## **Care Quality Commission**

## **Inspection Evidence Table**

## **High Street Practice (1-564371357)**

Inspection date: 8 October 2019

Date of data download: 24 September 2019

**Overall rating: Good** 

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

Safe Rating: Good

#### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Yes
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Yes
There were policies covering adult and child safeguarding which were accessible to all staff.	Yes
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Yes
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.	
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Yes
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance if relevant to role.	Yes
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Yes

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person.  Date of last inspection/test: February 2019	Yes
There was a record of equipment calibration.  Date of last calibration: February 2019	Yes
There were risk assessments for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Yes
There was a fire procedure.	Yes
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks.  Date of last check: July 2019	Yes
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill: September 2019	Yes
There was a record of fire alarm checks.  Date of last check: September 2019	Yes
There was a record of fire training for staff.  Date of last training: September 2019	Yes
There were fire marshals.	Yes
A fire risk assessment had been completed. Date of completion: September 2019	Yes
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:  • There were two trained fire marshals at the practice.	

Health and safety	Y/N/Partial
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out.	Voc
Date of last assessment: 1 March 2019	Yes
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.  Date of last assessment: 1 March 2019	Yes

#### Infection prevention and control

#### Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an infection risk assessment and policy.	Yes
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Yes
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out.  Date of last infection prevention and control audit: January 2019	Yes
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Yes
There was a system to notify Public Health England of suspected notifiable diseases.	Yes
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• Barnsley CCG had carried out the last infection prevention and control audit and the practice had an action plan in place to address the issues identified within the report. For example, carpets had been removed and disposable curtains were in place in treatment rooms.

#### Risks to patients

# There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Yes
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Yes
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Yes
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Yes
The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Yes
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Yes
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Yes
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Yes
When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• Staff that we spoke to were able to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

## Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Yes
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Yes
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Yes
Referrals to specialist services were documented and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Yes
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Yes
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Yes
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Yes

## Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	1.25	0.97	0.87	Tending towards variation (negative)
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) (NHSBSA)	6.5%	5.8%	8.6%	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/01/2019 to 30/06/2019) (NHSBSA)	8.91	5.12	5.63	Significant Variation (negative)
Average daily quantity of oral NSAIDs prescribed per Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PU) (01/01/2019 to 30/06/2019)	3.25	2.71	2.08	No statistical variation

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Yes
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Yes
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Yes
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Yes
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Partial*
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Yes

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Yes
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Yes
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	N/A
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Partial**
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Yes
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Yes
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- \*There was a process in place for the handling of requests for repeat medicines however this could be improved to avoid errors relating to repeat prescription forms.
- \*\*The practice partners were aware of their inappropriate antimicrobial usage and were taking steps to address however work still needs to be done in order to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance management.
- \*\*The practice held appropriate emergency medicines but did not keep a stock of Atropine with the emergency drugs.
- The practice had a defibrillator on site since our last inspection and this was regularly checked and fit for use.

#### Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

## The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	
Number of events that required action:	8
	•

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• All practice staff were encouraged to share and learn from incidents at monthly staff meetings.

#### Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
, ·	The patient appointment list was reviewed and administrative
their blood test.	staff realised that the patient had been booked in under the
	incorrect name. An apology was given to the patient and a new
	system was implemented for blood taking. The blood form and
	bloods are attached together and administrative staff double
	check all samples against the daily patient appointment list.
When the diabetic register was checked,	A new system has been implemented to ensure that all newly
, , ,	diagnosed patients suffering with diabetes are referred for eye
had not been referred for eye screening.	screening by the administrative team and do not need to wait for
	GP/Nurse referral.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Yes
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Yes
<ul> <li>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</li> <li>We saw examples of actions taken on recent alerts relating to Sodium Va Febuxostat.</li> </ul>	proate and

## **Effective**

## **Rating: Requires Improvement**

The practice was rated as requires improvement for providing effective services to people with long term conditions and people with poor mental health because:

• Patients did not always receive care and treatment that met their needs.

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were not always effectively assessed, and care and treatment was not always delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Yes
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Yes
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Yes
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Yes
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Yes
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Yes
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Yes
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

 Results and letters are actioned daily to ensure that patient's needs are addressed in relation to referrals.

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) (NHSBSA)	0.37	1.15	0.75	Tending towards variation (positive)

Older people

**Population group rating: Good** 

**Findings** 

- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- The practice carried out structured annual medication reviews for older patients.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.

#### **People with long-term conditions**

# Population group rating: Requires Improvement

#### **Findings**

The GP partners were aware of their low QOF achievement in some domains and were taking steps to address this. A review of as yet unpublished or verified QOF data for 2018/19 identified that there had been some improvement, but results were generally still below local and national averages:

- Blood pressure indicators had improved (5.5 to 16.9 points out of a total 26).
- Diabetes management indicators were trending towards negative (63.3 to 51 out of 86).
- COPD indicators were static (23.2 to 23.9 out of 35 points).
- Mental health indicators had improved from (11.9 to 18.4 out of possible 26 points).
- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met.

The above indicated that patients with long-term conditions were not always being offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	69.7%	77.3%	78.8%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	6.6% (27)	10.9%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	60.3%	77.1%	77.7%	Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	7.1% (29)	8.6%	9.8%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	65.3%	80.3%	80.1%	Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	10.1% (41)	13.9%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	70.1%	74.5%	76.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	17.5% (61)	7.3%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	77.0%	87.3%	89.7%	Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	12.3% (14)	10.0%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	61.6%	82.1%	82.6%	Significant Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.5% (12)	4.0%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	80.5%	93.4%	90.0%	Tending towards variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.6% (3)	7.6%	6.7%	N/A

### Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

### Findings

- The practice has met the 95% World Health organisation (WHO) target for all of four childhood immunisation uptake indicators.
- The practice contacted the parents or guardians of children due to have childhood immunisations.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments
  following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health
  visitors when necessary.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	66	66	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	59	60	98.3%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	59	60	98.3%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	59	60	98.3%	Met 95% WHO based target

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information:

https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

# Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

## Population group rating: Good

## Findings

- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to	80.7%	N/A	80% Target	Met 80% target

49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (Public Health England)				
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	80.6%	76.7%	72.1%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	55.8%	56.9%	57.3%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	30.4%	67.7%	69.3%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	54.5%	45.4%	51.9%	No statistical variation

#### Any additional evidence or comments

# People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

#### Population group rating: Good

#### **Findings**

- Same day appointments and longer appointments were offered when required.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Requires Improvement

#### **Findings**

Quality Outcomes Framework data for 2017/18 (the most recent verified and published data) showed that practice performance was below local and national averages in ensuring the health and social needs of patients with mental health condition were being met. The provider was able to provide us with as yet unverified or published QOF data relating to 2018/19 that showed there had been some improvement and that attainment for mental health indicators had improved from 46% to 71%. However, this is still lower than anticipated local and national averages.

- Same day and longer appointments were offered when required.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	42.9%	86.0%	89.5%	Significant Variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.4% (1)	16.5%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	72.4%	90.9%	90.0%	Tending towards variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	11.0%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	68.9%	82.6%	83.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.2% (1)	5.8%	6.6%	N/A

#### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice had a programme of quality improvement activity and reviewed the effectiveness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	452.5	510.1	537.5
Overall QOF score (as a percentage of maximum)	81.0%	91.3%	96.2%
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	3.5%	5.5%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Yes
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and	used Yes

information about care and treatment to make improvements.	
	Yes
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Yes

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

- The GP partners were aware of their low QOF achievement in some domains and were taking steps to address this.
- The practice should consider undertaking a wider range of audit activity to continue to improve patient care.

#### **Effective staffing**

The practice was able staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

their roles.	
	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Yes
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Yes
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Yes
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Yes
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Yes
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	N/A
Staff had access to regular appraisal, one to ones and clinical supervision.	Yes
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses.	Yes
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Staff we spoke to told us that their learning and development needs were assessed and that they
had protected time for learning and development.

#### **Coordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings	Yes

where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Yes
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Yes
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Yes
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

### Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Yes
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Yes
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Yes
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carer's as necessary.	
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Yes

#### Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

 The practice had reviewed their Carer's Register and had 102 patients on the list who were supported by Carer's Champions. This represented approximately 1.7% of the practice patient population

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	94.4%	95.1%	95.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.5% (7)	0.8%	0.8%	N/A

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Yes
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Yes
Policies for any online services offered were in line with national guidance.	Yes

## Well-led

## **Rating: Good**

#### Leadership capacity and capability

There was inclusive and effective leadership

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Yes
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Yes
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Yes
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• The practice had acted on feedback from previous inspections and had an updated action plan to identify and monitor progress.

#### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Yes
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Yes
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Yes
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• Staff that we spoke to enjoyed working at the practice and felt that they contributed to the vision, values and strategy of the practice.

#### Culture

The practice had a culture which drove quality sustainable care

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Yes
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Yes
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Yes
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Yes
When people were affected by things that went wrong they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Yes
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Yes
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Yes
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Yes
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	<u>.                                    </u>

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Administrative Staff	Staff enjoyed working at the practice and found both GP's and Practice Manager to be approachable and helpful.
	Staff felt involved with developing the vision and values of the practice.
	Staff enjoyed working and engaging with the local patient population.

#### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

Y/N/Partial	
h were regularly reviewed.	There were governance structures and systems which
Yes	Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.
ith third parties.	There were appropriate governance arrangements with
·	Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:
	Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

#### Managing risks, issues and performance

There were effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Yes
There were processes to manage performance.	Yes
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Partial
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Yes
A major incident plan was in place.	Yes
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Yes
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

 Although we saw two completed 2 cycle audits, the practice should consider undertaking a wider range of audit activity to continue to improve patient care.

#### Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Yes
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Yes
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate reliable and timely.	Yes
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Yes
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- All practice staff are encouraged to attend monthly meetings and have open discussion around complaints/significant events and how best to learn from them.
- Challenging cases are discussed and shared across the professional team.

If the practice offered online services:

	Y/N/Partial
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Yes

Any unusual access was identified and followed up.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Yes
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Yes
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Yes
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The practice had an active PPG although this was a virtual group.
- Staff that we spoke to felt involved in the way the practice was run and were supported by GP's and management.
- Working hours had been discussed to support staff morale.

Feedback from Patient Participation Group and Suggestion Box.

#### Feedback

- Patients complained that the waiting room was too hot and air conditioning had been installed to address this issue.
- Patients were being asked to trial a new system called Doctorlink which aims to improve access to medical services.

#### Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Yes
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- All practice staff are encouraged to attend monthly meetings and have open discussion around complaints/significant events and how best to learn from them.
- Challenging cases are discussed and shared across the professional team.

Examples of continuous learning and improvement			
•	All practice staff are encouraged to attend meetings and events to learn and share from issues such as the patient survey data and practice complaints.		

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still

shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/qps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

#### Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.