## **Care Quality Commission**

## **Inspection Evidence Table**

## Dr Vridhagiri Nandini (1-517634714)

Inspection date: 14 December 2018

Date of data download: 11 December 2018

## **Overall rating: Good**

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

## Safe

## **Rating: Good**

Safety systems and processes

## The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe

## and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial	
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Yes	
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.		
Policies were in place covering adult and child safeguarding.	Yes	
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Yes	
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	Yes	
Policies were accessible to all staff.		
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role (for example, level three for GPs, including locum GPs).		
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.		
Systems were in place to identify vulnerable patients on record.		
There was a risk register of specific patients.		
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.		
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.		
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers. to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.		

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Yes
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance and if relevant to role.	Yes
Systems were in place to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Yes
Staff who required medical indemnity insurance had it in place.	Yes

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person.	Yes
Date of last inspection/test: January 2018	
There was a record of equipment calibration. Date of last calibration: January 2018	Yes
Risk assessments were in place for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Yes
There was a fire procedure in place.	Yes
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks. Date of last check: November 2018	Yes
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill: January 2018	Yes
There was a record of fire alarm checks. Date of last check: December 2018	Yes
There was a record of fire training for staff. Date of last training: Various as recorded on e-learning file	Yes
A fire risk assessment had been completed. Date of completion: November 2018	Yes
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Yes

Health and safety	Y/N/Partial		
Premises risk assessment had been carried out.	Vee		
Date of last assessment: November 2018	Yes		
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.	Yes		

Date of last assessment: November 2018	

### Infection prevention and control

## Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met/.

	Y/N/Partial
An infection risk assessment and policy were in place.	Yes
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Yes
Date of last infection prevention and control audit: December 2018	Yes
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Yes
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Yes
Additional evidence or comments	
The practice had improved recording of cleaning of clinical rooms.	

### **Risks to patients**

# There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Yes
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Yes
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Yes
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Yes
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Yes
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Yes
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Yes
There was equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis or other clinical emergency.	Yes
There were systems in place to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance.	Yes

### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

## Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Yes
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Yes
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Yes
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Yes
Referrals to specialist services were documented.	Yes
There was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Yes
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Yes
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Yes

### Appropriate and safe use of medicines

# The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.77	0.88	0.94	No statistical variation
The number of prescription items for co- amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)	7.3%	10.5%	8.7%	No statistical variation

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Yes
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Yes
Staff had the appropriate authorisations in place to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Yes
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Yes
There was a process in place for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Yes
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Yes
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Yes
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Yes
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Yes
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures in place for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	Yes
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Yes
For online prescribing there were effective protocols in place for verifying patient identity.	Yes
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases.	Yes
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems were in place to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Yes
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Yes

## Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

## The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Yes
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Yes
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Yes
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Yes
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Yes
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	Three
Number of events that required action:	One

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
•	The referral had been made by another provider for a patient registered at the practice. The referral was picked up shortly after the two-week deadline.
	The practice reflected on the incident. They realised that their reliance on the patients and/or the other provider to notify the practice when no referral was received was not sufficient safeguard.
	They now have a backup system where staff record the referral on an independent system which is regularly checked to help ensure such referrals are not missed.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Yes
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Yes

## Effective

## **Rating: Good**

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Yes
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Yes
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Yes
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Yes
Appropriate referral pathways were in place to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Yes
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Yes

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)	0.75	0.96	0.81	No statistical variation

## Older people

## Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- In suitable cases patients were referred to the local care coordination service, a social charity which aimed to support independence and reduce social isolation.

## People with long-term conditions Population group rating: Outstanding

Findings
<ul> <li>Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.</li> <li>Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.</li> <li>GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.</li> <li>The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension. The prevalence of these diseases was in every case higher than the local and national averages. This is often seen as an indicator of effective diagnostic skills within the practice.</li> <li>Ninety five percent of patients with COPD had had an assessment of in the preceding 12 months compared with 89% locally. All patients with heart failure had had their diagnosis confirmed by an echocardiogram compared with 95% locally. All patients with peripheral arterial disease had a blood pressure reading within the recommended range compared with 90% locally. Every patient with rheumatoid arthritis had had a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months compared with 88% locally. All patients of stroke had a blood pressure reading within the recommended range compared with 65% locally.</li> <li>Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.</li> <li>Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated appropriately. All the patients in this category had been so assessed compared with 97% locally.</li> <li>The practice focused on communicating with patients by telephone rather by letter. Patients who had not had their annual, or regular medicines reviews, were contacted by telephone and encouraged to make an appointment, at a time suitabl</li></ul>
reviewed.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	96.6%	77.6%	78.8%	Significant Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	8.5% (11)	16.3%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	91.4%	75.9%	77.7%	Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.5% (2)	10.6%	9.8%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	89.4%	76.6%	80.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	5.4% (7)	14.7%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	97.0%	74.7%	76.0%	Significant Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.7% (5)	12.0%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	95.2%	89.0%	89.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.6% (1)	14.9%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	93.4%	80.8%	82.6%	Significant Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.6% (6)	5.5%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	97.5%	90.2%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	7.0% (3)	5.6%	6.7%	N/A

## Families, children and young people

## Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- The practice had achieved a 100% vaccination rate across all four of the childhood immunisations recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO) targets.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health visitors when necessary.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib)((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)(NHS England)	17	17	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target (significant variation positive)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	26	26	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target (significant variation positive)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	26	26	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target (significant variation positive)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	26	26	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target (significant variation positive)

# Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

## **Population group rating: Good**

#### Findings

 Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified. • Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (Public Health England)	81.3%	74.4%	72.1%	No statistical variation
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (РНЕ)	78.2%	72.3%	70.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(рне)	61.5%	55.4%	54.6%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (PHE)	100.0%	74.3%	71.3%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017) (PHE)	40.0%	48.7%	51.6%	No statistical variation

# People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

## Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, and those with a learning disability. Eighty three percent of patients with a learning disability had had an annual assessment. The practice used the Cardiff Health Check which is recognised as best practice for this assessment.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.

## People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

### **Population group rating: Good**

#### Findings

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe. We saw evidence that the practice took note of such patients and followed up on concerns about their well-being.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	100.0%	81.0%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0 (0)	15.9%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	100.0%	84.2%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0 (0)	13.5%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	100.0%	81.3%	83.0%	Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0 (0)	7.9%	6.6%	N/A

#### Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG	England
			-

		average	average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	556	-	537.5
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	3.0%	6.2%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Yes
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Yes

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

The practice had carried out an audit of the prescribing of medicines that help prevent blood clots to ensure that they were being prescribed to the correct patient types and that those patients were having the appropriate blood tests. This was a two-cycle audit which had resulted in an improvement to the system for checking that patients were getting the right blood tests.

We saw an audit, of pulmonary rehabilitation, the first cycle had been completed and the second cycle in progress.

The practice had completed a two-cycle audit of high risk anti-biotic prescribing which had resulted in a reduction in the use of these medicines.

#### Effective staffing

## The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Yes
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Yes
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Yes
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Yes
There was an induction programme for new staff. This included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Yes
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Yes
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Yes

There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	Yes

### Coordinating care and treatment

# Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	Yes
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Yes
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or or organisations were involved.	Yes
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Yes

### Helping patients to live healthier lives

## Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Yes
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Yes
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Yes
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Yes

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding	99.1%	93.8%	95.1%	Variation (positive)

12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)				
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0 (0)	1.0%	0.8%	N/A

## Consent to care and treatment

# The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Yes
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Yes
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Yes

## Caring

## **Rating: Good**

### Kindness, respect and compassion

# Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Yes
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Yes

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	42
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	2
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	0

Source	Feedback
Interviews with patients	Patients were positive about the involvement they had in their care and treatment.
Comment cards	Patients described how they felt listened to and how the doctors explained results and treatments to them clearly enabling them to be involved in decisions about their care. Patients felt that communication with the practice was very good with several comments relating to the benefits of receiving a telephone call as opposed to a letter. The two mixed comments were about matters outside of the practice's control such as parking and steps up to the building.
NHS Choices	There were 14 reviews left for the practice, 13 were positive. The issues commented on were the professionalism of the clinical staff, friendliness of the reception and administration staff and ease of getting an appointment.

### **National GP Survey results**

**Note:** The questions in the 2018 GP Survey indicators have changed. Ipsos MORI have advised that the new survey data must not be directly compared to the past survey data, because the survey methodology changed in 2018.

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
2141	249	102	41%	4.76%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	77.9%	84.2%	89.0%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	80.6%	83.0%	87.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	93.4%	93.7%	95.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	85.0%	74.1%	83.8%	No statistical variation

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

## Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Yes

Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community	Yes
and advocacy services.	162

## National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	88.9%	91.0%	93.5%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Yes
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Yes
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Yes
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Yes

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	The practice had identified 10 patients who were carers. This was about 0.5% of the practice list.
How the practice supported carers.	The practice had a system that formally identified patients who were also carers and written information was available to direct carers to the various avenues of support available to them. Patients who were also carers were offered influenza vaccinations annually. The practice's computer system alerted staff if a patient was also known to be a carer.
	In suitable cases carer were referred to Carers First, a social action charity, aiming to support people's independence and reduce social isolation
How the practice supported recently bereaved patients.	All bereavements are notified to all staff so they are aware when talking to relatives. Relatives are offered a consultation either by telephone or a home visit.
	The practice also provided help by signposting relatives to other support services where appropriate.

## Privacy and dignity

## The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Yes
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Yes
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Yes
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Yes

## Responsive

## **Rating: Good**

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs

## The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Yes
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Yes
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Yes
The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who were more vulnerable or who had complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.	Yes
Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

The practice was only accessible up a slope and there was no ramp to help patients in wheelchairs or those with prams. The practice had raised this with their landlord on several occasions but the landlord had declined to make any changes. The doctor visited patients who were wheelchair bound in their own homes.

Practice Opening Times				
Day	Time			
Opening times:				
Monday	8am – 6pm			
Tuesday	8am – 6pm			
Wednesday 8am – 6pm				
Thursday	8am – 12 noon 4pm – 6pm			
Friday	8am – 6pm			
Appointments available:				
Monday	9.20am – 1pm 3.40pm – 6pm			
Tuesday	9.20am – 1pm 3.40pm – 6pm			
Wednesday	9.20am – 1pm 3.40pm – 6pm			
Thursday	10am – 12noon			

Friday	9.20am – 1pm 3.40pm – 6pm
-	

#### National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
2141	249	102	41%	4.76%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	97.4%	92.4%	94.8%	No statistical variation

#### **Older people**

## Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.

### People with long-term conditions

### Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- Patients with multiple conditions had their needs reviewed in one appointment.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

## Families, children and young people

# Findings There were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident

 All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.

## Population group rating: Good

## Working age people (including those recently retired and students) Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area, as the practice was a member of a local hub service.

### People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

### Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

## People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia) Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- Priority appointments were allocated when necessary to those experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these accordingly.

#### Timely access to the service

## People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Yes

The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Yes
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Yes

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	97.9%	57.6%	70.3%	N/A
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	88.0%	57.5%	68.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	74.7%	55.2%	65.9%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	86.1%	66.0%	74.4%	No statistical variation

Source	Feedback
NHS Choices	Of 14 reviews six mentioned the ease of getting an appointment
Comments cards and patients' interviews	The availability of appointments was a common theme in both these sources.

## Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

# Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	one
Number of complaints we examined.	one
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	one
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	none

## Additional evidence or comments

There was nothing in the complaint that could be used to improve the quality of the service.

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Yes

## Well-led

## **Rating: Good**

### Leadership capacity and capability

## There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Yes
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Yes
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Yes

#### Vision and strategy

# The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Yes
There was a realistic strategy in place to achieve their priorities.	Yes
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Yes
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Yes

#### Culture

## The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Yes
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Yes
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Yes
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Yes
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Yes

#### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems in place which were regularly reviewed.	Yes
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Yes
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Yes

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff interviews	Staff felt the doctors and management were approachable. There was an inclusive approach and time was made available for training and development. Staff were able to attend practice meetings and their contributions to the meetings were welcomed

#### Managing risks, issues and performance

# There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems in place which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Yes
There were processes in place to manage performance.	Yes
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Yes
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Yes
A major incident plan was in place.	Yes
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Yes

#### Appropriate and accurate information

# There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Yes
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Yes
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Yes

There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Yes
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed.	Yes

### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

# The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Yes
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Yes
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Yes

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

#### Feedback

Meeting notes showed that members of the patient participation group were actively involved in aspects of how the practice was run, for example by their discussions about how, and how much, information should be displayed in the waiting area

#### Continuous improvement and innovation

## There were evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial	
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Yes	
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Yes	
Examples of continuous learning and improvement		
The principal GP had been awarded a national prescribing safety award for diabetic care for two years running. There were new staff members who brought new skills such as the use of spreadsheets to the		
organisation.		

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "zscore" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

	Variation Band	Z-score threshold
1	Significant variation (positive)	Z ≤-3
2	Variation (positive)	-3 < Z ≤ -2
3	No statistical variation	-2 < Z < 2
4	Variation (negative)	2≤Z<3
5	Significant variation (negative)	Z ≥3
6	No data	Null

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

• Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

#### Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- **QOF**: Quality and Outcomes Framework
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.