## **Care Quality Commission**

## **Inspection Evidence Table**

## **Central Surgery (1-4598639569)**

**Inspection date: Monday 4 March 2019** 

Date of data download: 27 February 2019

## **Overall rating: Good**

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

Safe Rating: Good

#### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial	
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Υ	
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Y	
There were policies covering adult and child safeguarding.	Y	
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Υ	
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	Υ	
Policies were accessible to all staff.	Υ	
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role (for example, level three for GPs, including locum GPs).		
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.		
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.		
There was a risk register of specific patients.		
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.		
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.		

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Y

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance and if relevant to role.	Y
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Y
Staff had any necessary medical indemnity insurance.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- We looked at the records for three staff members, including clinical and non-clinical. Evidence seen confirmed that effective recruitment procedures were in place.
- Staff knew who led on safeguarding at the practice and where to go for advice.
- The practice told us relevant staff attended safeguarding meetings.
- Patients vulnerability was identified on their medical records to support staff to provide a service to meet their needs.

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person.	Y
Date of last inspection/test: June 2018	
There was a record of equipment calibration.  Date of last calibration: June 2018	Y
There were risk assessments for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Y
There was a fire procedure.	Y
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks.  Date of last check: October 2018	Y
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill: 1 March 2019	Y
There was a record of fire alarm checks.  Date of last check: January 2019	Y
There was a record of fire training for staff. Date of last training: November 2018	Y

There were fire marshals.	Y
A fire risk assessment had been completed.	Y
Date of completion: April 2018	
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Y
Actions identified from the fire risk assessment:	
To improve internal door security and install an override system. This action had been completed.	

Health and safety	Y/N/Partial
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out.	Y
Date of last assessment: February 2019	
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.	Y
Date of last assessment: February 2019	

#### Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an infection risk assessment and policy.	Y
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Date of last infection prevention and control audit: 18 February 2019	Y
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	N/A
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The management of waste and clinical specimens was carried out by buildings management for the premises as a whole. Records seen on the day of inspection indicated that the procedures kept people safe.

There were no issues identified in the most recent infection prevention and control audit.

#### Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Y
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Y
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Y
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Y

Panic alarms were fitted and administrative staff understood how to respond to the alarm and the location of emergency equipment.	Υ
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Υ
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Y
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Υ
There was equipment available to enable assessment of patients with presumed sepsis or other clinical emergency.	Υ
There were systems to enable the assessment of patients with presumed sepsis in line with National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance.	Υ
When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- We were told staff used national pathways to identify and assess patients with possible sepsis.
- Sustainability and cover for staff absences and busy periods was provided by training the staff to be multi-skilled and for part time staff to work additional hours.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

#### Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Y
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Υ
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented.	Y
There was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Y
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	

#### Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The practice used icons and flags to identify vulnerable groups; for example, vulnerable children, and people at the end of their life.
- There was a procedure to ensure test results were dealt with in a timely manner and managed when clinicians were absent.
- Two week waits and referral letters were appropriately prioritised and tracked to ensure people

did not experience delays.

#### Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.84	1.05	0.94	No statistical variation
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)	20.8%	11.8%	8.7%	Significant Variation (negative)
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/04/2018 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)	5.68	6.29	5.64	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity of oral NSAIDs prescribed per Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PU) (01/04/2018 to 30/09/2018)	1.53	1.22	2.22	No statistical variation

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Partial
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Y
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	N/A

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Y
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Υ
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Y
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Y
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Υ
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	N/A
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Υ
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	N/A
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Y
The practice had arrangements to monitor the stock levels and expiry dates of emergency medicines/medical gases.	Υ
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Y
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Υ

#### Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a
  percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs was
  significantly higher than the local and national averages on the day of inspection. The practice
  had carried out a two-cycle audit for the prescribing of these items and an action plan had been
  developed and implemented.
- Most medicines were stored securely, but we saw that there were some medicines stored in a treatment room on a trolley, which was not secured.
- Although there was equipment available to deal with a clinical emergency, the defibrillator kit
  contained one set of pads but did not have any spare pads. There was no paediatric oximeter.
   We were told after the inspection that these items had been purchased and we will check this at
  the next inspection.
- Prescriptions were locked away securely and tracked throughout the practice to ensure they
  were safe.

#### Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Υ
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	5
Number of events that required action:	5
Employette of any security and additional evidence.	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We saw significant events were discussed in the clinical meetings bi-weekly. Learning and actions were seen in the minutes.

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
A letter had been sent to the wrong	This was discussed with the member of staff concerned and
patient in error.	also at the practice meeting. It was agreed to use window
	envelopes to ensure this error wouldn't occur in the future.
A patient hadn't called the surgery for test	This was discussed and it was agreed that tasks would be sent
results and so hadn't received them.	to reception staff to call patients to collect their test results. In
	addition, it was agreed that text messages would also be sent.
Significant events were reviewed in clinic	al and practice meetings. Any learning acquired was shared

and discussed in these meetings to reduce the risk of repeated events.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The medicines and healthcare regulatory agency (MHRA) alerts, were recorded showing the date alerts were received and who they were tracked to throughout the practice.
- The documented alerts showed the staff members that had actions and when they had been taken.
- We checked patients records that had been affected by recent alerts and found they had received the required actions.

#### **Effective**

### **Rating: Requires Improvement**

- The practice is rated as requires improvement overall for providing effective services as three
  population groups have been rated as requires improvement and cumulatively this means that the
  effective domain is requires improvement overall. We have rated the population groups as requires
  improvement because;
- In the population group, patients with long term conditions, the practice had significantly lower than average performance in the majority of the diabetes indicators and higher than average exception reporting. There was no plan in place to address this.
- In the population group, families, children and young people, Childhood immunisation uptake rates for children aged two were significantly lower than the World Health Organisation (WHO) targets. We saw unverified data for the period April 2018 January 2019 which showed no improvement in take-up. There was no plan in place to address this.
- In the population group working age people, the percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time, who were screened adequately within a specified period was below the 80% target and below local and national averages. No action plan was in place to address this, the practice told us they intended to focus on the provision of this screening programme.

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Υ
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways were in place to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU)	1.91	1.41	0.81	Variation (negative)

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
(01/10/2017 to 30/09/2018) (NHSBSA)				

Staff told us that the high rate of hypnotics prescribed was due to the locums who had worked at the practice. Unverified data showed that this was improving with the successful employment of a full time salaried GP.

#### Older people

#### Population group rating: Good

#### **Findings**

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks were offered to patients over 75 years of age.

#### People with long-term conditions

## Population group rating: Requires Improvement

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice had lower than average performance in the majority of the diabetes indicators and higher than average exception reporting. The practice had carried out a two-cycle audit on patients with diabetes and had developed an action plan which was monitored. Staff had also recently attended additional training on diabetes. Unverified data showed an improvement in the indicators.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	83.1%	74.6%	78.8%	No statistical variation

Exception rate (number of exceptions).	15.5% (54)	8.4%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	59.7%	72.3%	77.7%	Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	10.3% (36)	8.8%	9.8%	N/A
	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	Practice 61.0%		_	

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	73.0%	75.1%	76.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	6.7% (21)	5.4%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	90.2%	90.5%	89.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	6.7% (8)	9.9%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	78.0%	80.4%	82.6%	No statistical variation

Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.6% (35)	4.3%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.0%	92.1%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	9.8% (9)	5.8%	6.7%	N/A

#### Any additional evidence or comments

Exception reporting rates for patients with diabetes and patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, were higher than average. Exception reporting is the means by which patients with certain characteristics are excluded from the data so as not to penalise the practice for factors beyond their control. We looked at systems to exception report patients and found these to be effective and accurate.

The practice informed us after the inspection that they were aware of the low data for patients with long-term conditions and had completed an audit prior to the inspection. This had identified areas for improvement and these were being implemented.

#### Families, children and young people

## Population group rating: Requires Improvement

- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.
- The practice had no arrangements in place for following up failed attendance of children's appointments for immunisation. There was no plan in place to address the lower than average performance. Since the inspection, the practice advised us that they had implemented a more effective system.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib)((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	40	44	90.9%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)

(NHS England)				
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	39	51	76.5%	Below 80% (Significant variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	39	51	76.5%	Below 80% (Significant variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	38	51	74.5%	Below 80% (Significant variation negative)

#### Any additional evidence or comments

 Childhood immunisation uptake rates for children aged two were lower than the World Health Organisation (WHO) targets. We saw unverified data for the period April 2018 – January 2019 which showed no improvement in take-up. There was no plan in place to address this. After the inspection, the practice told us that they had recently changed their policy so that the parents/carers of children who failed to attend their immunisation appointments were contacted on the same day and referred to the health visitor for follow up.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Requires Improvement

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period was below the 80% target and below local and national averages. No action plan was in place to address this, the practice told us they intended to focus on the provision of this screening programme.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical	62.9%	70.6%	71.7%	No statistical

cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (Public Health England)				variation
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	60.7%	62.9%	70.0%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	46.9%	49.7%	54.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	66.7%	59.5%	70.2%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	62.1%	46.7%	51.9%	No statistical variation

## People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

#### **Findings**

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including those with safeguarding needs, those with a learning disability and carers.
- Patients were given the opportunity to see the same clinician and same day appointments were available.

People experiencing poor mental health

**Population group rating: Good** 

(including people with dementia)

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe
  mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for
  physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of

dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.

• All staff had received dementia training in the last 12 months.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	93.2%	91.8%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.9% (3)	17.4%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	91.4%	91.2%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	7.9% (6)	13.7%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	93.2%	82.0%	83.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.9% (3)	14.9%	6.6%	N/A

#### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice had a programme of quality improvement activity and of routinely reviewing the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	540.9	524.6	537.5
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	6.0%	5.1%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y

#### **Effective staffing**

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Y
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Y
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Y
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y

#### **Coordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	Y
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Y
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	93.8%	94.8%	95.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.4% (6)	0.6%	0.8%	N/A

#### Consent to care and treatment

The practice was unable to demonstrate that it always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Partial
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	N
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	N

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Clinicians evidenced that they understood the legal requirements when considering consent, but on the day of the inspection we examined two patient records and found that consent had been incorrectly recorded. The practice informed us this was an administration error and that consent had been appropriately sought.

## Caring

## **Rating: Good**

#### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Y
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Y

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	6
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	1
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	1
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	4

Source	Feedback
Cards	The negative feedback referred to the communication of the reception staff. The practice was aware of this issue and had had made recent staff changes to address this. Additional training had been provided. Patients we spoke to on the day of inspection were positive about their experiences.
	In the 12 months prior to our inspection, there had been no reviews on the NHS Choices website. There was one review which was just over one year old and the practice had responded in a timely way.
	The practice had a process for recording and sharing the responses. Evidence we saw was mainly positive feedback, with some areas of concern about the communication with reception staff.

#### **National GP Survey results**

**Note:** The questions in the 2018 GP Survey indicators have changed. Ipsos MORI have advised that the new survey data must not be directly compared to the past survey data, because the survey methodology changed in 2018.

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
5246	326	137	42%	2.61%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	81.0%	84.4%	89.0%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	80.3%	83.0%	87.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	91.4%	94.7%	95.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	72.8%	79.7%	83.8%	No statistical variation

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Υ

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Y
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Υ

Source	Feedback
	We spoke to four patients who were positive about the care they received from clinical staff and all four told us that they felt involved in decisions relating to their care.

### **National GP Survey results**

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	84.5%	92.6%	93.5%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Y
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Y
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Y
The practice website had the facility to translate all pages into a wide variety of languages,	including thos

reflective of the local demographic.

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of	70 patients were identified as carers which was 1.34% of the practice
carers identified.	population.
How the practice supported	There was information for carers in the waiting area. This included contact details
	of relevant organisations and what additional support was available.
	Carers were offered annual health checks and flu vaccinations.
How the practice supported	Patients who had recently been bereaved were sent a sympathy card and an
recently bereaved patients.	information sheet about 'what to do next'.

#### **Privacy and dignity**

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Y
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Y
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Y
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Y

Signs indicated where people should stand whilst waiting for reception to enable some privacy at the desk.

A room had been designated should anybody require a confidential conversation or if a patient was distressed.

## Responsive

## **Rating: Good**

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Y
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who were more vulnerable or who had complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.	Y
Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- Patients were sent appointment reminders and could be recalled to their health checks vaccinations by text message. Patients could also cancel appointments by text message.
- There were weekly clinics held at the practice by a counsellor and a social worker.
- Appointments could be made to have blood tests taken at the surgery.
- Patients with multiple long-term conditions and those approaching end of life were discussed at regular multi-disciplinary meetings held at the practice.

Practice Opening Times		
Day	Time	
Opening times:		
Monday	8.30am - 8.45pm	
Tuesday	8.30am – 6pm	
Wednesday	8.30am – 6pm	
Thursday	8.30am – 6pm	
Friday	8.30am – 6pm	

#### National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
5246	326	137	42%	2.61%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	92.9%	94.1%	94.8%	No statistical variation

#### Older people

#### Population group rating: Good

#### **Findings**

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.

#### People with long-term conditions

#### Population group rating: Good

#### **Findings**

- Patients with multiple conditions had the opportunity to have their needs reviewed in one appointment.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

#### Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

- · We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

## Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

#### Population group rating: Good

#### Findings

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was open until 8.45pm on Mondays.
- Appointments could be made or cancelled in person, on-line or over the telephone and text reminders advised patients of their appointment time. Repeat medicines could be obtained online.

## People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

#### Population group rating: Good

#### **Findings**

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those
  with no fixed abode.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

# People experiencing poor mental health

Population group rating: Good

(including people with dementia)

- Priority appointments were allocated when necessary to those experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these
  accordingly.

### Timely access to the service

### People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

### National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Υ
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Y
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Y

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	75.8%	N/A	70.3%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	72.4%	64.6%	68.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	80.6%	62.7%	65.9%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	76.9%	73.9%	74.4%	No statistical variation

Source	Feedback
1	The majority of responses received from patients indicated that they would be likely or very likely to recommend the practice to friends and family.

#### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	3
Number of complaints we examined.	2
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	2
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y

- There were leaflets available in reception which detailed how to make a complaint.
- Complaints were discussed at monthly practice meetings and the outcomes and learning were shared and recorded in the meeting minutes.

#### Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
referral following consultation with GP.	The patient was invited in to speak to the lead GP to discuss the concern. It was explained to the patient that the delay was due to using a Dictaphone and a member of staff had missed the referral.  The practice changed the system to send all referrals as an electronic task to avoid the situation occurring again.  The patient accepted the explanation.
Patient felt that she had not been properly	The lead GP contacted the patient and explained the situation.
examined.	The patient was happy with this.

### Well-led

### **Rating: Good**

#### Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Υ
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Y
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Y
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice was open and honest about issues they had experienced and what they had done to put things right.

The practice told us they were committed to ongoing improvement following previous inspections.

#### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Y
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Y
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
The practice's business plan considered the long-term strategy of the practice.	

#### Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Υ

Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Υ
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Y
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The whistleblowing policy was in line with current guidance and contained contact details of appropriate external organisations should a whistle-blower not be satisfied with the outcome of their complaint.

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff	Staff that we spoke with told us that they felt confident raising any issues and felt
	that these would be taken seriously by the practice.

#### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Y
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Y
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Policies and procedures were regularly reviewed and updated. Staff knew where to find them and what they said.

Up to date records of staff training were maintained and there was a system in place to indicate when mandatory training was due to expire.

#### Managing risks, issues and performance

The practice had clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes to manage performance.	Y
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Υ
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice was aware of their low performance in relation to their population groups of long-term conditions, families, children and young people and working age people and had begun to implement changes to improve performance.

#### Appropriate and accurate information

The practice always acted on appropriate and accurate information.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Υ
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Y

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Υ
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y

- Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:
- The practice shared information and ideas with others to plan and structure the delivery of care in the locality.
- There were regular meetings with staff. If any member of staff was unable to attend meetings, minutes were available on the shared drive for review.
- Patients' views were regularly sought and the practice was open and honest with patients when things went wrong. They told them what the practice was doing to make improvements.
- There were regular meetings with other healthcare professionals.

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

#### Feedback

- The patient participation group (PPG) were positive about their involvement with the practice. They told us that the practice manager was always available should they have any queries or concerns.
- Most members of the PPG were long-standing and felt valued.
- They told us that they felt encouraged to raise suggestions and when the ideas were not implemented they were fully involved in the discussions.

#### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There was evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Υ
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y

#### **Examples of continuous learning and improvement**

All staff had been supported by the local CCG to attend Productive General Practice Quick Start training to improve internal procedures. Staff were working with another local practice, who had also attended the training, to share ideas and good practice.

Staff had attended advanced diabetes training to improve services offered at the practice.

The practice had recently employed the services of an external company to manage all of the human

resources functions, to improve services.					

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

	Variation Band	Z-score threshold
1	Significant variation (positive)	Z ≤-3
2	Variation (positive)	-3 < Z ≤ -2
3	No statistical variation	-2 < Z < 2
4	Variation (negative)	2 ≤ Z < 3
5	Significant variation (negative)	Z ≥3
6	No data	Null

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

#### Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.