Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Corner Place Surgery (1-568459172)

Inspection date: 25 September 2019

Date of data download: 20 September 2019

Overall rating: Good

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2017/18.

Effective Rating: Good

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y

Prescribing	Practice performance		England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group	nuu	0.90	0.75	No statistical variation

Prescribing	Practice performance	England average	England comparison
Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU)			
(01/07/2018 to 30/06/2019) (NHSBSA)			

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- The practice carried out structured annual medicine reviews for older patients.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health
 and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked
 with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated appropriately.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice		England average	England comparison
---------------------	----------	--	--------------------	--------------------

The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	85.0%	82.9%	78.8%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	20.0% (233)	16.3%	13.2%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	79.4%	76.7%	77.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	16.5% (192)	14.3%	9.8%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	83.8%	81.6%	80.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	13.7% (160)	17.1%	13.5%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	70.6%	76.0%	76.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	14.5% (186)	11.4%	7.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	90.7%	89.9%	89.7%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	20.5% (97)	14.2%	11.5%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	84.3%	83.7%	82.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.9% (129)	5.9%	4.2%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a	84.6%	90.1%	90.0%	No statistical variation

record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or				
more, the percentage of patients who are				
currently treated with anti-coagulation drug				
therapy (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)				
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	5.0% (21)	6.8%	6.7%	N/A

Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice was aware of high exception reporting rates for patients with long term condition.
 They explained that some of the shortfalls were due to merging with another practice which had
 affected the results for the year 2017/18. They had carried out audits on all patients with long term
 conditions, such as asthma and made several attempts to contact patients to invite them in for a
 review.
- We looked at recently published QOF figures and found that exception reporting for the period 2018/19 had decreased in all areas where this was above 10%. For example, the percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less exception reporting was 13.9%, down from 16.5%; exception reporting for the percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months was 15%, down from 20.5%.

Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

- Three of the four childhood immunisation uptake rates were below with the minimum World Health Organisation (WHO) targets.
- The practice contacted the parents or guardians of children due to have childhood immunisations.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health visitors when necessary.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.
- Staff had the appropriate skills and training to carry out reviews for this population group.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	146	157	93.0%	Met 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who	133	156	85.3%	Below 90% minimum

have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)				
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	135	156	86.5%	Below 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	135	156	86.5%	Below 90% minimum

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice had designated members of staff who monitored immunisation uptake and health promotion schemes were organised throughout the year to encourage parents or guardians to have their children vaccinated. Vaccines were offered opportunistically when relevant.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (Public Health England)	71.3%	N/A	N/A	Below 80% target
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	68.3%	75.4%	69.9%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)(01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	59.6%	61.1%	54.4%	N/A

The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	60.5%	63.9%	70.2%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (PHE)	54.2%	55.5%	51.9%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

Staff at the practice promoted cervical screening and offered opportunistic screening when relevant. We saw unverified data for 2018/19 that showed uptake had improved to 75%.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Same day appointments and longer appointments were offered when required.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances and referred them to other services for care and treatment when needed.

People experiencing poor mental health Population group rating: Good (including people with dementia)

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- Same day and longer appointments were offered when required.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term medicines.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- · Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of

dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.

- All staff had received dementia training in the last 12 months.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	91.4%	89.0%	89.5%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	29.7% (49)	16.6%	12.7%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	90.6%	89.2%	90.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	15.8% (26)	14.5%	10.5%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)	74.3%	84.0%	83.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.2% (8)	8.1%	6.6%	N/A

Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice had also identified patients with a long-term mental health condition who had not been reviewed and an alert had been placed on their record so that the review could be carried out if they attended the practice for any other care or treatment.
- Exception reporting rates had decreased for patients with a mental health condition. We saw unverified data for 2018/19 that showed for those who had an agreed care plan in place the exception rate was 24.3%; and for those whose alcohol consumption was recorded the exception rate was 13%.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	559.0	544.1	537.5
Overall QOF score (as a percentage of maximum)	100.0%	97.3%	96.2%
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	7.5%	6.9%	5.8%

Y/N/Partial

Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Υ
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Υ
Quality improvement activity was targeted at the areas where there were concerns.	Υ
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Υ

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

- We were provided with several examples of audits carried out on patients prescribed aspirin and whether there was a documented clinical reason for this being prescribed.
- A total of 22 patients were reviewed in July 2019, of these seven had a clinical reason documented. The remaining 15 patients had commenced aspirin therapy based on a diagnosis of hypertension in 2013, but this was not documented fully. For nine of these patients aspirin was stopped as it was no longer clinically necessary; and six were invited in for a review with a view to stopping aspirin. The practice planned to re-audit this area in January 2020.
- A completed cycle audit had been carried out on patients who had experienced gestational diabetes. The audits were run in 2015 and 2017 and during this period the percentage of patients who had an annual blood test to measure blood glucose level had improved from 33% to 52%.
- A further cycle was carried out in August 2019, this showed that 43% of patients had received the
 necessary blood test. However, during that period, the practice had merged with another practice
 and a significant number of patients included in this cycle were new and not part of the previous
 two cycles. The practice planned to run the audit again after six months.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Y
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Y
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Y
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y

The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Υ
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018)	Y
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Υ
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Υ
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	Y

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

The start were deficient and productive in helping patients to live healther h	
	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Υ
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Υ
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension,	93.2%	94.7%	95.1%	No statistical variation

diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma,				
schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or				
other psychoses whose notes record				
smoking status in the preceding 12 months				
(01/04/2017 to 31/03/2018) (QOF)				
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.6% (31)	1.0%	0.8%	N/A

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Υ
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Υ
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Υ
Policies for any online services offered were in line with national guidance.	Υ

Well-led

Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Υ
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Υ
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Υ
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Υ

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Υ
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Υ
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had a clear business plan in place for developing the practice and responding to patients' needs. There were clear timescales for actions to be taken and designated members of staff asked to monitor and complete actions, such as the succession planning. The practice had already taken steps to enable business continuity resilience and had recruited salaried GPs, paramedics and phlebotomists as they were aware that there some GP partners would be retiring in 2020.

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Υ
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	
When people were affected by things that went wrong they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Y
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Y
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Y
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Υ
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff interviews	 All staff spoken with during the inspection were positive about the changes they had seen over the past 18 months, with a new practice manager being in post. They considered changes was driven proactively and they were involved in this process and were able to contribute ideas. Senior staff were very clear that the practice operated a 'no-blame' culture and viewed significant events and complaints as a way of learning and improving the care and treatment provided. When individual cases were discussed at significant event meetings, these were anonymised so that the issues could be focused on and staff were not named on an individual basis. Meeting minutes and examples of significant events confirmed this.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Υ
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Υ
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Υ
Explanation of any anguare and additional evidence:	•

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

• The practice had a range of governance systems to support the service provided. These included using staffing ratios and benchmarking activity to plan clinical sessions based on appointment demand and patients contact with the practice, such as use of eConsult.

- There was a range of meetings which included significant event analysis, complaints handling and safeguarding.
- Staff were provided with a range of opportunities for training and development of skills; and there was appropriate oversight of staff competencies at all levels of the practice with structured appraisals and supervision.
- We noted that there were shortfalls in ensuring patient group directions (PGDs) were appropriately authorised by the practice for use. Staff reported that they used the online electronic guidance when carrying out vaccination clinics and giving medicines. However, the signed PGDs had entries where staff had signed after they had been authorised, or the authorisers had signed in the incorrect box. A total of 16 PGDs had not been authorised by the practice. This was highlighted during the inspection and rectified, with monitoring actions put into place.
- We noted recruitment files had not been kept in a consistent manner and some files did not have all information as required by the regulations. The practice had identified this and had booked onto safer recruitment training by the Local Medical Committee (LMC) and was in the process of reviewing all recruitment policies and protocols to ensure they met the regulations.
- The practice had effective infection prevention and control procedures in place and the designated lead carried out regular audits and ensured best practice was in place, for example, in relation to hand hygiene. In addition, we saw evidence of learning from a cold chain failure and noted that appropriate safeguards had been put into place to minimise the risk of reoccurrence.
- The practice had a range of risk assessments related to premises maintenance and appropriate actions were taken and monitored to ensure these were effective, such as legionella management.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Y
There were processes to manage performance.	Υ
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Υ
A major incident plan was in place.	
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Υ
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Y

If the practice offered online services:

	Y/N/Partial
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	Y
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Υ
Any unusual access was identified and followed up.	Υ

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Υ
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Υ
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Υ
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Feedback

The patient participation group was involved in fund raising activities for the practice and had recently purchased a centrifuge for the practice, so that blood tests could be taken later in the day and stored prior to analysis.

The practice also had volunteer drivers who provided transport for patients to attend appointments.

Any additional evidence

The practice had responded to concerns about poor telephone access and was due to have a new telephone system in August 2019, however, due to factors beyond their control installation had been delayed until later in 2019. The practice continued to monitor telephone access and keep patients informed of when a new system will be active.

We received a total of 33 comment cards from patients, 29 comment cards were positive about the service provided. All were positive about the care and treatment provided, however, three cards had comments related to telephone access and one commented on the time to discuss concerns in an appointment. All appointments offered by the practice were a minimum of 15 minutes. Positive comments included staff being very helpful; efficient; and caring. Positive comments regarding the service provision included excellent; organised and top service.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Υ
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Υ

Examples of continuous learning and improvement

The practice was working effectively with the newly formed Primary Care Network in the area and was proactively looking at service development to meet patient need.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.