Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Kingswood Surgery (1-568157174)

Inspection date: 14th February 2019

Date of data download: 04 February 2019

Overall rating: Good

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

Safe Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Y/N/Partial
Yes

- The practice had clinical leads and deputy leads for both child and adult safeguarding.
- All staff received regular training on safeguarding with non-clinical staff being trained to level 1,

Safeguarding Y/N/Partial

- nurses to level 2 and GPs to level 3.
- DBS checks were undertaken where required with an annual declaration being made by all staff, during the appraisal process, that the individual's circumstances had not changed.
- A spreadsheet was being used which contained details of all the policies and their review dates.
 All policies were version controlled and available to staff via a shortcut on the desktop of each computer.

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Yes
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance and if relevant to role.	Yes
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Yes
Staff had any necessary medical indemnity insurance.	Yes

- All staff had been offered Hepatitis B vaccinations and the immunisation status for all clinicians was recorded.
- Annual professional registration checks were undertaken to ensure that all clinicians were appropriately qualified and registered with their regulatory bodies. Vehicle checks were also undertaken and details of insurance and MOT certificates were obtained to ensure staff were appropriately covered when making journeys on behalf of the practice.

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person. Date of last inspection/test: January 2019	Yes
There was a record of equipment calibration. Date of last calibration: 28 June 2018	Yes
There were risk assessments for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Yes
There was a fire procedure.	Yes
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks. Date of last check: 8 February 2019	Yes
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill: 10 April 2018	Yes
There was a record of fire alarm checks. Date of last check: 8 February 2109	Yes
There was a record of fire training for staff. Date of last training: 9 January 2019	Yes
There were fire marshals.	Yes

A fire risk assessment had been completed. Date of completion: 13 February 2019	Yes
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Yes

- The cleaning company carried out Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) assessments on their cleaning products with the practice also completing their own risk assessments and holding safety data sheets for other less hazardous cleaning products.
- There were two fire marshals but the practice had recently completed a fire risk assessment and
 one of the action points was to train more staff as fire marshals to ensure that there are always
 several on duty at one time. That training was in the process of being arranged.
- The fire risk assessment also highlighted the need to carry out more frequent fire drills and so the practice would now be doing them at least twice per year.

Health and safety	Y/N/Partial	
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out.	Vec	
Date of last assessment: 6 February 2019	Yes	
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.	Yes	
Date of last assessment: 10 April 2018	1.00	

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an infection risk assessment and policy.	Yes
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Yes
Date of last infection prevention and control audit: 23 January 2019	Yes
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Yes
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Yes

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Yes
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Yes
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Yes
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Yes
Panic alarms were fitted and administrative staff understood how to respond to the alarm and the location of emergency equipment.	Yes
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Yes

Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Yes
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Yes
There was equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis or other clinical emergency.	Yes
There were systems to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance.	Yes
When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.	Yes

- An incident flow chart and a protocol for identification of deteriorating or acutely unwell patients was in reception.
- Posters with information about sepsis were seen in the waiting room.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Yes
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Yes
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Yes
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Yes
Referrals to specialist services were documented.	Yes
There was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Yes
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Yes
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	¥ 40°

A workflow protocol had recently been introduced and has reduced the workload placed on GPs. Initial findings estimate a reduction of around 90% of the workload on GPs by allowing appropriately trained non-clinical staff to deal with it. The results will be formally audited and the reduced workload being dealt with by non-clinical staff is checked to ensure that safety systems are in place and nothing is missed.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items	0.81	0.83	0.94	No statistical variation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)				
The number of prescription items for co- amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)	6.9%	9.9%	8.7%	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/04/2018 to 30/09/2018)	6.08	5.93	5.64	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity of oral NSAIDs prescribed per Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PU) (01/04/2018 to 30/09/2018)	2.88	2.48	2.22	No statistical variation

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Yes
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Yes
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Yes
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Yes
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Yes
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Yes
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Yes
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Yes
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS	Yes

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Yes
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Yes
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Yes
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases.	Yes
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Yes
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Yes

- We looked at seven medical records and found that the practice had systems in place to ensure patients had regular blood tests and medicine reviews.
- We saw that prescriptions were logged when they came into the practice. At the end of each day
 the serial number of the top prescription in the printer in each room was logged to enable count
 of scripts issued each day to be made. Doors were locked when the clinicians were not in their
 rooms. Prescriptions in the reception area printer trays were taken out at night and locked away.
- Named prescription pads, when used, are logged on an individual script basis to show the date, item issued and to whom.

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Yes
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Yes
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Yes
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Yes
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Yes
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	28
Number of events that required action:	28

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
which they had an allergic reaction	Because of raising this as a significant event, a discussion was held during a clinical meeting where the importance of being aware of pop up alerts to allergies and other medical information was stressed. It was felt that sometimes there were too many non-essential pop ups and so a decision was

	made to review and delete non-essential information on a regular basis. This process was communicated back to all relevant staff.
1 '	This was discussed during a clinical meeting. The clinician
vaccinations but was given the wrong	involved self-reflected on the incident and all clinicians were
one in error. No harm was caused to the	reminded of the need to double check vaccinations prior to
patient	administering them.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Yes
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Yes

- Safety alerts arrived via email to the practice manager who then distributed them to GPs and the
 practice's clinical pharmacist. GPs reported back if a search was needed and the pharmacist
 would review all medication alerts and deal with patient searches, etc. Copies of all of the alerts
 were kept in a folder in the conference room so that all staff could review them.
- Because of a recent safety alert, the practice had completed an audit of female patients, of child bearing age, who were being prescribed sodium valproate. (Sodium valproate is a highly effective medicine for the treatment of epilepsy, however, taking it during pregnancy carries a high risk of harm to the developing baby). This audit identified four patients who had been taking sodium valproate. They were contacted and the risks explained to them.

Effective

Rating: Good

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Yes
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Yes
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Yes
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Yes
There were appropriate referral pathways were in place to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Yes
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Yes

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)	0.27	0.90	0.81	Variation (positive)

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks were offered to patients over 75 years of age.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

Findings

 Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked

- with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated appropriately.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	61.8%	78.1%	78.8%	Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	5.3% (39)	19.0%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	81.5%	75.1%	77.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.4% (25)	12.2%	9.8%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	75.8%	76.4%	80.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	11.2% (82)	19.1%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3	82.5%	76.6%	76.0%	No statistical variation

RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)				
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.6% (4)	5.3%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	93.4%	90.1%	89.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.1% (6)	11.2%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	87.5%	83.3%	82.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.6% (26)	4.5%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	93.2%	88.9%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	8.2% (13)	4.4%	6.7%	N/A

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice was aware of the low percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c was 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months but this could be expected with the very low exception reporting rate of 5.3% compared to the local average of 19% in this group. Exception reporting is the removal of patients from QOF calculations where, for example, the patients decline or do not respond to invitations to attend a review of their condition or when a medicine is not appropriate.

Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

- Childhood immunisation uptake rates were above the World Health Organisation (WHO) targets.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health visitors

when necessary.

• Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib)((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	112	123	91.1%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	124	136	91.2%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	124	136	91.2%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	124	136	91.2%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)

Working age people (including those Population group rating: Good recently retired and students)

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified		71.2%	71.7%	No statistical variation

period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (Public Health England)				
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	69.0%	73.0%	70.0%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	49.8%	54.5%	54.6%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	94.1%	67.4%	70.2%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	53.3%	52.2%	51.9%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice was aware that although their uptake on cervical cancer screening was higher than the local or national averages, it still fell short of the NHS England target figure of 80%. All non-responders were therefore invited clinics held on a Saturday and those had shown an increased uptake.

People whose circumstances make Population group rating: Good them vulnerable

Findings

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.

People experiencing poor mental health Population group rating: Good (including people with dementia)

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe
 mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for
 physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term

medication.

- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- All staff had received dementia training in the last 12 months.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	85.9%	91.9%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.8% (5)	12.8%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	93.2%	89.7%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.0% (1)	9.2%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	75.8%	82.5%	83.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	13.9% (10)	7.5%	6.6%	N/A

Any additional evidence or comments

Some patients with dementia were referred to a local hospital where dementia reviews were done. These patients were exception reported from the practices QOF figures and this explains why the practice percentage figures are slightly below local and national averages and the exception reporting is higher.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	540.0	543.0	537.5
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	3.2%	7.0%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Yes
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Yes

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

- An audit was conducted to find the number of patients who were being prescribed medication
 where there was a clinical need to have monitoring blood tests done, but who weren't coming in
 for regular blood tests. These were not high-risk medications where blood tests must be done prior
 to prescribing. The initial audit showed a total of 131 patients who had gone over 15 months without
 a blood test and 56 who had gone over 18 months. These patients were then contacted and
 reminded of the importance of regular blood monitoring.
 - A repeat search was conducted during January 2019 and it was found that 94 patients had gone over 15 months without a blood test and 39 had gone over 18 months.
 - The results were encouraging and the practice will continue to monitor all patients who need regular blood tests done.
- It was found that a patient had a ring pessary in situ and they had gone past the normal timescale
 of six months for removal and replacement. A search was conducted and 35 patients had a code
 in their records to suggest that they had had, at some point, a ring pessary. These patients were
 contacted to see whether they still had a pessary and/or whether it needed removing/replacement.
- A repeat audit conducted in January 2019 showed that 11 patients currently had ring pessaries in situ and all were within the recommended timescales for removal/replacement.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Yes
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Yes
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Yes
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Yes
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Yes
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Yes
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician	Yes

associates.	
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Yes

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	Yes
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Yes
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Yes
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Yes
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	Yes

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Yes
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Yes
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Yes
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Yes
The practice had appointed a carers lead and had provided a carers area in the waiting roo	om.

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or	96.7%	94.6%	95.1%	No statistical variation

other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)				
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.2% (5)	0.8%	0.8%	N/A

Any additional evidence or comments

The HCA was actively involved in providing smoking cessation advice and support.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Yes
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Yes
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Yes

Caring

Rating: Good

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Yes

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	14
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	13
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	1
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	0

Source	Feedback
Comment Cards	Patient comments included feedback that the doctors and staff couldn't be faulted;
	the reception team were very helpful, care and treatment was very good, they were
	listened to and supported, and that the surgery would be recommended by patients.

National GP Survey results

Note: The questions in the 2018 GP Survey indicators have changed. Ipsos MORI have advised that the new survey data must not be directly compared to the past survey data, because the survey methodology changed in 2018.

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Surveys returned Survey Response rate%	
10228	285	113	39.6%	1.10%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	91.8%	87.7%	89.0%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the	94.6%	85.4%	87.4%	No statistical variation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)				
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	97.9%	94.8%	95.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	93.5%	80.7%	83.8%	No statistical variation

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Yes

Any additional evidence

Although being aware of the results of GP survey, which were generally higher than local and national averages, the practice still looked at areas where they felt they needed to improve. They were about to conduct their own personalised survey relating to provision of extra services with the results being collated during March.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Yes
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Yes

Source	Feedback
patients.	Patients were positive about the level of service provided by the practice. They were aware of the issues within general practice but felt that the practice was doing its best to put their interests first.

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their	95.3%	92.7%	93.5%	No statistical variation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)				

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Yes
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Yes
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Yes
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Yes

Carers		Narrative
Percentage and nur carers identified.	mber of	3% (297)
How the psupported carers.		Carers were identified when registering with the practice and were offered health checks, flu vaccinations and longer appointments if needed. There was a carers champion who offered additional support, advice and guidance where needed.
I -	recently	In the event of the death of a patient, all relevant staff and support organisations were notified. The GP would contact family as appropriate and a bereavement booklet and pack was available for the next of kin when picking up death certificate.
		A terminally ill patient celebrated Christmas at beginning of December one year. The practice presented him with a Christmas card and the support offered by the practice was mentioned at the memorial service.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Yes
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Yes
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Yes
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Yes

Responsive

Rating: Good

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Yes
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Yes
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Yes
The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who were more vulnerable or who had complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.	Yes
Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.	Yes

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8am to 6:30pm
Tuesday	8am to 6:30pm
Wednesday	8am to 7:45pm
Thursday	8am to 6:30pm
Friday	8am to 6:30pm
Saturday (Alternate)	8am to 12pm
Appointments available:	
Monday	8am to 6pm
Tuesday	8am to 6pm
Wednesday	8am to 7:30pm
Thursday	8am to 6pm
Friday	8am to 6pm
Saturday (Alternate)	8am to 11:45am

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
10228	285	113	39.6%	1.10%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last	97.5%	93.4%	94.8%	No statistical variation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)				

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- In recognition of the religious and cultural observances of some patients, the GP would respond
 quickly, often outside of normal working hours, to provide the necessary death certification to
 enable prompt burial in line with families' wishes when bereavement occurred.
- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Patients with multiple conditions had their needs reviewed in one appointment.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Nurse appointments were available out of school hours so that school age children did not need to miss school.
- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those Population group rating: Good recently retired and students)

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was open until 7.30pm on Wednesday and between 8am and 11:30am on alternate

Saturdays. Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area, as the practice was a member of a GP federation. These appointments were available between 8am and 8pm.

People whose circumstances make Population group rating: Good them vulnerable

Findings

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

People experiencing poor mental health Population group rating: Good (including people with dementia)

Findings

- Priority appointments were allocated when necessary to those experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these
 accordingly.

Timely access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Yes
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Yes
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Yes

- Staff were aware of how to deal with patients describing symptoms such as shortness of breath and/or chest pain
- Home visits were added to the end of surgery appointment sessions and GPs monitored these
 during their clinics by making phone calls to those patients and confirming those home visits or
 offering advice or alternative treatment options.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	91.3%	N/A	70.3%	Variation (positive)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	84.5%	64.8%	68.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	74.8%	62.2%	65.9%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	81.5%	71.1%	74.4%	No statistical variation

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	14
Number of complaints we examined.	2
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	2
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial	
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Yes	
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Yes	
A leaflet was available at reception entitled "Help Us Get It Right" and which was given to patients who wished to make a comment, suggestion or complaint. Information on how to complain was also		

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

available on the website.

Complaint	Specific action taken
A patient complained that rather than	An email was sent to the patient which explained how the
being offered an urgent appointment she	Patient Co-Ordinator had followed her training to be able to
had been triaged by a patient co-ordinator	signpost to the appropriate clinics. All members of the
	reception team were reminded of the need to explain their
	role and training should the patient appear concerned about

	the advice given and that an appointment with a GP was not considered necessary.
A patient complained about the wait for a referral appointment with a GP.	The patient was contacted and advised that the delay in appointment times with a consultant was out of the practice's hands. Staff were reminded to tell patients of this when discussing referrals for further treatment.

Well-led

Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Yes
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Yes
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Yes
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Yes

- The partners each had a lead area of responsibility in the practice.
- Regular clinical and staff meetings were held to discuss all aspects of the practice. All meetings had an agenda and minutes were recorded.
- The partners and managers met regularly to discuss staffing issues, finance, premises and contracts to ensure that they were reactive and ready to face the challenges of general practice.
- Staff said that they felt part of a strong team and supported by the GPs and management team.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Yes
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Yes
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Yes
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Yes
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Yes

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Yes
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Yes
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Yes
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Yes
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising	Yes

Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.

- Feedback from staff confirmed that they felt supported and valued.
- All staff we spoke with said that they would feel happy to discuss concerns. They were all aware
 of the practice's policy relating to whistleblowing but did not feel that they would need to use it
 due to the open and supportive nature of the practice leaders.

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff	Staff felt that they went above and beyond their duties and that they were
	valued by the Partners and management team.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Yes
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Yes
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Yes

- The practice had a designated Caldicott Guardian and Information Governance Lead. A Caldicott Guardian is a senior person responsible for protecting the confidentiality of people's health and care information and making sure it is used properly. All NHS organisations and local authorities which provide social services must have a Caldicott Guardian.
- All staff had job descriptions which were reviewed as part of the appraisal process.
- Policies and procedures were in place and accessible to staff on the computer system. The practice manager regularly reviewed and updated the policies as required.
- There was a system in place for investigating, reviewing and learning from complaints and significant events. They were then formally documented and discussed during staff and clinical meetings.
- There were health and safety systems in place and equipment was maintained in line with quidance.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Yes
There were processes to manage performance.	Yes
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Yes
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Yes

A major incident plan was in place.	Yes
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Yes
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Yes

- Examples of clinical audit were seen and we were able to see quality improvement as a result.
- The practice had undertaken several risk assessments including infection control and premises risk assessments. Recommendations from risk assessments had been actioned.

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Yes
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Yes
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Yes
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Yes
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Yes

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Yes
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Yes
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Yes

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Yes
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Yes

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

	Variation Band	Z-score threshold
1	Significant variation (positive)	Z ≤-3
2	Variation (positive)	-3 < Z ≤ -2
3	No statistical variation	-2 < Z < 2
4	Variation (negative)	2 ≤ Z < 3
5	Significant variation (negative)	Z ≥3
6	No data	Null

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice
 on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.