# **Care Quality Commission**

# **Inspection Evidence Table**

# Faccini House Surgery (1-549327639)

Inspection date: 29 January 2019

Date of data download: 30 January 2019

# **Overall rating: Good**

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 29 January 2019. We had previously carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 23 November 2017. At that time the service was rated as requires improvement. It was rated as requires improvement for the safe, effective and well led domains and good for caring and responsive.

The areas where we said that the provider must make improvement were:

 Establish effective systems and processes to ensure good governance in accordance with the fundamental standards of care with regards to: clinical governance, risk management and monitoring care and treatment for people with long-term conditions and mental health conditions.

The areas where we said the provider should make improvements were:

- Review and improve uptake for immunisations and screening programmes.
- Improve patient satisfaction with care and treatment and access to the service.
- Make information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns readily available to patients and the public.
- Review practice policies and procedures so the duty of candour is clearly reflected.
- Improve systems for engaging with patients, obtaining patient feedback and acting on concerns.

At the inspection on 29 January we found that these areas had been addressed by the practice which is now rated as good in all areas.

# Safe Rating: Good

#### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Y
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Y
There were policies covering adult and child safeguarding.	Υ
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Y
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	Υ
Policies were accessible to all staff.	Y
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role (for example, level three for GPs, including locum GPs).	Y
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Y
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Υ
There was a risk register of specific patients.	Partial
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Υ
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.	Y
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- We saw examples of safeguarding referrals and all members of staff were aware of when to raise safeguarding concerns.
- The practice did not hold a formal register for adult patients where there was a safeguarding risk.
  These patients could be flagged and searched for on the practice's patient record database, and
  when opening patient records, staff would be aware that there was a safeguarding risk associated
  with the patient, but there was no formal register. The practice stated that they would do this after
  the inspection.

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance and if relevant to role.	Y
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Y
Staff had any necessary medical indemnity insurance.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
<ul> <li>At our previous inspection, information was not held on staff indemnity. In this ins</li> </ul>	nection staff

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person.  Date of last inspection/test:	Y September 2018
There was a record of equipment calibration.  Date of last calibration:	Y August 2018
There were risk assessments for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Y
There was a fire procedure.	Υ
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks.  Date of last check:	Y May 2018
There was a log of fire drills.  Date of last drill:	Y 18 November 2018
There was a record of fire alarm checks.	Υ
There was a record of fire training for staff.  Date of last training:	Y All trained in the last year
There were fire marshals.	Υ
A fire risk assessment had been completed.  Date of completion:	Y 12 July 2018
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	•

Health and safety	Y/N/Partial
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out.	Y
Date of last assessment:	25 April 2018
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.	Υ
Date of last assessment:	May 2018
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

### Infection prevention and control

### Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an infection risk assessment and policy.	Y
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Date of last infection prevention and control audit:	31 December 2018
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Υ
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- An infection control audit from December 2017 had stated that the practice should remove carpets and change the floor in clinical rooms and fit new taps to sinks, all of which had been changed at the time of the inspection.
- The lock of the bin in which clinical waste was stored prior to collection was broken at the time of the inspection. The lead GP was unaware that it was broken, stating that it must have happened very recently, and stated that they would address this following the inspection.

### **Risks to patients**

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Y
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Υ
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Υ
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Υ
Panic alarms were fitted and administrative staff understood how to respond to the alarm and the location of emergency equipment.	Y
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Y
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Υ
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Y
There was equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis or other clinical emergency.	Y
There were systems to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance.	Y

When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• The practice had trained all staff, including administrative and reception staff, on the identification and management of suspected sepsis.

### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

# Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Y
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Υ
Referrals to specialist services were documented.	Υ
There was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Υ
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Υ
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

# Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) NHS BUSINESS Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.86	1.01	0.94	No statistical variation
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)	11.4%	9.3%	8.7%	No statistical variation

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Y
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Partial
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Y
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Y
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Y
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Y
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Υ
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Y
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks	N/A

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Y
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Y
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Y
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases.	Y
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Y
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- Regular audits of medicines (including those that are high risk) were completed and systems were in place to ensure that patients had regular blood testing and reviews.
- The practice monitored stocks of prescription pads, but not individual prescriptions for printers. Following the inspection, the practice put a system in place to address this.

Dispensary services (where the practice provided a dispensary service)	Y/N/Partial
There was a GP responsible for providing effective leadership for the dispensary.	N/A
The practice had clear Standard Operating Procedures which covered all aspects of the dispensing process, were regularly reviewed, and a system to monitor staff compliance.	N/A
Dispensary staff who worked unsupervised had received appropriate training and regular checks of their competency.	N/A
Prescriptions were signed before medicines were dispensed and handed out to patents. There was a risk assessment or surgery policy for exceptions such as acute prescriptions.	N/A
Medicines stock was appropriately managed and disposed of, and staff kept appropriate records.	N/A
Medicines that required refrigeration were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with the manufacturer's recommendations to ensure they remained safe and effective.	N/A
If the dispensary provided medicines in Monitored Dosage Systems, there were systems to ensure staff were aware of medicines that were not suitable for inclusion in such packs, and appropriate information was supplied to patients about their medicines.	N/A
If the practice offered a delivery service, this had been risk assessed for safety, security, confidentiality and traceability.	N/A
Dispensing incidents and near misses were recorded and reviewed regularly to identify themes and reduce the chance of reoccurrence.	N/A
Information was provided to patients in accessible formats for example, large print labels, braille, information in a variety of languages etc.	N/A
There was the facility for dispensers to speak confidentially to patients and protocols described the process for referral to clinicians.	N/A
Explanation of any answers and other comments on dispensary services:	•

### Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events		
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y	
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y	
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y	
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y	
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.		
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	73	
Number of events that required action:	See below	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• The practice had a low threshold for reporting significant events, and as such there were a higher number than in most practices. In all cases learning was shared with the team, even where the incident was of a relatively minor nature.

Examples of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
being auto filtered into QOF database by	The practice reported the issue to the CCG and subsequently NHS England in order that they were aware of the problem and that QOF filters might be amended. Changes were made to benefit all practices.
	The practice implemented a new more detailed recall process, which had improved patient attendance in both areas.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Υ
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The previous report highlighted that safety alert management was not formalised. The practice now had a thorough system where all safety alerts were accessible, linked to actions taken by the practice on receipt of these alerts. All alerts that we reviewed had been actioned as required.

# **Effective**

# **Rating: Good**

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 29 January 2019. We had previously carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 23 November 2017. At that time the service was rated as requires improvement for effective. We found the following:

- Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) exception reporting rates for people with long-term conditions and mental health conditions was significantly higher than local and national averages indicating that a large proportion of patients had not been monitored effectively.
- There was no clear process to indicate that medicines and safety alerts were well-managed or that the practice had clear oversight of risks related to staff recruitment and training records.

These areas were found to have been addressed at the inspection of 29 January 2019.

### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Υ
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Υ
There were appropriate referral pathways were in place to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• The practice had introduced new and robust processes for sharing alerts and other relevant information with clinicians. There was an audit system in place showing what actions the practice had taken on receipt of notifications.

Prescribing	Practice performance		England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU)	0.55	0.94	0.81	No statistical variation

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
(01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)				

### Older people

# Population group rating: Good

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks were offered to patients over 75 years of age.

### People with long-term conditions

### Population group rating: Good

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated appropriately.
- Indicated exception rates for the coming year were less than five percent overall. They had
  previously been significantly higher than the CCG and national average (see statistics below)
  The practice had introduced further follow up procedures to reduce exception reporting in the
  practice.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	87.7%	76.8%	78.8%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	25.9% (74)	9.6%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	84.1%	74.3%	77.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	21.0% (60)	8.2%	9.8%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	71.1%	75.1%	80.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.1% (9)	10.9%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	76.2%	75.9%	76.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.3% (3)	7.4%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	84.0%	90.1%	89.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.6% (3)	7.2%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	81.6%	80.3%	82.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	11.2% (80)	4.8%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	83.3%	89.6%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.8% (1)	5.7%	6.7%	N/A

### Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

- Childhood immunisation uptake rates were slightly below the World Health Organisation (WHO) targets.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments
  following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health
  visitors when necessary.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib)((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	48	61	78.7%	Below 80% (Significant variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	75	88	85.2%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	76	88	86.4%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	74	88	84.1%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)

 At the time of the inspection the immunisation rates had been increased following an increase in recall at the practice. Rates for one year olds were 82%, and for two year olds 87%, both of which were increased over the rates detailed in last year's QOF, although still lower than the 90% target rate.

# Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

# Population group rating: Good

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (Public Health England)	62.7%	72.8%	71.7%	No statistical variation
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	65.9%	71.2%	70.0%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	44.3%	54.6%	54.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	80.0%	80.8%	70.3%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	52.4%	51.9%	51.9%	No statistical variation

 The practice had increased the number of women who had attended for cervical smear since the last QOF period following an increased follow up of these patients. At the time of the inspection the practice's database showed that 86% of relevant patients had attended for cervical smear.

# People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

#### **Findings**

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, members of the traveller community and those with a learning disability.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.

People experiencing poor mental health

Population group rating: Good

## (including people with dementia)

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- All staff had received dementia training in the last 12 months. The practice had identified and trained a "dementia champion" to work with these patients. The practice was in the process of developing systems to utilise the dementia champion's expertise.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	95.0%	92.1%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.6% (1)	8.7%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	91.4%	91.9%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.9% (3)	6.9%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	100.0%	83.4%	83.0%	Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0 (0)	6.1%	6.6%	N/A

# **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	538.3	537.6	537.5
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	9.3%	5.1%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

•	The practice	had	a full	audit	programme	in	place,	and	was	able	to	demonstrate	impro	vemen	١t
	between first	and	secon	d cycl	e audits.										

# **Effective staffing**

The practice was able to demonstrate that/ staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Υ
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Υ
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Υ
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Υ
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Υ
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	N/A
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

### **Coordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	Υ
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Υ
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Υ
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Υ
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:					

# Helping patients to live healthier lives

# Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Υ
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Υ
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y
Endough the second of the seco	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.0%	94.8%	95.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.2% (2)	0.6%	0.8%	N/A

Any additional	evidence	or comments

### Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• Staff were aware of the need to request consent to share records with referrals in line with General Data Protection Regulation principles.

# **Caring**

# **Rating: Good**

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 29 January 2019. We had previously carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 23 November 2017. At that time the service was rated as requires improvement for caring. We found the following:

• Patient satisfaction surveys showed results that were lower than the national average.

This area was found to have been addressed at the inspection of 29 January 2019.

### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Y
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	19
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	17
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	2
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	0

Source	Feedback
Comments cards	Patients said they felt the practice offered an excellent service and staff were helpful, caring and treated them with dignity and respect. They also stated that doctors and nurses involved them in decisions about their care.
Patient interviews	We spoke with five patients who all told us that they were happy with the service they received.

# **National GP Survey results**

**Note:** The questions in the 2018 GP Survey indicators have changed. Ipsos MORI have advised that the new survey data must not be directly compared to the past survey data, because the survey methodology changed in 2018.

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
5313	377	109	28.9%	2.05%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	75.7%	89.8%	89.0%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	69.8%	87.5%	87.4%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	91.7%	95.8%	95.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	65.8%	87.0%	83.8%	No statistical variation

- The practice had carried out a full analysis of the results of the national patient survey and had drafted an action plan to address this. This included training staff, ensuring that patients were better informed with regards to treatments and medications and improving accessibility.
- The practice had reviewed its own processes and had engaged with both Healthwatch and other practices in the local area in response to the feedback from patients. All staff at the practice had attended customer care training, and they had run a series of their own surveys to elicit whether or not they were improving. Both these surveys and direct feedback from patients showed that patients felt doctors were better at listening and treating them with care and concern.

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Υ

### Any additional evidence

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Y
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Source	Feedback
Interviews wit patients.	Patients stated that doctors and nurses involved them in decisions about their care.

### **National GP Survey results**

Indicator	Practice		England average	England comparison
-----------	----------	--	-----------------	--------------------

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	92.7%	93.7%	93.5%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Y
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Υ
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of	104 carers identified, approximately 2% of the practice population. This had
carers identified.	increased from 58 at the past inspection, following active identification of
	carers at the practice.
How the practice supported	Carers were supported by referring them to the local carers' network.
carers.	Patients were also entitled to a flu vaccination and a yearly health check.
How the practice supported	The practice offered a bereavement appointment to the relatives and carers
recently bereaved patients.	of every patient who died.

# Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Y
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Υ
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive ssues.	Υ
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

# Responsive

# **Rating: Good**

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 29 January 2019. We had previously carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 23 November 2017. At that time the service was rated as requires improvement for responsive. We found the following:

- Patients stated that appointments were not easily available.
- The complaints process at the practice was not clearly advertised.

This area was found to have been addressed at the inspection of 29 January 2019.

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Y
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Υ
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who were more vulnerable or who had complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.	Y
Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	08:00 – 18:30
Tuesday	08:00 – 18:30
Wednesday	08:00 – 18:30
Thursday	08:00 – 18:30
Friday	08:00 – 18:30
Saturday	08:00 – 12:15
Appointments available:	
Monday	09:00 - 12:00 and 14:00 - 18:30
Tuesday	09:00 – 12:00 and 15:00 – 18:30
Wednesday	09:00 - 12:00 and 14:00 - 18:30
Thursday	09:00 - 12:00 and 14:00 - 18:30
Friday	09:00 - 12:00 and 15:00 - 18:30

Saturday	09:00 – 12:15
----------	---------------

### National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
5313	377	109	28.9%	2.05%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	87.6%	95.7%	94.8%	No statistical variation

### Any additional evidence or comments

### Older people

# Population group rating: Good

### **Findings**

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.

# People with long-term conditions

# **Population group rating: Good**

- Patients with multiple conditions had their needs reviewed in one appointment.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

## Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

### **Findings**

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- The health visitor attended a monthly meeting to discuss families with safeguarding concerns.
   Children subject to protection plans were highlighted in clinical records.

# Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

# Population group rating: Good

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was open on Saturday mornings. Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area, as the practice was a member of a GP federation.

# People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

### **Findings**

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, members of the traveller community, refugees and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.
- When patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues, or appeared distressed reception staff offered them a private room to discuss their needs.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

- Priority appointments were allocated when necessary to those experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these
  accordingly.

### Timely access to the service

# People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Y
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Υ
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	•

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	56.5%	N/A	70.3%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	50.2%	76.1%	68.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	60.5%	71.3%	65.9%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	65.3%	78.2%	74.4%	No statistical variation

### Any additional evidence or comments

The practice had reviewed the availability of appointments following feedback in the previous national patient survey. They had added four more sessions of nursing cover and an additional session of GP time per week. The practice had audited waiting times and had reduced the number of patients waiting more than five days for a routine appointment in the past year by approximately 25%.

Source	Feedback
	Patients reported that they were able to book appointments with a clinician when they needed to.

# Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	12
Number of complaints we examined.	7
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	7
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
nformation about how to complain was readily available.	Υ
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

# Example of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
All complaints reviewed contain patient identifiable information. The response to and actions from all complaints was appropriate.	

# Well-led

# **Rating: Good**

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 29 January 2019. We had previously carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 23 November 2017. At that time the service was rated as requires improvement for caring. We found the following:

Clinical governance processes with regards to sharing information with clinical staff, including clinical
incidents and risks, practice performance and monitoring information, service improvements and best
practice guidance were not established.

This area was found to have been addressed at the inspection of 29 January 2019.

### Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Y
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Y
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Υ
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The practice had developed and acted on a comprehensive action plan following the last CQC inspection. This had action points on a month by month basis following the previous inspection.
- The practice had implemented a range of regular meetings here relevant information was shared and decisions documented.
- Staff told us that they felt part of the team and that they felt engaged with the way in which healthcare was being delivered.

#### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Y
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Y
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y

Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

#### Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Υ
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Y
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour. This was included in policies and protocols where relevant.

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff interviews	<ul> <li>Most staff reported that they were proud of the organisation as a place to work and spoke highly of the culture. They told us that important tasks were delegated to them and they felt involved in the work of the practice.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Most staff told us they received support when required and could request learning and development at any time.</li> </ul>

#### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Υ
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Υ
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Υ
Fundamentament and appropriate and additional additiona	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- Clinical meetings were in place at the practice. These meetings were minuted and significant events, safeguarding and complaints were standing items on the agenda.
- Staff met through both formal meetings and on an ad-hoc basis if issues arose which required urgent attention.

42

The practice had reviewed its policies and they were compliant with guidelines.

### Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes to manage performance.	Y
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• The practice had a thorough risk management process in place and all risks were regularly reviewed such that effects could be mitigated.

### **Appropriate and accurate information**

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.
- There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Υ
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Υ
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	•

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

### Feedback

- There were quarterly meetings at which the practice was represented. The PPG member said that
  the practice was responsive to the group's suggestions.
- In order to increase the number of participants in the group, the practice had proactively encouraged those who had raised complaints to join the PPG, as they considered that such patients would be aware that the practice took their concerns seriously.

### Any additional evidence

#### Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

### **Examples of continuous learning and improvement**

 The practice had developed and acted on a thorough and clear action plan following the last CQC inspection. They were able to demonstrate improvement in all areas in which CQC had said they required improvement.

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

	Variation Band	Z-score threshold
1	Significant variation (positive)	Z ≤-3
2	Variation (positive)	-3 < Z ≤ -2
3	No statistical variation	-2 < Z < 2
4	Variation (negative)	2 ≤ Z < 3
5	Significant variation (negative)	Z ≥3
6	No data	Null

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice
  on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

#### Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.