positive regarding the service being caring.

National GP Survey results

Note: The questions in the 2018 GP Survey indicators have changed. Ipsos MORI have advised that the new survey data must not be directly compared to the past survey data, because the survey methodology changed in 2018.

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
18830	426	115	27%	0.61%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	89.1%	86.6%	89.0%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	82.3%	84.9%	87.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	96.9%	94.8%	95.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	74.3%	81.9%	83.8%	No statistical variation

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Y

Any additional evidence

We saw the results of a patient survey conducted for the practice by an independent agency. The survey was responded to by 257 patients, of whom 52% described the service as excellent; 28% thought it very good; and 13% good.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Y
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Source	Feedback
Comments cards	None of the cards we received recorded negative feedback regarding patients' involvement in decisions. Where patients did mention the issue, they were consistently positive.

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	94.2%	92.3%	93.5%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpreter services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Y
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	N
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
The practice agreed to investigate the availability of easy-read material and obtain a supply.	
The practice was one of three in Islington with a video link available for practitioners of British Sign Language.	

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	325 patients identified – 1.7%
How the practice supported carers.	Information was available on the practice website and in hard copy in the waiting areas.
How the practice supported recently bereaved patients.	Information was available on the practice website and in hard copy in the waiting areas.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Y
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Y
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Y
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Responsive

Rating: Good

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Y
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who were more vulnerable or who had complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.	Y
Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	08:00 - 18:30
Tuesday	08:00 - 20:30
Wednesday	08:00 - 20:30
Thursday	08:00 - 20:30
Friday	08:00 - 18:30

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
18,830	426	115	27%	0.61%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	98.2%	94.6%	94.8%	No statistical variation

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- In recognition of the religious and cultural observances of some patients, the GP would respond quickly, often outside of normal working hours, to provide the necessary death certification to enable prompt burial in line with families' wishes when bereavement occurred.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: **Good**

Findings

- Patients with multiple conditions had their needs reviewed in one appointment.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

Families, children and young people

Population group rating: **Good**

Findings

- Additional nurse appointments were available until 19:30 one day a week for school age children so that they did not need to miss school.
- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: **Good**

Findings

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was open until 20:30 three days a week. Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area, under the CCG's extended hours service.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable
group rating: Good

Population

Findings

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)
Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Priority appointments were allocated when necessary to those experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these accordingly.

Timely access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Y
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Y
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: Requests for home visits were assessed and triaged by the duty GP. Staff told us approximately 50% of patients had registered for online access, including appointment booking and requesting repeat prescriptions.	

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	45.7%	75.7%	70.3%	N/A
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	54.3%	67.6%	68.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	51.9%	63.1%	65.9%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	71.5%	70.0%	74.4%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments
The practice had noted the issues relating to telephone access and patients' experience of making an appointment. It had recently introduced a new telephone system with enhanced capability. Patient feedback we received, including that from the members of the patient participation group, was positive and showed that improvements had been made.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	20 written 21 verbal
Number of complaints we examined.	5
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	5
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Information regarding the complaints procedure was set out on the website and leaflets were available in the waiting areas. The practice had identified complaints as being “learning events” and complaints reviews were a standing agenda item for clinical meetings. We saw several examples of reviews and discussions.

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
Lack of supplies in patient toilet	Implemented regular service reviews with cleaning contractor.
Breach of confidentiality	Reviewed training and instructions given to staff.

Well-led

Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels. Leaders could demonstrate that they had the capacity and skills to deliver high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Y
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Y
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Y
There was a leadership development programme in place, including a succession plan.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: For example, a new telephone system had been introduced following feedback from patients.	

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Y
There was a realistic strategy in place to achieve their priorities.	Y
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y

Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Y
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff survey	Positive regarding leadership and working conditions.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems in place which were regularly reviewed.	Y
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Y
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems in place which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes in place to manage performance.	Y
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: We saw the practice's business continuity plan had reviewed and updated in September 2018.	

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Y
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The practice carried out regular staff surveys, using an independent agency. The saw recent results, involving feedback from 19 staff members, which was positive regarding the leadership aspects at the practice.	

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Feedback
We spoke with seven members of the patient participation group, who were very positive in their feedback regarding the service. There were four PPG meeting a year, attended by approximately 20 patients. Members told us the practice engaged well with the PPG.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Examples of continuous learning and improvement

The practice showed us numerous examples of feedback from secondary care providers that was complimentary regarding the service.
Practice staff worked together well to share learning and to implement and develop improvements.
The practice worked with the local Quality Improvement Support Team to improve the quality of care and with the Care and Health Integrated Network, to effect closer integration with secondary and community services.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practice's performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

	Variation Band	Z-score threshold
1	Significant variation (positive)	$Z \leq -3$
2	Variation (positive)	$-3 < Z \leq -2$
3	No statistical variation	$-2 < Z < 2$
4	Variation (negative)	$2 \leq Z < 3$
5	Significant variation (negative)	$Z \geq 3$
6	No data	Null

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link:

<https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- **PHE:** Public Health England
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.