Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

The Bell Surgery (1-552346795)

Inspection date: 6 November 2018

Date of data download: 07 November 2018

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

Safe Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Υ
Policies were in place covering adult and child safeguarding.	Υ
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Υ
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	Y
Policies were accessible to all staff.	
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role (for example level three for GPs, including locum GPs).	
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	
Systems were in place to identify vulnerable patients on record.	
There was a risk register of specific patients.	
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required	
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.	
The provider had regular discussions with health visitors, school nurses, community midwives, social workers etc. to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Υ

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Υ
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance and if relevant to role.	
Systems were in place to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Y
Staff who required medical indemnity insurance had it in place.	Y
Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person. Date of last inspection/Test: May 2018	Y
There was a record of equipment calibration. Date of last calibration: February 2018	Y
Risk assessments were in place for any storage of hazardous substances e.g. liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Y
Fire procedure in place.	Y
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks. Date of last check: June 2018	Υ
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill: June 2018	Υ
There was a record of fire alarm checks. Date of last check: June 2018	Υ
There was a record of fire training for staff. Date of last training: January 2018	Υ
There were fire marshals in place.	Y
A fire risk assessment had been completed. Date of completion: June 2018	Υ
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Y
Health and safety Premises/security risk assessment carried out. Date of last assessment: January 2018	Υ
Health and safety risk assessment and actions Date of last assessment: June 2018	Υ

Infection control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
Infection risk assessment and policy in place	Y
Staff had received effective training on infection control.	Y
Date of last infection control audit: July 2018	Y
The provider had acted on any issues identified in infection control audits.	Y
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
The clinical waste bin outside was locked but not enclosed and secure in line with national	ıl guidance.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

Question	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Y
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Υ
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Υ
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Υ
Panic alarms were fitted and administrative staff understood how to respond to the alarm and the location of emergency equipment.	Υ
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Υ
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Partial
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinician review of such patients.	Υ
There was equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis or other clinical emergency.	
There were systems in place to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance.	Υ
When there were changes to services or staff the provider assessed and monitored the impact on safety.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• The practice operated a buddy system to organise annual leave and cover for unexpected absences. The practice had sufficient resilience to cover any additional absences.

- The practice operated a system of having a recommended staff training topic each month. The topic
 of the month was sepsis. All staff were due to undertake training in recognising the symptoms of
 sepsis and what action they should take (Sepsis is a rare but serious complication of an infection.
 Without quick treatment, sepsis can lead to multiple organ failure and death.)
- Reception staff were aware of some symptoms relating to a deteriorating patient but had limited knowledge on red flag symptoms for sepsis. We were told that they would all be completing the training to improve this.
- All requests for home visits were triaged by the on-call GP.
- There were public awareness posters in waiting area and on the screen.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial	
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Υ	
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Υ	
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.		
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.		
Referrals to specialist services were documented.		
There was a system to monitor delays in referrals.		
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.		
The provider demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Υ	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

 All test results and referrals were managed and checked on a regular basis to ensure all were appropriate and actioned. Any abnormal or concerning test results were actioned by one of the clinicians in a timely manner.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2017 to 30/06/2018) NHS Business Service Authority -	0.73	0.82	0.95	Comparable with other practices

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/07/2017 to 30/06/2018) (NHSBSA)	10.4%	10.6%	8.7%	Comparable with other practices

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The provider had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	
Staff had the appropriate authorisations in place to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	
Prescriptions (pads and computer prescription paper) were kept securely and monitored.	Υ
There was a process for the management of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Υ
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example audits for unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Υ
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Υ
Up to date local prescribing guidelines were in use.	Υ
Clinical staff were able to access a local microbiologist for advice.	Υ
Patients were appropriately informed when unlicensed or off-label medicines were prescribed.	Υ
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines and risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held.	Υ
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases.	Υ
There was medical oxygen on site.	Υ
The practice had a defibrillator.	Y
Both were checked regularly and this was recorded.	Υ
Medicines that required refrigeration were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective in use.	
Patients' health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up on appropriately.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Prescribing was underpinned by a comprehensive prescribing policy which was reviewed and

- updated as required.
- In relation to the monitoring of high risk medicines the practice ensured that an appropriate blood test result was present before a prescription could be issued.
- The practice had emergency medicines to cover medical situations that might arise, this was stored in a treatment room and checked weekly by one of the nursing team.

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months.	16
Number of events that required action	8

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- Staff were encouraged to raise any areas of concern relating to safety. The identification and
 analysis of significant events was clear, honest and open. The spreadsheet on which all
 significant events were recorded was comprehensive and easy to read and analyse for trends.
 The process was underpinned by a comprehensive significant event policy and protocol, both of
 which were regularly reviewed.
- On the day of inspection, the tracking of significant events was not fully effective. Although we
 could see actions had been taken and staff told us they were discussed regularly at meetings
 there was some difficulty in efficiently case tracking to provide evidence of when they were
 discussed at meetings.

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice

Event	Specific action taken
0	A new process was developed and implemented by administration staff to identify issues earlier.
Missed two week wait referral	A new system for processing referrals was introduced.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Υ

Staff understand how to deal with alerts.	Y
---	---

Effective

Rating: Good

Please note: QOF data relates to 2016/17 unless otherwise indicated

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Peoples' needs were assessed, and care and treatment delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
Appropriate referral pathways were in place to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Prescribing				
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2017 to 30/06/2018) (NHSBSA)	0.59	0.56	0.83	Comparable with other practices

Older people

Population group rating: Good

- Older patients who were frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. The practice used an appropriate tool to identify patients aged 65 and over who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Medication reconciliations were completed by the practice's medicine management team on receipt of discharge and any amendments documented and shared with appropriate clinicians.
- The practice completed proactive, personalised digital care plans on patients on the palliative care
 register, with dementia, and those at high risk of admission to share information regarding long
 term conditions and patient's wishes with Out of Hours and ambulance services.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardiovascular disease were offered statins for secondary prevention.
 People with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated as appropriate.
- The practice could demonstrate how it identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- The practice's performance on quality indicators for long term conditions was comparable with local and national averages.
- The practice offered annual checks for pre-diabetes patients offering lifestyle advice, dietary modification, dietician referrals and further reviews.
- Practice nurses are trained to initiate insulin, reducing secondary care referrals.

Diabetes Indicators				
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	81.3%	79.2%	78.8%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	9.4% (30)	13.6%	13.2%	
Indicator	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	75.2%	78.1%	77.7%	Comparable with other practices
	Practice	ccg	England	
QOF Exceptions	Exception rate (number of exceptions)	Exception rate	Exception rate	

Indicator	Pract perform		CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	79.4	%	82.5%	80.1%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practi Exception (numbe exception	n rate er of	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	10.3%	(33)	13.4%	13.5%	

Other long term conditions					
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison	
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	80.9%	76.9%	76.0%	Comparable with other practices	
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate		
	3.0% (16)	5.6%	7.7%		
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison	
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	95.4%	90.6%	89.7%	Comparable with other practices	
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate		
	10.0% (12)	11.0%	11.5%		

Indicator	Practice		CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	85.9%		82.9%	82.6%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)		CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	3.3%	(42)	4.2%	4.2%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG	England	England	
maicator	1140	.100	average	average	comparison
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	90.7	%	90.1%	90.0%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Pract Exception (number exception	n rate er of	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	

Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Good

- The practice had systems in place to encourage uptake of childhood immunisations.
- Childhood immunisation uptake rates were above the target percentage of 90% in all areas. The practice had achieved 98% of children aged one with a five in one vaccine, this was significantly above the national target.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.
- The practice had undertaken a first cycle audit of safeguarding procedures within the practice. Actions had been implemented and a further audit was planned in January 2019 to measure improvement.
- The practice operates a weekly integrated child health clinic with a doctor undertaking baby checks and nurses undertaking vaccinations.
- Appointments are available on Saturdays and after school for children.
- Teenager's sexual health screens are offered at the practice.
- Teenagers are given leaflets to outline health issues related to them.

Child Immunisation				
Indicator	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017)(NHS England)	58	59	98.3%	Met 95% WHO based target (significant variation positive)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	67	73	91.8%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	67	73	91.8%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (NHS England)	67	73	91.8%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)

Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice undertook regular clinical searches for recall for pertussis vaccine after 16 weeks of pregnancy.
- Flu vaccine were offered to pregnant women at any trimester within the flu season.
- The practice held regular meetings with health visitors to discuss the welfare of children and their families. Families were signposted and referred to support services as appropriate.
- The practice was aware of local protocols regarding actions to take if any children were deemed to be at risk.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was comparable to CCG and national averages. The national target is 80% and the practice achieved 72%.
- The practice had a system in place to ensure all cervical screening samples taken had a result received into the practice.
- The practice's uptake for breast and bowel cancer screening was higher than the national average.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.

Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for
patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and
checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

Cancer Indicators				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (Public Health England)	72.3%	71.6%	72.1%	Comparable with other practices
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (PHE)	72.4%	74.7%	70.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) _(PHE)	60.4%	57.6%	54.6%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (PHE)	70.5%	78.5%	71.3%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (PHE)	50.0%	61.2%	51.6%	Comparable with other practices

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability. Currently there were no homeless or travellers on the patient register.
- The practice had achieved 82% of all disability reviews undertaken, with the national average being 40%.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice had provided long appointments and interpreter services for those patients for whom English was not there first language.
- The practice worked with vulnerable or complex patients with difficult social circumstances to appropriate support services.
- The practice had identified 2% of the patient list as carers.
- There were two carer champions at the practice: to support carers, help with appointments &

- services.
- A carers information pack was available, Carers were coded on the notes and invited for flu vaccines.
- Annual carers talk arranged through the Patient Population Group with known carers invited.

Population groups - People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe
 mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for
 physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
 There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term
 medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- The practice offered annual health checks to patients with a learning disability.
- The practices performance on quality indicators for mental health was in line with local and national averages.
- The adult mental health team (AMHT) had weekly clinics at the surgery. This enabled them to develop a close working relationship with the clinical team and offered regular opportunities for advice and liaison.

Mental Health Indicators				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	93.8%	91.4%	89.5%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	11.1% (6)	9.0%	12.7%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.0%	89.2%	90.0%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	7.4% (4)	8.2%	10.5%	
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	78.3%	84.7%	83.0%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	3.4% (4)	4.9%	6.6%	

Any additional evidence or comments

• The practice maintained a register of patients fulfilling the following criteria: housebound, dementia, severe and enduring mental health illness, looked after children, children on the protection register, learning disability, patients identified as at risk of hospital admission, vulnerable adults.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Question	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements.
- The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

Improvement activity

Audit on Lithium monitoring. There was an improvement in the monitoring of Lithium levels within the blood from 73% to 92%. The monitoring of other blood markers had decreased from 73% to 69%. Following this a new protocol to monitor other blood markers at the same time as the lithium levels was introduced.

Apixiban Renal Function Monitoring Audit. The initial audit showed that the practice was meeting set standards in one out of three criteria. Following training of staff within the practice a re-audit showed 100% achievement within all three criteria.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Y
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed	Υ
The provider had a programme of learning and development.	Υ
There was an induction programme for new staff. This included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Υ
Staff had access to appraisals, one to one, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- A comprehensive training plan was in place, this was regularly updated and amended following staff appraisals.
- Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.

Coordinating care and treatment

Indicator	Y/N
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	Υ

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Y
Care was delivered and reviewed in a co-ordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
The practice had regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register were discussed.	Y

- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when discussing care
 delivery for people with long term conditions and when coordinating healthcare for care home
 residents. They shared information with, and liaised, with community services, social services and
 carers for housebound patients and with health visitors and community services for children who
 have relocated into the local area.
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- Chronic disease management meetings were held regularly to ensure that opportunities to review patients with long term conditions was maximised.
- Palliative care meetings took place monthly and were attended by clinicians, administration staff and representatives from the community palliative care team. Meeting minutes were clear and unambiguous.
- Monthly nurse's meetings were held and well attended, minutes of these meetings were clear and well documented.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Υ
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y

- The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients by referring to social prescribing schemes.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	95.6%	95.0%	95.1%	Comparable with other practices
QOF Exceptions	Practice Exception rate (number of exceptions)	CCG Exception rate	England Exception rate	
	0.5% (9)	0.7%	0.8%	

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Y

- We noted that consent was recorded both in patient notes and on consent forms where appropriate.
- We observed written consent was taken for minor surgical procedures and fitting of contraceptive coils.
- The consent process was underpinned by a consent policy which was regularly reviewed and updated as required.

Caring

Rating: Good

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received	25
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service	25
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service	0
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service	0

Examples of feedback received

Source	Feedback
	Patients we spoke with on the day of the inspection told us staff were always kind and
	respectful and that the reception staff went the extra mile.

National GP Survey results

Note: The questions in the 2018 GP Survey indicators have changed. Ipsos MORI have advised that the new survey data must not be directly compared to the past survey data, because the survey methodology has changed in 2018. This means that we cannot be sure whether the change in scores was due to the change in methodology, or was due to a genuine change in patient experience.

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
8,690	284	111	39.1%	1.28%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	92.8%	91.1%	89.0%	Comparable with other practices

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	94.1%	89.7%	87.4%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	99.0%	96.7%	95.6%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	88.4%	87.3%	83.8%	Comparable with other practices

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Υ

Date of exercise	Summary of results
	90% of patients felt they were relatively satisfied with the triage system and the ability to speak with a duty doctor on the day.
	76% of patients found it relatively easy to get an appointment with any doctor.
	42% of patients found it relatively easy to get an appointment with their allocated doctor.

Any additional evidence

Following the results of the in-house survey the practice altered their appointment booking system. They were planning to do a follow up survey once the new system had been implemented for an appropriate length of time.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Examples of feedback received:

Source	Feedback
Interviews with patients and comments cards	Patients told us they were always involved in decisions regarding their care and that all options were discussed with them.

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	95.4%	95.6%	93.5%	Comparable with other practices

Question	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Y
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Y
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Υ

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and numbers of carers identified	The practice had identified 2% of the practice list as carers
How the practice supports carers	 Two carer champions at the practice: to support carers, help with appointments & services.
	 Carers pack available, "Carers" coded on the notes and invited for flu jabs.
	Annual carers talk arranged through the PPG with known carers invited.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Y
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Υ
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Y

	Narrative
confidentiality at the reception desk	The reception desk was some distance from the seating area in the waiting room. There was a notice at the reception desk informing patients that if they required additional confidentiality this could be accommodated and they should inform reception staff.

Source	Feedback
CQC patient comment cards	Patients all said the practice had caring staff who treat you with concern and respect.
Patient Interviews	Patients told us that staff were kind and caring and responded to their needs.

Responsive

Rating: Good

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice took account of peoples' needs and choices so that people received personalised care that was responsive to their needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who were more vulnerable or who had complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.	Υ
Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.	Y
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention	Υ

- All consultation rooms at the practice were on the ground floor and provision had been made for wheelchair users.
- The practice offered a same day access clinic every afternoon for patients who needed to be seen on the day.
- The practice operated under a personal list model to ensure that patients were given continuity of care as much as possible.

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Appointments available:	
Monday	08.00 – 18.30
Tuesday	08.00 – 18.30
Wednesday	08.00 – 18.30
Thursday	08.00 – 18.30
Friday	08.00 – 18.30
	07.30 - 08.00 on Thursday
Extended hours	18.30 – 19.30 on various weekday evenings
	Occasional Saturday mornings

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
8,690	284	111	39.1%	1.28%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	96.4%	95.3%	94.8%	Comparable with other practices

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- All patients were treated by named GPs who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.
- The practice administration team contacted all elderly patients who were discharged from hospital following a non-elective admission.
- The practice signposted or referred to appropriate services depending on the patient needs.
- The practice referred to a hospital at home service to minimise hospital admission and lengths of stay.

Population groups - People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines
 needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and
 consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Longer appointments were offered to review long-term conditions.
- The patient participation group (PPG) organised Consultant Lead educational events for the public, on medical conditions relevant to the population which were very well attended and received.
 These were evaluated by the PPG chair to ensure they were appropriate to the practice population needs.

Population groups – Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- Appointments were available on Saturdays and after school for children.
- The practice actively encouraged family registration with a single GP for continuity.

Population groups – Working age people (including those recently retired and students) Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, extended opening hours on Saturday morning and evening appointments.
- The practice had established a website, with a link from the main practice site, that included health advice. This was available to patients that worked and found it difficult to attend the practice during opening hours for an appointment.
- The practice offered nurse led travel clinics every week.
- Early appointments were offered to suit working women to attend well woman health clinics.
- The practice offered daily telephone consultations for patients to speak to their registered GP or a
 GP of their choice with an aim of improving continuity of care, providing further access to patients
 and reducing the need for patients to take time off work.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers, veterans and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.
- The surgery was a designated "Safe Place", for those seeking safety.
- Carers were identified and offered annual health checks, annual flu vaccinations and were screened for problems such as depression and anxiety.

Population groups - People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia) Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice held GP led regular mental health and dementia appointments for those patients identified. Patients who failed to attend were proactively followed up by a phone call.
- Practice staff were dementia trained.
- The practice used template for mental capacity assessments and best interest evaluations.

Timely access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	83.1%	82.3%	70.3%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	65.1%	75.8%	68.6%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	57.9%	69.6%	65.9%	Comparable with other practices
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	76.6%	80.3%	74.4%	Comparable with other practices

Examples of feedback received from patients:

Source	Feedback
	Patients we spoke with told us it was usually very easy to obtain an appointment and that reception staff were very accommodating.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints and concerns were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	12
Number of complaints we examined	3
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way	
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement	Υ

- There was a comprehensive complaints policy and procedure.
- The complaints we examined had been recorded, responded to and investigated in a proportionate and appropriate manner.
- We noted that complaints were regularly discussed at practice meetings and daily discussions.
- The practice reviewed its complaints to identify trends and learning.

Well-led Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Υ
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Υ
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Υ
There was a leadership development programme in place, including a succession plan.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The practice business development plan was comprehensive and clear, and covered appropriate areas.
- Staff we spoke to were complimentary about the leadership at the practice. We were told that the leaders were approachable, supportive and inclusive. Staff told us this made them feel motivated.
- Staff reported that they felt well led and part of a team. There was strong collaboration and support across all teams and a common focus on improving the quality of care and people's experiences.
- Staff met regularly to discuss any issues or complex cases and to offer and receive peer support.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Υ
There was a realistic strategy in place to achieve their priorities.	Υ
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Υ

- The practice had reviewed and planned its delivery of care which had involved a review of current and future demand both in the short and long term, consideration of the best methods to meet demand and the structure of the assets and staff to best meet that demand.
- Regular monthly meetings were held, agendas and minutes of meetings demonstrated that the leadership took a structured and detailed approach to achieving its aims and objectives and ensuring patient safety, staff welfare and effective systems and processes.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Υ
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHSI National Raising Issues Policy.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- Quarterly staff meetings were held, these were well structured and included content aimed at improvement at all levels.
- Staff were aware of the practice "Whistleblowing" policy and how to raise concerns.

We reviewed two significant events where duty of candour should have been considered in line with national guidance. The events were assessed as low risk by the practice and therefore patients would not need to have been informed in line with duty of candour. Following the inspection, the provider sent us evidence that duty of candour had been added to significant event reporting forms to ensure it was considered after every event.

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff interviews	Staff told us they felt involved in decisions on how the practice was managed. Nursing staff said they had prompt access to GPs when they needed clinical advice.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems in place which were regularly reviewed.	Y
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Y
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y

- Staff we spoke with were clear on the leadership structure, policies and how their role contributed to the practice vision.
- All clinicians attended the weekly meeting which was the forum at which significant events analysis,

- complaints, compliments, new policies and procedures were discussed as well as feedback from courses attended and clinical cases were reviewed.
- Communication was effective and organised through structured, documented meetings and emails.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems in place which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes in place to manage performance.	Υ
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted co-ordinated person-centred care.
- There was a comprehensive business plan in place to ensure the future of the practice.
- The provider had undertaken a number of risk assessments relevant to the provision of clinical care, including infection control and premises risk assessments. Recommendations from risk assessments had been actioned.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities include making statutory notifications understand what this entails.	Y

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Y
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The provider worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- Staff told us that they felt very much included in the team and the vision of the practice and managers were keen to seek their opinions on the way the practice delivered care.
- Patients had a variety of means of engaging with the practice all of which were effective: social media, text messages, emails and complaints/comments.
- Staff feedback highlighted a strong team with a positive supporting ethos.
- Staff said the leadership team proactively asked for their feedback and suggestions about the way
 the service was delivered.

Feedback from Patient Participation Group (PPG)

Feedback

The practice had worked closely with the PPG and had developed a range of health talks, which were delivered by local consultants.

The PPG told us that their views and ideas were listened and accommodated as much as possible.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y

Examples of continuous learning and improvement

All staff received individualised training opportunities which were discussed at their appraisals. The practice used this information to inform its overall training plan.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as comparable, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as comparable to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

	Variation Band	Z-score threshold
1	Significant variation (positive)	Z ≤-3
2	Variation (positive)	-3 < Z ≤ -2
3	Comparable to other practices	-2 < Z < 2
4	Variation (negative)	2 ≤ Z < 3
5	Significant variation (negative)	Z≥3
6	No data	Null

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link:

http://www.cqc.org.uk/what-we-do/how-we-use-information/monitoring-gp-practices

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework (see https://qof.digital.nhs.uk/).
- RCP: Royal College of Physicians.
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.(See NHS Choices for more details).