

Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Burma Hills Surgery (1-6687744504)

Inspection date: 4 September 2019

Date of data download: 03 September 2019

Overall rating: Good

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

Safe

Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Y
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Y
There were policies covering adult and child safeguarding which were accessible to all staff.	Y
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Y
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	Y
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Y
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Y
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	Y
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Y
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Y
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.	Y
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">All policies were available on the staff intranet website.	

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Y
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance if relevant to role.	Y
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sample of four staff records we reviewed identified all relevant pre-employment checks had been completed and there were records of comprehensive Disclosing and Barring Service checks being undertaken. 	

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person. Date of last inspection/test: May 2019	Y
There was a record of equipment calibration. Date of last calibration: May 2019	Y
There were risk assessments for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Y
There was a fire procedure.	Y
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks. Date of last check: Checked weekly	Y
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill: Undertaken every 6 months	Y
There was a record of fire alarm checks. Date of last check: Checked weekly	Y
There was a record of fire training for staff. Date of last training: Undertaken annually for all staff	Y
There were fire marshals.	Y
A fire risk assessment had been completed. Date of completion:	Y
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All fire marshals held certificates to confirm they had been trained for their role and undertook regular fire safety checks. 	

Health and safety	Y/N/Partial
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out. Date of last assessment: Undertaken monthly	Y
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment: Regular checks carried out in accordance with identified risks.	Y

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an infection risk assessment and policy.	Y
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Y
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out. Date of last infection prevention and control audit: June 2019	Y
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Y
There was a system to notify Public Health England of suspected notifiable diseases.	Y
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The provider had resolved any issues identified within the infection control audit. 	

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Y
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Y
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Y
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Y
The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Y
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Y
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Y
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Y
When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the	Y

impact on safety.	
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The provider had daily 'huddles', in the morning and at lunch time, where all staff got together to discuss any outstanding tasks, safety issues, daily business items and any other issues that needed to be raised. Team meetings were held fortnightly at which any changes in service provision were monitored and changes were communicated effectively to staff and staff we spoke with were complimentary about the communication and support they received from management. 	

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Y
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Y
Referrals to specialist services were documented and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Y
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Y
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Y

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)</small>	0.79	0.77	0.87	No statistical variation
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total	7.2%	9.3%	8.6%	No statistical variation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>				
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/01/2019 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	4.45	5.47	5.63	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity of oral NSAIDs prescribed per Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PU) (01/01/2019 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	1.94	1.81	2.08	No statistical variation

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Y
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Y
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Y
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Y
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Y
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Y
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Y
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Y
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	N/A
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient	Y

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Y
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Y
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The provider had a system in place to manage prescriptions that had not been collected. 	

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong/did not have a system to learn and make improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Y
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	30
Number of events that required action:	30
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Following our last inspection in December 2018, where a number of clinical safety issues had been identified, the new provider had reviewed all high risk areas of care and had recorded and responded to significant events arising from this, hence the higher number of recorded incidents. 	

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
Correspondence workflow not being actioned within appropriate timescales	A new system was developed and implemented to ensure workflow was dealt with in a timely manner.
A number of patients had been given the wrong information regarding their healthcare needs	A review of all patients was undertaken and all affected patients were contacted and invited in for a review.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The provider's central team managed all safety alerts and informed the practice of any action needed. • The practice manager also received alerts directly. • The practice tracked the receipt of and actions undertaken for all safety alerts on an electronic record available to all staff. We saw examples of actions taken on recent alerts for example, regarding sodium valproate. 	

Effective

Rating: Good

The data detailed in this section relates to the year 2017 to 2018. This was when the previous provider was registered with CQC. We have added unverified data provided by the practice during the inspection which still relates to the old provider. The new provider showed us evidence that they had reviewed the care for patients at most risk following taking over the practice and showed us the data relating to their achievement for 2019 to 2020 at the half way point.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The dissemination of new guidelines was overseen by a lead GP. Discussion on implementation of guidelines was undertaken at clinical team meetings. Most protocols were devised by the Modality partnership and implemented nationally across all their practices. However, each location reviewed the policies to ensure they were appropriate for their own individual practice needs. 	

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	0.79	0.56	0.75	No statistical variation

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs with a named GP and care plans were developed accordingly.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- The practice carried out structured annual medication reviews for older patients.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.
- Multi-disciplinary team palliative care reviews were undertaken, and End of Life care plans were added to link to out of hours services, ambulance service and secondary care.
- A new Care plan template with details uploaded for out of hours was added for mental health and dementia patients.
- The practice signposted to carers' programmes e.g. Carers Direct.
- The practice liaised with Community Matrons, district nurses and to community programmes e.g. Community Navigators to ensure care was joined up across services.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- There was a clinical lead for each disease area and for each enhanced service that was offered.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training. Nurses led the long-term condition review clinics, including Year of care for patients with diabetes and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
- Recalls were sent to patients during their birth month and a new recall administrator had been employed and trained to undertake this role.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.

- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated appropriately.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.
- Clinics were held on a Saturday for immunisations such as Flu and pneumococcal.
- **Care for long-term conditions was standardised using clinical templates to ensure consistency.**

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	64.4%	77.2%	78.8%	Tending towards variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	13.7% (16)	12.3%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	67.9%	78.9%	77.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	6.8% (8)	8.7%	9.8%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	69.0%	78.6%	80.1%	Tending towards variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	14.5% (17)	12.3%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	81.4%	75.7%	76.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.5% (6)	5.2%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an	93.0%	90.5%	89.7%	No statistical variation

assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) _(QOF)				
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.3% (1)	10.0%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) _(QOF)	83.0%	82.0%	82.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	5.5% (16)	3.0%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) _(QOF)	96.0%	93.3%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	6.9%	6.7%	N/A

Any additional evidence or comments

Unverified data showed that:

The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months.

- For 2018 to 2019 this had improved by 3% to 71% (target 83%) and for 2019 to 2020 so far, they had achieved 57%.

The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions.

- For 2018 to 2019 the achievement was 67% (target 70%) and for 2019 to 2020 so far, they had achieved 77%.

The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less.

- For 2018 to 2019 the achievement was 82% (target 80%) and for 2019 to 2020 so far, they had achieved 83%.

Findings

- Childhood immunisation uptake rates were in line with the World Health Organisation (WHO) targets.
- The practice contacted the parents or guardians of children due to have childhood immunisations.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health visitors when necessary.
- Safeguarding meetings were held regularly with a multi-disciplinary team.
- The practice carried out audits on immunisations and children considered to be at risk'.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.
- Staff had the appropriate skills and training to carry out reviews for this population group.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	58	59	98.3%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	62	66	93.9%	Met 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	63	66	95.5%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	62	66	93.9%	Met 90% minimum

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information:
<https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.
- Extended hours appointments were offered during evenings and on Saturdays.
- The practice undertook out of area patient registrations for those working locally.
- Electronic Prescribing System was in use.
- Telephone consultations were offered to patients.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (Public Health England)	73.2%	N/A	N/A	Below 80% target
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	69.8%	72.1%	69.9%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	46.4%	57.5%	54.4%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	77.8%	73.9%	70.2%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	63.6%	54.1%	51.9%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice was aware of the below target achievement for cervical screening and were in the process of formulating an action plan for this.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Same day appointments and longer appointments were offered when required.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check. 25 out of 29 of these patients had a health check in the last 12 months.
- The practice nurse undertook learning disability reviews in a co-ordinated way for multiple health concerns and combined this with home visits for flu vaccinations when required.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice reviewed young patients at local residential homes.
- The practice offered signposting and health advice to homeless patients.
- Safeguarding policies included links to local services to allow ease of use for staff.
- Equality and diversity training was given to all staff.
- The practice signposted to sexual health screening as appropriate.
- The practice referred to local alcohol and drug services.
- Staff had access to interpreters when required, including Makaton for patients with hearing loss.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- Same day and longer appointments were offered when required.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.
- The practice had identified a dementia lead and kept a register of patients with dementia to support the identification patients to improve prevalence.

- The practice worked closely with community support services for patients with mental health needs.
- In-House training was given to all staff on the Mental Capacity Act and Consent and for conflict resolution.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	95.5%	92.2%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.3% (1)	12.7%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	100.0%	91.0%	90.0%	Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	10.4%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	100.0%	84.4%	83.0%	Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	8.3% (1)	6.1%	6.6%	N/A

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	533.3	541.8	537.5
Overall QOF score (as a percentage of maximum)	95.4%	96.9%	96.2%
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	5.6%	5.1%	5.8%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y
Quality improvement activity was targeted at the areas where there were concerns.	Y

The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Y
--	---

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinicians told us the practice carried out their own audits as well as the ones assigned by the provider. • We reviewed seven audits undertaken within the practice which all showed a level of quality improvement. The first cycle of these audits were completed by the previous provider with the new provider deciding to continue doing further cycles to ensure care was assessed and improvements were made accordingly. Many of the audits were triggered by the reporting of significant events and the provider told us they wanted to ensure that safety for patients was prioritised. • The practice had an audit plan and schedule in place. • The provider had set out to improve prescribing having taken on the management of the practice. They identified that prescribing practice, by the previous provider, was not always following prescribing guidelines or the local prescribing scheme. Audits and improvements had been made in the monitoring of patients on high risk medicines and for patients with hypertension. • An audit of patients with a raised blood marker which suggests diabetes or pre-diabetes was undertaken following a significant event being raised regarding the previous provider not giving patients the correct diagnosis. • The provider had identified a significant issue with antibiotic prescribing by the previous provider and had undertaken a two cycle audit for the prescribing for urinary tract infections (UTI) and otitis media (OT). • Both of these audits showed a significant improvement in appropriate prescribing for the conditions. For example, In December 2018 44% of patients with OT were prescribed in accordance with national guidelines. In March 2019 this had improved to 95% and in June 2019 they achieved 100%. • In December 2018 26% of patients were prescribed the incorrect antibiotic according to national guidelines. In February 2019 this had improved to 0% and in June 2019 was 6%.

Any additional evidence or comments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The practice had an active quality improvement programme in place. Data was used to inform quality improvement activity. For example, Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) data was regularly reviewed and used to identify where improvement in care for patients with long term conditions could be made. All GPs were tasked with achieving improvement in QOF indicators for their registered patients and their achievement was monitored by the quality management team.

Effective staffing

The practice was able demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Y
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Y

The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Y
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Y
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice operated a supervision programme for clinical pharmacists and nurse prescribers. All clinical staff had agreed performance targets for improving patient care and effective provision of services. 	

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	Y
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Y
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y

Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) <small>(QOF)</small>	97.3%	94.3%	95.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.5% (3)	0.7%	0.8%	N/A

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Y
Policies for any online services offered were in line with national guidance.	Y

Caring

Rating: Good

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive/ negative about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Y
Staff displayed understanding and a non-judgemental attitude towards patients.	Y
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Y

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	15
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	14
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	1
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	0

Source	Feedback
Comments cards	Patients commented that reception staff were helpful and caring and that they felt listened to by their GP at the practice.

National GP Survey results

Note: The questions in the 2018 GP Survey indicators have changed. Ipsos MORI have advised that the new survey data must not be directly compared to the past survey data, because the survey methodology changed in 2018.

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
3,287	352	132	37.5%	4.02%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	97.2%	88.4%	88.9%	Tending towards variation (positive)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	97.2%	87.3%	87.4%	Tending towards variation (positive)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	99.2%	96.1%	95.5%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	88.1%	83.9%	82.9%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

- The quality improvement culture of the practice led to a continued drive to improve care, performance and the patient experience.
- Staff training and staff meeting structures included opportunity to learn more about customer care and improve the patient experience.
- All reception staff had received training on conflict resolution.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Y
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Easy read and pictorial materials were available. 	

Source	Feedback
Interviews with patients.	All of the ten patients we spoke with told us that GPs took time to explain care and treatment and gave opportunity for patients to ask questions about their care.

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	97.4%	93.4%	93.4%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Y
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Y
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The practice website translated into numerous languages. Information about support groups was on the website and in leaflets around the practice. Social prescribers had detailed knowledge about local support groups. Information was available in easy read formats. 	

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	The practice held a register of 115 carers which was 3.3% of the registered patient population.
How the practice supported carers (including young carers).	Carers were supported by social prescribers who had knowledge of local services and support groups. Staff had access to information for carers on the practice intranet and patients could access further information via the practice website and from carers information leaflets available in the waiting rooms. All registered carers were offered a seasonal flu immunisation.
How the practice supported	The practice had a bereavement protocol that was followed. This included

recently bereaved patients.	sending a condolences card to the bereaved family. The GP with whom the family was registered made contact with the family to offer support that met their needs. The practice then made contact with other agencies who had been involved in the care of the deceased patient and ensured any future appointments were cancelled.
-----------------------------	--

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Y
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Y
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Y
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Y

Responsive

Rating: Good

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Y
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Y
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Y
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Y

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8am to 6.30pm
Tuesday	8am to 6.30pm
Wednesday	8am to 6.30pm
Thursday	8am to 7.15pm
Friday	8am to 6.30pm
Appointments available:	
Monday	8.30am to 6.30pm
Tuesday	9.00am to 6.30pm
Wednesday	8.30am to 6.30pm
Thursday	8.30am to 7.15pm
Friday	8.30am to 6.30pm

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
3,287	352	132	37.5%	4.02%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	98.3%	95.3%	94.5%	No statistical variation

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable older patients to access appropriate services.
- The in-house paramedic was trained in care planning for this patient group.
- Prescriptions were dropped off at the local pharmacy by staff if patients could not collect them themselves.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Patients with multiple conditions had their needs reviewed in one appointment.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients with long-term conditions to access appropriate services.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.
- The practice participated in medical research into long term conditions.
- The practice participated in group consultations for pre-diabetic patients.

Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- The practice offered combined post-natal and six-week baby check appointments for convenience.
- There was a dedicated section on the website for young patients.
- The practice provided privacy areas (for breastfeeding and young patients) and had a breastfeeding policy in place.
- The practice had no step access to ensure it was suitable for accommodating the use of pushchairs/prams.
- Text reminders and appointment confirmations were sent to patients.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was open until 7.15pm on a Wednesday. Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area, as the practice was a member of a GP federation.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and travellers.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients living in vulnerable circumstances to access appropriate services.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.
- Receptionists were aware of patients requiring a longer appointment or less distractions and patients were booked to attend at end of surgery.
- Receptionists sent get well cards to patients when unwell in hospital and often acted as a 'friend' to

the vulnerable patients.

- Practice members attend funerals of patients providing support to bereaved families.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Priority appointments were allocated when necessary to those experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these accordingly.

Timely access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Y
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Y
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Y

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	80.6%	N/A	68.3%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	80.4%	69.5%	67.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	79.5%	65.6%	64.7%	No statistical variation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	81.9%	74.1%	73.6%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice had reviewed the results of the 2019 national patient survey. An action plan to improve feedback in areas where the practice was performing below average had been devised and implemented.

Source	Feedback
Patient interviews	Eight of the ten patients we spoke with said they found it ok to get through to the practice by telephone.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	12
Number of complaints we examined.	3
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	3
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
Incorrect recall letter sent for immunisation.	Staff reminded to check patient records before sending out recall letters.
Issues with getting through to the practice via the telephone.	Plans for updated telephone system.

Well-led

Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Y
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Y
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Y
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Staff were encouraged and supported to develop their management and leadership skills to either join the senior leadership team or take more senior roles to support leaders.• The practice partnership operating model meant that there was a national board who set and managed the business strategy for all the practice registered with them. There was also a divisional board who were responsible for setting the local business plan to ensure it was appropriate for the populations needs.• There was a central business support function which meant that these tasks were undertaken in the most efficient way.	

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Y
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Y
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The practice had an ongoing plan which identified the needs of the registered population and strategies to meet the identified needs.• Staff we spoke with knew the practice vision and felt able to contribute to the development plans for the practice.• The provider had developed a clear set of values which outlined a commitment to working with compassion, openness, truth and honesty. Conversations with staff demonstrated that their values	

and approach aligned with this.

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Y
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y
When people were affected by things that went wrong they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Y
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Y
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Y
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Y
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Y

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff interviews	Staff we spoke with told us they were proud to work at the practice and that they received excellent support from leaders at the practice. They told us that they received a wide range of training opportunities and felt involved in decisions about the way the practice was managed.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Y
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Y
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were / the practice did not have clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes to manage performance.	Y
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was a quality management programme in place overseen by the management team. • Appropriate risk assessments were undertaken and action carried out when risks were identified. Mitigation of risks was overseen by the senior leadership team. • The practice had developed a register of patients for whom they had a concern in addition to registers of at-risk children and patients for whom a safeguarding referral had been made. 	

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The practice used data to inform performance targets for clinical staff. For example, screening rate data and data from the QOF scheme were reviewed to set performance targets for GPs. 	

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Y
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Y
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• All staff had personal development plans.• Meeting structures enabled staff to share views, concerns and ideas. Staff said they were able to access additional learning and training courses easily with support from the management team.• GPs were involved in research and sharing learning and best practice with the wider health community.• The practice provided services to patients that were not registered when this was appropriate.	

Examples of continuous learning and improvement

- The practice had plans to implement video consultations delivered via an outside agency and e-consultations via a new website.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practice's performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤ -3
Variation (positive)	> -3 and ≤ -2
Tending towards variation (positive)	> -2 and ≤ -1.5
No statistical variation	< 1.5 and > -1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥ 1.5 and < 2
Variation (negative)	≥ 2 and < 3
Significant variation (negative)	≥ 3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link:
<https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- **PHE:** Public Health England
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.