Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Dorking Medical Practice (1-558919176)

Inspection date: 10 July - 11 July 2019

Date of data download: 09 July 2019

Overall rating: Good

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

Safe Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial	
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Υ	
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Υ	
There were policies covering adult and child safeguarding which were accessible to all staff.	Υ	
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Υ	
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	Υ	
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Υ	
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.		
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.		
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Υ	
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Υ	
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.		
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Υ	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had previously decided to risk assess all non-clinical staff in relation to if they required a DBS check. We were told that the nurses and HCAs acted as chaperones but it had been decided that non-clinical staff would also be asked. The practice had therefore decided to DBS check any non-clinical staff who had received the chaperone training and could be asked to undertake this role.

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance if relevant to role.	Y
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Y

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person. Date of last inspection/test: August 2018	Y
There was a record of equipment calibration. Date of last calibration: August 2018	Y
There were risk assessments for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Υ
There was a fire procedure.	Y
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks. Date of last check: July 2019	Υ
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill: February 2019	Υ
There was a record of fire alarm checks. Date of last check: June 2019	Υ
There was a record of fire training for staff.	Υ
There were fire marshals.	Y
A fire risk assessment had been completed.	Y
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Р

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The provider had completed separate internal fire risk assessments for each of the locations:-

Dorking July 2019

Hillside May 2019

Riverbank May 2019

However, it had been decided that a more extensive external fire risk assessment should be completed at all three locations. This was in the process of being arranged.

Health and safety	Y/N/Partial
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out.	Υ
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment: May 2019	Y

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an infection risk assessment and policy.	Υ
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Υ
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out.	V
Date of last infection prevention and control audit: December 2018	Ţ
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Y
There was a system to notify Public Health England of suspected notifiable diseases.	Υ
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had completed separate infection control audits for the three locations. The last audit was completed in December 2018. However, we found that these did not specify individual rooms. After the inspection the practice sent us new infection control audit sheets showing the individual rooms at each of the locations.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Υ
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Υ
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Υ
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Υ
The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Υ
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Υ
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Υ
When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.	Υ

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Υ
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Υ
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Y
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Y
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Υ

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

medicines optimisation				
Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.82	0.86	0.88	No statistical variation
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHSBSA)	10.3%	9.3%	8.7%	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/10/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHSBSA)	6.01	6.14	5.61	No statistical variation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of oral NSAIDs prescribed per Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PU) (01/10/2018 to 31/03/2019)	1.50	1.44	2.07	No statistical variation

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Υ
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Υ
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Υ
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	NA
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Υ
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Υ
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Υ
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Υ
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Υ
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	NA
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Y
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Y
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Р
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Υ
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Medicines management

Y/N/Partial

Prescriptions were locked away securely and tracked through the practice to monitor their safe use. We noted that the three locations had different processes for what to do with a prescription if it had not been collected by the patient. The practice was still working through a more joined up approach to some processes due to the merger and was yet to adopt a single method. After the inspection we were sent the new policy that was to be used across the three locations.

We found different approaches to the monitoring of emergency medicines at the three locations. The records at Hillside did not record a regular review of the emergency medicines or the quantity of stock held. Monitoring at the other two sites were regularly reviewed and recorded; with expiry dates, stock levels and batch numbers recorded. The practice was still working through a more joined up approach to some processes due to the merger and was in the process of ensuring that all monitoring was completed in the same way at all three sites. After the inspection we were sent the new policy and check list that was to be used across the three locations.

Dispensary services (where the practice provided a dispensary service)	Y/N/Partial
There was a GP responsible for providing effective leadership for the dispensary.	Y
The practice had clear Standard Operating Procedures which covered all aspects of the dispensing process, were regularly reviewed, and a system to monitor staff compliance.	Y
Dispensary staff who worked unsupervised had received appropriate training and regular checks of their competency.	Υ
Prescriptions were signed before medicines were dispensed and handed out to patents. There was a risk assessment or surgery policy for exceptions such as acute prescriptions.	Y
Medicines stock was appropriately managed and disposed of, and staff kept appropriate records.	Y
Medicines that required refrigeration were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with the manufacturer's recommendations to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Y
If the dispensary provided medicines in Monitored Dosage Systems, there were systems to ensure staff were aware of medicines that were not suitable for inclusion in such packs, and appropriate information was supplied to patients about their medicines.	Y
If the practice offered a delivery service, this had been risk assessed for safety, security, confidentiality and traceability.	NA
Dispensing incidents and near misses were recorded and reviewed regularly to identify themes and reduce the chance of reoccurrence.	Υ
Information was provided to patients in accessible formats for example, large print labels, braille, information in a variety of languages etc.	Υ
There was the facility for dispensers to speak confidentially to patients and protocols described the process for referral to clinicians.	Υ

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Υ
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Υ
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Υ
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	16
Number of events that required action:	16

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Significant events were recorded, investigated and acted upon. Learning and action points were discussed during clinical meetings. Communications with affected patients demonstrated duty of candour had been applied, and clinical staff demonstrated a good knowledge of duty of candour.

Example of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
A higher than maximum dosage of a specific medicine was prescribed	Patient called and advised to reduce dosage. GP contacted pharmacist to gain understanding of the dose requirements. Literature passed to all GPs for further discussion and learning.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Υ
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: We saw that the practice was receiving safety alerts and was acting appropriately to enswere identified and actions taken. We saw examples of actions taken on recent alerts regarding sodium valproate.	

Effective

Rating: Good

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Records we checked showed annual long-term conditions and mental health review management was monitored to ensure patient outcomes improved.

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHSBSA)	1.42	1.03	0.77	No statistical variation

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.

The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.

Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older patients including their psychological, mental and communication needs.

Health checks were offered to patients over 75 years of age.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

Findings

Patients with long-term conditions had a structured review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.

Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.

Clinical staff opportunistically offered reviews if patients had failed to attend previous appointments.

GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out-of-hours services.

Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins when appropriate.

Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.

Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated as appropriate.

The practice ran specialist clinics. For example, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, heart disease, hypertension and warfarin monitoring. These patients were regularly invited for structured examination and management.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	84.8%	83.4%	78.8%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	15.2% (65)	10.2%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	85.5%	77.9%	77.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	18.0% (77)	10.0%	9.8%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	84.5%	81.4%	80.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	15.4% (66)	13.6%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	73.8%	73.4%	76.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	15.6% (94)	7.1%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.2%	88.3%	89.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	24.0% (49)	12.3%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	77.4%	80.1%	82.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	5.7% (86)	4.2%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	91.1%	91.9%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.8% (9)	6.3%	6.7%	N/A

Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

Findings

The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.

The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health visitors when necessary.

Young patients could access services for sexual health and contraception.

The practice was able to offer family planning clinics for intrauterine contraceptives at the practice.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib)((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	72	82	87.8%	Below 90% minimum (variation negative)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	61	65	93.8%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	61	65	93.8%	Met 90% minimum (no variation)
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (NHS England)	62	65	95.4%	Met 95% WHO based target (significant variation positive)

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information:

https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example, before attending university for the first time.

Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Patients could access appointments until 8pm week days and weekend appointments through the local federation of GPs.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (Public Health England)	74.9%	72.1%	71.7%	No statistical variation
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	74.5%	70.2%	69.9%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	56.8%	58.6%	54.4%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	49.0%	71.3%	70.2%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	54.2%	49.8%	51.9%	No statistical variation

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

Findings

End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.

The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including those with a learning disability. Patients could be offered longer appointments.

The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

Vulnerable patients were discussed at meetings, so that additional support could be accessed if required. Patients could be signposted to voluntary groups who could offer further support.

Staff had been trained to recognise signs of abuse and were aware of their responsibilities and how to liaise with the relevant agencies.

People experiencing poor mental health Population group rating: Good (including people with dementia)

Findings

The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of patients with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.

There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term medication.

When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.

Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.

The practice could refer patients to various talking therapy providers. Patients could also self-refer to these services.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder rand other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.2%	90.4%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	11.9% (7)	11.6%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	90.0%	90.6%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	15.3% (9)	10.5%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	81.0%	81.7%	83.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	7.9% (9)	5.2%	6.6%	N/A

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	556.4	537.7	537.5
Overall QOF score (as a percentage of maximum)	99.5%	96.2%	96.2%
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	8.4%	5.3%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Υ
Quality improvement activity was targeted at the areas where there were concerns.	Y
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Y

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

- Several audits had been undertaken which had resulted in changes to clinical management and medicines for individuals, in line with guidance.
- Examples of audits completed, learning disabilities health checks quality improvement exercise, the renal function in patients on the hypertension register taking specific medication, medicines audits.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

experience to carry out their roles.	V/N/Dowtiel
	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Y
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Υ
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Υ
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Υ
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Υ
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Υ
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Υ
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Υ

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	Yes
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Y
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	Y

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.5%	94.7%	95.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.8% (20)	0.9%	0.8%	N/A

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Y
Policies for any online services offered were in line with national guidance.	Y

Caring

Rating: Good

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Y
Staff displayed understanding and a non-judgemental attitude towards patients.	Υ
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Υ

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	22
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	20
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	2
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	0

Source	Feedback
Comment Cards	Patient's comments were positive and some went into detail how the practice had supported them. We saw comments that all staff were caring, supportive and reassuring. Patients said they were treated with kindness and respect. The two mixed comments we received were about sometimes having difficulty in accessing appointments.
NHS Choices	We noted there were a high number of positive comments about the level of service and patient care.

National GP Survey results

Note: The questions in the 2018 GP Survey indicators have changed. Ipsos MORI have advised that the new survey data must not be directly compared to the past survey data, because the survey methodology changed in 2018.

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
11234	259	118	45.6%	1.05%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	94.6%	91.4%	89.0%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	96.3%	90.0%	87.4%	Variation (positive)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	99.0%	97.5%	95.6%	Tending towards variation (positive)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	95.0%	86.6%	83.8%	Tending towards variation (positive)

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Y

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Y
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Y

Source	Feedback
Interviews with patients.	Patients comments included that they had plenty of time during consultations and had enough information about choices they might have.

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	98.8%	95.9%	93.5%	Variation (positive)

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Y
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Y

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	The practice had identified 254 carers identified (just over 2% of practice population)
How the practice supported carers (including young carers).	The practice computer system alerted GPs and nurses if a patient was also a carer. We saw written information was available for carers to ensure they understood the various avenues of support available to them. Notices in the patient waiting room and patient website signposted patients to a number of support groups and organisations. The practice was helping the community care team to set up a dementia café. This was to be held at a local church and was a chance for people to meet and share experiences and get additional support through voluntary groups.
How the practice supported recently bereaved patients.	Staff told us that if families had suffered bereavement, the practice contacted them. This may be followed by a patient consultation and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.

Privacy and dignity
The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Υ
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Υ
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Υ
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Y

Responsive

Rating: Good

Responding to and meeting people's needs
The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

production of garness and derivation contract to most patients medical	Y/N/Partial	
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Υ	
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Υ	
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Υ	
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Υ	
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Υ	
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Υ	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: There was onsite parking for all patients including those with limited mobility at all three locations.		

Practice Opening Times				
New House Surgery				
Day	Time			
Monday	8am - 6.30pm			
Tuesday	8am - 6.30pm			
Wednesday	8am - 6.30pm			
Thursday	8am - 6.30pm			
Friday	8am - 6.30pm			
Hillside Surgery				
Day	Time			
Monday	8am - 1pm 4pm - 6.30pm			
Tuesday	8am - 1pm 3pm - 5pm			
Wednesday	8am - 1pm 3pm - 5pm			
Thursday	8am – 1pm closed			
Friday	8am – 1pm closed			
Riverbank Surgery				
Day	Time			
Monday	8am – 5pm			

Tuesday	8am -6.30pm		
Wednesday	8am – 12.30pm		
Thursday	8am –6pm		
Friday	8am -6.30pm		

The practice was part of a federation of GP practices who provided extended access appointments for patients during the week until 8pm and at weekends. New House Surgery is one of four locations providing this service.

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
11234	259	118	45.6%	1.05%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	98.9%	96.6%	94.8%	Tending towards variation (positive)

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

All older patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.

The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.

The practice could signpost patients to local support groups.

Patients could receive text message appointment reminders.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

Findings

Clinicians would opportunistically review patients if necessary when they had failed to attend for reviews.

The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

Care and treatment for patients with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

Findings

We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young patients who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances.

All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Children subject to protection plans were highlighted in clinical records.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students) Population group rating: Good

Findings

The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, extended opening hours and weekend appointments provided at a different location.

Telephone consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.

Patients were able to book appointments on-line and order repeat prescriptions. Patients were able to make online requests via the website.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

Findings

The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.

People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.

The practice could accommodate those patients with limited mobility or who used wheelchairs.

The practice provided an auditory loop in the practice for those patients with hearing difficulties.

When patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed reception staff offered them a private room to discuss their needs.

People experiencing poor mental health Population group rating: Good (including people with dementia)

Findings

Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.

The practice was aware of support groups in the area and signposted their patients to them.

Timely access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Υ
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Y
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practices' appointment system also offered a 'sit and wait' surgery from 4 to 6 pm daily. Patients needed to book to attend during this time.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	70.1%	N/A	70.3%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	75.0%	69.8%	68.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	69.4%	65.9%	65.9%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018)	81.2%	78.0%	74.4%	No statistical variation

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice achieved higher than average score for access to appointments and overall experience.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	13
Number of complaints we examined.	13
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	13
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Υ
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y
Evalenation of any anguare and additional avidence	'

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We reviewed complaints and found the practice had learned lessons from the individual complaints and had acted as a result to improve the quality of care.

Well-led **Rating: Good**

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Υ
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Υ
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Υ
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

anation of any answers and additional evidence:

There was an open and inclusive leadership style within the practice and good teamwork observed as a result.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Υ
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Υ
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Υ
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had recently merged with another practice. We saw risk assessments associated with the merger and a clear vision to provide high quality personal care for its patients.

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Υ
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Υ
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Υ
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Υ
When people were affected by things that went wrong they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Υ
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Υ
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Υ
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The management team had oversight of the service quality and performance. There	were positive

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff interviews	All staff interviewed during the inspection were positive about working for the practice. Staff commented that they felt well supported by colleagues and the management team. They told us they were given the training, resources, and information they needed to carry-out their roles. Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Governance arrangements

relationships between all team members.

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Υ
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Υ
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Υ

Examples of structures, processes and systems in place to support the delivery of good quality and sustainable care.		
Designated Leads	Staff within the practice had designated leads which all staff were aware of. For example, the nurse was the infection control lead and two GP partners were the safeguarding leads.	
Audits	The practice completed clinical audits to improve outcomes for patients.	
QOF	The practice monitored performance against the Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) to improve outcomes for patients.	
Staff meetings	A meeting structure was in place and embedded which facilitated effective communication of any changes to the practice team. Significant events were discussed and the practice reviewed complaints.	
Staff training	There was good management overview of staff training and development.	

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Υ
There were processes to manage performance.	Υ
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Υ
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Υ
A major incident plan was in place.	Υ
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Υ
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Regular reviews took place of patients' medicines. Patients prescribed high risk medicines were monitored.

The practice had a variety of risk assessments in place to monitor safety of the premises such as control of substances hazardous to health and infection control and legionella.

Systems to respond to significant incidents and complaints were established and embedded.

There was a system of reviewing significant events to identify possible themes or trends.

Action was undertaken in response to patient safety alerts.

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Υ
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Υ
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Υ
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Υ
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Y

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Υ
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Υ
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Υ
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Υ

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Feedback

We spoke with two members of the patient participation group who told us that they were happy with the level of communication with the practice. They felt that they were listened to and where possible the practice took action to implement any suggestions / ideas.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y

Examples of continuous learning and improvement

There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice.

The practice team was forward thinking and part of local pilot schemes to improve outcomes for patients in the area.

The practice held protected learning time sessions for staff.

All staff received individualised training opportunities which were discussed at their appraisals.

Partners were actively involved with the Clinical Commissioning Group, Federation and Primary Care Network.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice
 on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.