Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

St James Medical Practice Limited (1-559754901)

Inspection date: 8 January 2020

Date of data download: 07 January 2020

Overall rating: Good

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2018/19.

Safe Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial	
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Yes	
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Yes	
There were policies covering adult and child safeguarding which were accessible to all staff.	Yes	
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Yes	
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	Yes	
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.		
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.		
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.		
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.		
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.		
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.		
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.		

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We viewed minutes of clinical and multidisciplinary meetings where safeguarding was a standard agenda item and found evidence of patients on these registers being discussed and relevant information, actions and outcomes were added into their clinical record.

Safeguarding Y/N/Partial

We saw evidence that all DBS checks were renewed every three years.

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance if relevant to role.	
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We viewed a sample of four staff personnel records, two of which did not contain any references.

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person.	Yes
Date of last inspection/test: April 2019	
There was a record of equipment calibration. Date of last calibration: April 2019	Yes
There were risk assessments for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Yes
There was a fire procedure.	Yes
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks. Date of last check: July 2019	Yes
There was a log of fire drills. Date of last drill: July 2019	Yes
There was a record of fire alarm checks. Date of last check: every Friday	Yes
There was a record of fire training for staff. Date of last training: April 2019	Yes
There were fire marshals.	Yes
A fire risk assessment had been completed. Date of completion: March 2018	Yes
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The fire risk and hazardous substances risk assessments were completed by the building property services.

We viewed a fire drill report which was discussed at a practice meeting where a follow up fire drill was agreed to take place in January 2020.

Health and safety	Y/N/Partial
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out. Date of last assessment: 2018	Yes
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment: 2018	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice's last health and safety risk assessment was completed in 2018 by an external agency, this had the review date of 2020. The practice had reviewed and updated this but there was no associated action plan.

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial	
There was an infection risk assessment and policy.	Yes	
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Yes	
nfection prevention and control audits were carried out.		
Date of last infection prevention and control audit: July 2019	162	
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Yes	
There was a system to notify Public Health England of suspected notifiable diseases.	Yes	
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Yes	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

All staff had received infection control training, but the lead for infection control did not have specific training for this role. However, following the inspection this was completed and we were provided with evidence of this.

The practice had completed the actions identified in the external infection control audit, which included obtaining a legionella certificate and removing a plug from a sink. We found a follow up audit was scheduled for the week following the inspection.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Yes
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Yes
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Yes
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Yes
The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Yes
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	Yes
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Yes
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Yes
When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	, .

The practice had two leads for sepsis and all non-clinical staff we spoke with were aware of sepsis symptoms and their responsibility in reporting them.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Yes
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Yes
Referrals to specialist services were documented and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Yes

The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice employed two staff members who had the sole role of summarising patient records and were up-to-date with the completion of this.

The practice did not have a documented policy for the management of test results. However, all staff were aware of the process and steps that were required to ensure test results were managed in a timely way, which included results being equally distributed to GPs on duty. We reviewed the clinical system and found results were all handled in a timely manner.

We viewed the system for monitoring two-week with cancer referrals and found this to be an effective process.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2018 to 30/09/2019) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.54	0.67	0.87	Variation (positive)
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/10/2018 to 30/09/2019) (NHSBSA)	9.0%	9.4%	8.5%	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/04/2019 to 30/09/2019) (NHSBSA)	5.72	6.22	5.60	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity of oral NSAIDs prescribed per Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PU) (01/04/2019 to 30/09/2019)	0.89	1.23	2.08	Variation (positive)

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial	
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Yes	
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Partial	
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).		
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Yes	
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Yes	
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Yes	
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Yes	
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Yes	
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS , England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.		
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.		
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Yes	
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	N/A	
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.		
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Yes	
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Yes	
Explanation of any anguage and additional evidence:		

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Prescriptions were securely stored including overnight, however the practice did not have a systematic system for monitoring their use as they did not consistently log the prescription numbers.

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong/did not have a system to learn and make improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Yes
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Yes
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Yes
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Yes
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Partial
Number of events recorded in last 12 months: 20	
Number of events that required action: 20	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Following the inspection, we were provided with evidence of a practice meeting where it was agreed that moving forward all significant events would be discussed in the appropriate meetings and learning as a result appropriately documented.

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
, , , ,	The practice notified the CCG, NHSE and 111 and put a notice on their website advising patients that the phone lines were not working.
receive calls.	The telephone provider company was contacted, and the issue was logged.
	This was discussed at a practice meeting where the reason for the issue was explained and staff were informed the provider were on their final warning.
fridge temperatures were being recorded wrongly, with the maximum temperature being recorded as the minimum temperature and vice versa as well as	We found the vaccine fridge temperatures were currently being accurately recorded and were within range, but the practice was unable to provide evidence of discussion regarding this event with relevant staff members. We were told this discussion was had with the staff member who was covering the normal staff members' role for recording vaccine fridge temperatures. We viewed an email to staff members informing them that only the lead would record temperatures and would nominate and train
	someone to do this when they were away.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Yes
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Safety alerts were received by the pharmacist who discussed them in clinical meetings and completed the required actions.

We saw examples of actions taken on recent alerts for example, regarding sodium valproate, where one of the agreed actions was to inform the medicine optimisation team when secondary care requested patients be issued this medicine.

Effective

Rating: Good

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Yes
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Yes
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Yes
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Yes
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Yes
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Yes
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Yes
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	N/A

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Weekly meetings were held with one of the GP partners and the physicians associate and pharmacist to ensure they were up-to-date with all new clinical guidelines. The practice also had a WhatsApp group where updates were shared.

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2018 to 30/09/2019) (NHSBSA)	0.61	0.60	0.74	No statistical variation

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

• The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe

frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.

- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- The practice carried out structured annual medication reviews for older patients.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health
 and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP
 worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- All asthma patients were offered a virtual annual review where there was an online video they
 were instructed to follow, where necessary peak flow meters were provided to these patients
 with videos of how to use as well as inhaler technique. This process and results were reviewed
 by GPs
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated appropriately.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	72.3%	73.7%	79.3%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	17.1% (126)	12.4%	12.8%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	83.1%	78.1%	78.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.7% (27)	9.1%	9.4%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	83.0%	75.4%	81.3%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	16.3% (120)	10.1%	12.7%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	75.5%	76.3%	75.9%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.1% (15)	2.6%	7.4%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	91.2%	90.4%	89.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	3.8% (4)	7.7%	11.2%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	80.8%	80.0%	83.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.2% (33)	4.2%	4.0%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	87.8%	88.8%	91.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.2% (1)	3.6%	5.9%	N/A

Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice has not met the minimum 90% target for all four childhood immunisation uptake indicators.
- The practice contacted the parents or guardians of children due to have childhood immunisations.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health visitors when necessary.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.
- Staff had the appropriate skills and training to carry out reviews for this population group.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	184	254	72.4%	Below 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	185	246	75.2%	Below 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	185	246	75.2%	Below 80% uptake
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	191	246	77.6%	Below 80% uptake

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice was aware they were not achieving their immunisation targets. Patients were routinely telephoned and texted advising them they needed to book an appointment for immunisations. The practice also routinely reviewed their immunisation achievement in formal meetings.

The practice carried out a patient education session where parents of children aged 12 months were invited to the practice to discuss the benefits of immunisation with the hope this would encourage them to make an appointment to have this done. Out of 20 patients that were invited to this session only four turned up and none booked an appointment for the immunisation.

The practice reviewed this system and decided to repeat the exercise but reduced the age to parents of children aged six months, as they concluded that by 12 months parents had already made the decision not to immunise their children. The practice also combined this exercise with a free child basic life support training session for parents to encourage them to attend. There were 12 patients who attended this session which occurred two days prior to this inspection, the practice expects that appointments will be made as a result of this.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). (31/03/2019 to 30/06/2019) (Public Health England)	68.6%	N/A	80% Target	Below 70% uptake
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (PHE)	54.0%	46.7%	71.6%	N/A
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (PHE)	42.9%	45.5%	58.0%	N/A
The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis. (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (PHE)	67.9%	71.4%	68.1%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (PHE)	48.5%	47.9%	53.8%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice was aware they were not achieving the cytology target. We viewed minutes of meetings where a significant event was raised regarding a significant delay in the results of this screening being returned to the practice which impacted the practice's achievement.

The practice had a dedicated staff member for cervical screening who managed the recall system and put

alerts on patient records to advise staff members of who needed to book the screening. There was a system for ensuring that newly registered patients either booked this screening or provided details of their last screen. We found the practice completed 717 smears since April 2019.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Same day appointments and longer appointments were offered when required.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe
 mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for
 physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking'
 services.
- Same day and longer appointments were offered when required.
- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs
 of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- All staff had received dementia training in the last 12 months.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	92.9%	91.1%	89.4%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.6% (3)	6.2%	12.3%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	90.6%	92.1%	90.2%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.7% (5)	4.3%	10.1%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	100.0%	82.4%	83.6%	Significant Variation (positive)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.0% (0)	4.0%	6.7%	N/A

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	549.9	534.6	539.2
Overall QOF score (as a percentage of maximum)	98.4%	95.7%	96.7%
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	6.8%	5.9%	5.9%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Yes
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Yes
, , ,	Yes
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Yes

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice completed several clinical and non-clinical audits.

We viewed a clinical audit about sinusitis management. The audit was initially carried out in July 2019. The results suggested 67% of patients were given immediate antibiotics, 17% were given a delayed prescription and 17% were given no antibiotic. The management was deemed to only be appropriate in 37% of cases. Safety netting information and self-care advice was appropriately given in more than 83% of cases. Of the antibiotics issued, in 72% of cases, this was the correct antibiotic and at the correct dose. A re-audit was done in December 2019. The issuing of immediate antibiotics on presentation was 88%, delayed antibiotics at 6% and no antibiotics at 6% although the management was deemed to be appropriate in 50% of cases. Of the antibiotics issued, 43% of these were the correct antibiotics and at the correct dose. Patient were given appropriate safety netting advice in 94% of cases although appropriate self-care advice was only documented in 44% of cases. The practice planned to re-audit in another 6 months' time and re-visit guidelines with all staff to ensure prescribing was appropriate and patients were given the appropriate patient information leaflets for the condition at presentation.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Yes
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Yes
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Yes
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Yes
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Yes
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Yes
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Yes
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Yes
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Yes

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019)	Yes
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Yes
organisations were involved.	Yes
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Yes
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	N/A

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Yes
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Yes
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Yes
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Yes
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

As a result of the practice identifying a significant number of patients who were having health checks did not have their blood tested especially for cholesterol, the practice invested in a machine which tested cholesterol levels during consultations, which increased the monitoring of this. The practice also invested in a free-standing machine which monitored blood pressure, pulse, height, weight and body mass index. Patients were supervised to use this machine and when necessary were sent text messages to invite them to the practice to use this. The practice reported a reduction in the number of appointments used for routine follow up checks where this was required to be monitored.

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	95.8%	95.0%	95.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	0.6% (15)	1.1%	0.8%	N/A

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Yes
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Yes
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Yes
Policies for any online services offered were in line with national guidance.	N/A
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
We saw evidence that consent recording and monitoring was discussed in clinical meeting	S.

Caring

Rating: Good

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Yes
, , ,	Yes
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Yes

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	27
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	26
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	0
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	1

Source	Feedback
Comment Cards	Comment cards mentioned friendly, caring staff and attentive care.

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
15484.0	433.0	119.0	27.5%	0.77%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	89.6%	84.4%	88.9%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	82.3%	81.9%	87.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	91.7%	92.7%	95.5%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	67.0%	77.3%	82.9%	Tending towards variation (negative)

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice carried out their own patient survey and found that out of 486 patients, 84% of patients would recommend the practice to family or friends, 4% would not recommend the practice and 12% would neither recommend or not recommend.

The practice recognised the issues patients experienced when waiting to be seen by reception and said this was due to the practice being the only service in the building that had a physical reception, which meant it was inundated with people wanting to make queries about community services which meant their own patients had longer waiting times to talk to reception staff. The practice displayed posters at the reception desk advising people they could only deal with queries relating to the practice.

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Yes

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Easy read and pictorial materials were available in the patient waiting area and clinical staff provided patients with reading materials and website details where further information could be obtained.

Source	Feedback
Interviews with patients.	Patients we spoke with were happy about the service provided and said there had been a lot of improvements in the previous six months.

National GP Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	89.9%	90.1%	93.4%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

Of the 486 patients who completed the practice's internal patient survey, 78% felt involved in decisions made about their care and treatment, 4% felt they were not involved and 19% neither agreed or disagreed about being involved.

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Yes
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Yes
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Yes

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of	190 (1%)
carers identified.	
How the practice supported	Carers were offered the flu vaccination and flexible appointments.
carers (including young	
carers).	
How the practice supported	The practice had a bereavement policy, these patients were offered support
recently bereaved patients.	at a time which suited them and were send a letter of condolence from the
	practice.

Privacy and dignity

The practice mostly respected patients' privacy and dignity.

The practice in early respected patterns privately and anguity.		
	Y/N/Partial	
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Yes	
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Yes	
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive	Yes	

issues.	
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Partial

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Patients we spoke with mentioned there was a lack of privacy at the reception desk, we found due to the practice being the only service in the building with a physical receptionist, this meant there was an excess of patients crowding this area to be seen, including people that were not patients of the practice. There were posters displayed in this area advising people that the reception desk was for practice patients only.

If the practice offered online services:

	Y/N/Partia I
Patients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	N/A
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	N/A
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	N/A
Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.	N/A
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	N/A

Responsive

Rating: Good

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Yes
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Yes
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Yes
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Yes
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice did not provide extended hours appointments, but they were a part of the local federation who provided these appointments on weekday evenings and weekends, the practice were able to directly book their patients into these appointments.

Day	Time
Opening times:	·
Monday	8am to 6:30pm
Tuesday	8am to 6:30pm
Wednesday	8am to 6:30pm
Thursday	8am to 6:30pm
Friday	8am to 6:30pm
Appointments available:	
Monday	8am to 12:50pm and 2pm to 6:20pm
Tuesday	8am to 12:50pm and 2pm to 6:20pm
Wednesday	8am to 12:50pm and 2pm to 6:20pm
Thursday	8am to 12:50pm and 2pm to 6:20pm
Friday	8am to 12:50pm and 2pm to 6:20pm

National GP Survey results

Practice population size	Surveys sent out	Surveys returned	Survey Response rate%	% of practice population
15484.0	433.0	119.0	27.5%	0.77%

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	94.1%	91.7%	94.5%	No statistical variation

Older people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- Proactive home visits were jointly provided with a GP and pharmacist to ensure holistic needs were met.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable older patients to access appropriate services.
- In recognition of the religious and cultural observances of some patients, the GP would respond
 quickly, often outside of normal working hours, to provide the necessary death certification to
 enable prompt burial in line with families' wishes when bereavement occurred.
- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.

People with long-term conditions

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Patients with multiple conditions had their needs reviewed in one appointment.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients with long-term conditions to access appropriate services.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

Families, children and young people

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Additional nurse appointments were available as part of the HUB service on weekends and weekday evenings when the practice was closed, the practice was able to directly book patients into these appointments.
- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- Parents with concerns regarding children under the age of 10 could attend a drop-in clinic held qt the practice.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services
 it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice provided drop-in-clinics enabling these patients to be seen on the same day.
- The practice was a part of a local HUB service, which provided GP and nurse appointments on weekends and weekday evenings when the practice was closed.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those
 with no fixed abode such as homeless people and Travellers.

- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients living in vulnerable circumstances to access appropriate services.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Population group rating: Good

Findings

- Priority appointments were allocated when necessary to those experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these
 accordingly.

Timely access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Yes
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had a system where they routinely carried out home visits for housebound patients to ensure all their physical mental and medicine needs were met, these visits were often jointly carried out with a GP and pharmacist.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to	34.8%	N/A	68.3%	Significant Variation (negative)

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)				
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	49.2%	63.4%	67.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	52.7%	63.4%	64.7%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	60.6%	68.7%	73.6%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice completed their own patient survey in June 2019, where 486 patients completed the questionnaire and found 67% of these patients felt they were able to get through to the practice by phone, 13% felt they could not get through to the practice and 20% neither agreed or disagreed they were able to get through to the practice.

We viewed emails and discussions between the practice and their telephone provider where it was stipulated as to what changes were required for a better system. This led to the upgrade in the telephone system where there a queueing system was integrated. There was also a message on the telephone system which advised people that only practice queries would be dealt with and stated the practice was not associated with community services.

The practice also provided us with evidence which showed an average of 180 to 200 calls received by the practice each week was for the community services based in the building where the practice was located. This issue had been reported to the relevant organisations as this was creating an increase in the time patients had to wait to get through to the practice and increasing staff workload.

Source	Feedback
NHS Choices	Eighty percent of the comments on this website were positive and mentioned friendly staff positive experiences making an appointment and good clinical are. However, there were some comments that criticised the online appointment booking system and the manner of reception staff members.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	17
Number of complaints we examined.	2
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	0
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Yes
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Whilst we saw that improvements were made in the practice as a result of patient complaints, the practice was unable to evidence that complaints were always discussed with all relevant staff members and not all patients received a written response to their written complaints. Following the inspection, the practice provided us with minutes of a meeting which took place where it was agreed all written complaints would receive a written response and would be discussed at all relevant meetings where outcomes and learning would be shared.

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
not being given a specific time for their	
	This complaint was not discussed in a meeting.
not booked for their appointment	We saw that an alert was added to the patient record advising staff to always book an interpreter for their appointments. We saw the patient was re-booked an appointment as a result of this but there was no evidence of the patient receiving a formal apology or explanation. This complaint was discussed in a practice meeting where a new interpreter pathway was agreed.

Well-led

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Yes
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Yes
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Yes
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Rating: Good

The practice provided evidence of the support they provided to staff members which led to their professional development and promotion within the practice.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Yes
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Yes
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Yes
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Yes

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Yes
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Yes
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Yes
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	
When people were affected by things that went wrong they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Yes

The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Yes
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Yes
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Yes

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
	Staff members we spoke with told us they were happy to work at the practice and felt supported in doing so. Staff also told us they felt there was room for them to progress in their roles if they wanted to.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Yes
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Yes
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice was a part of a pilot which looked at the roles and duties of each staff member and the duties that were required to be completed daily in the practice to ensure the efficient running of the service. Staff were then trained to be able to carry out these roles and a system was put in place to ensure these tasks were completed daily.

The practice informed us that patient paper clinical records were stored offsite, but there was no process of informing patients of this.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Yes
There were processes to manage performance.	Yes

There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	
A major incident plan was in place.	
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Yes

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Yes
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Yes
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Yes
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We viewed the practice's health and safety risk assessment which had been adequately monitored to reflect changes in practice but there was no action plan.

If the practice offered online services:

	Y/N/Partial
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	Yes
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Yes
Any unusual access was identified and followed up.	Yes

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Yes
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Yes

,	Yes
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had a staff suggestion box and staff were encouraged to use this, suggestions included the reception manager role and introducing staff in meetings. Suggestions made were also anonymously discussed in practice meetings.

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Feedback

We spoke with PPG members who told us the practice had made many improvements to the service in the last six months and informed us they thought the practice was open, honest and approachable.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Yes
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Partial

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice was unable to demonstrate learning from significant events and complaints were consistently shared with relevant staff members. However, following the inspection the practice provided us with evidence of new systems to ensure this would be done, as well as minutes of meetings with all staff members where this was agreed and adopted.

Examples of continuous learning and improvement

The practice in their approach to providing care, patients with asthma were provide with virtual annual reviews, housebound patients received a proactive joint home visit and there was a programme of clinical audits which involved the practice team.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period
 (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored
 against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/quidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.