## **Care Quality Commission**

## **Inspection Evidence Table**

## Meden Medical (1-7257992764)

Inspection date: 2 March 2020

Date of data download: 26 February 2020

**Overall rating: Good** 

Please note: Any Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF) data relates to 2018/19.

## Safe Rating: Good

#### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
There was a lead member of staff for safeguarding processes and procedures.	Υ
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Y
There were policies covering adult and child safeguarding which were accessible to all staff.	Υ
Policies took account of patients accessing any online services.	Υ
Policies and procedures were monitored, reviewed and updated.	
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	
Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role.	
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- One of the GPs was the practice's safeguarding lead.
- Quarterly meetings took place between practice staff and other health and social care professionals to discuss adults and children at risk of significant harm.

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Υ
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance if relevant to role.	Υ
There were systems to ensure the registration of clinical staff (including nurses and pharmacists) was checked and regularly monitored.	Υ

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
There was a record of portable appliance testing or visual inspection by a competent person.	Y
Date of last inspection/test: 26 November 2019	
There was a record of equipment calibration.	Y
Date of last calibration: 14 March 2019	
There were risk assessments for any storage of hazardous substances for example, liquid nitrogen, storage of chemicals.	Y
There was a fire procedure.	Υ
There was a record of fire extinguisher checks.	Y
Date of last check: 23 October 2019	
There was a log of fire drills.	Y
Date of last drill: 23 October 2019	
There was a record of fire alarm checks.	Υ
Date of last check: 1 October 2019	
There was a record of fire training for staff.	Υ
Date of last training: Various.	
There were fire marshals.	Y
A fire risk assessment had been completed.	Y
Date of completion: January 2020	
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
Health and safety	Y/N/Partial
Premises/security risk assessment had been carried out.	Y
Date of last assessment: February 2020	
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.	Y
Date of last assessment: 13 August 2019	

## Infection prevention and control

## Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an infection risk assessment and policy.	Υ
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Partial
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out.	Υ
Date of last infection prevention and control audit: 5 February 2020	
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Υ
There was a system to notify Public Health England of suspected notifiable diseases.	Υ
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

An infection control lead was in place but had not received additional specialist infection control training to perform this role. However, they were supported by a nurse who had completed this training.

#### Risks to patients

# There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Y
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Y
Comprehensive risk assessments were carried out for patients.	Y
Risk management plans for patients were developed in line with national guidance.	Y
The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Y
Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.	. Y
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	, Y
There was a process in the practice for urgent clinical review of such patients.	Y
When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.	Y

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

## Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Y
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Y
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Y
Referral letters contained specific information to allow appropriate and timely referrals.	Υ
Referrals to specialist services were documented and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Y
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Y
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Y
The practice demonstrated that when patients use multiple services, all the information needed for their ongoing care was shared appropriately and in line with relevant protocols.	Y

## Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/10/2018 to 30/09/2019) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	1.17	1.02	0.87	Tending towards variation (negative)
The number of prescription items for co- amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/01/2019 to 30/11/2019) (NHSBSA)	8.0%	7.5%	8.4%	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/07/2019 to 30/11/2019)	5.40	5.56	5.56	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity of oral NSAIDs prescribed per Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PU) (01/07/2019 to 30/11/2019)	1.99	2.43	2.07	No statistical variation

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Υ
Blank prescriptions were kept securely and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Υ
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Y
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Partial <sup>1</sup>
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Y

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Y
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Partial <sup>2</sup>
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Y
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Y
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	N/A
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Y
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	N/A
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Y
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with PHE guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Y

#### Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- ¹A nurse prescriber received clinical supervision but there was no evidence of how the provider had checked the prescribing practices of the staff member and no formal prescribing audits had taken place of their prescribing practice. The provider agreed to put this in place.
- <sup>2</sup>We saw that appropriate processes for the administration of one patient's high-risk medicine were not in place. We had no concerns with medicine administration for other patients we checked. The practice confirmed shortly after the inspection that processes had been changed to remove this risk.

#### Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Y
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Y
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Y
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Y
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	
Number of events that required action:	14

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

All practice staff had access to the incident reporting system. Reported incidents were investigated by the practice manager in conjunction with other staff where appropriate. Learning from incidents was shared through team meetings within the practice and across the provider whose staff also considered incidents at the provider's governance committee.

Examples of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

	Specific action taken
Discharge letter scanned on to incorrect patients notes, also poor copy. GP requested clearer copy where it was found to be relating to incorrect patient.	Immediately removed letter from incorrect patient's notes and clearer copy scanned in to correct patients notes. Discussed with all staff.
cervical screening technique.	Patients contacted and offered alternative appointments and given an apology. Staff member provided with additional training. Event discussed with appropriate staff.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Y
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Y

## **Effective**

## **Rating: Good**

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment were delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Y
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Y
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Y
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Y
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Y

Prescribing	Practice performance	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/01/2019 to 30/11/2019) (NHSBSA)	1.00	0.75	0.72	No statistical variation

#### Older people

## Population group rating: Good

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- The practice carried out structured annual medication reviews for older patients.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.

#### People with long-term conditions

### **Population group rating: Good**

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated appropriately.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

Diabetes Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 64 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	79.7%	79.4%	79.3%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	24.6% (100)	17.5%	12.8%	N/A
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	64.0%	74.0%	78.1%	Tending towards variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	8.4% (34)	12.2%	9.4%	N/A

	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, whose last measured total cholesterol (measured within the preceding 12 months) is 5 mmol/l or less (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	82.5%	79.8%	81.3%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	20.0% (81)	15.3%	12.7%	N/A

Other long-term conditions	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, NICE 2011 menu ID: NM23 (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	70.4%	78.7%	75.9%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	5.4% (22)	9.5%	7.4%	N/A
The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	91.4%	88.8%	89.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	6.8% (17)	12.4%	11.2%	N/A

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	76.4%	83.7%	83.0%	Tending towards variation (negative)
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	2.9% (26)	4.3%	4.0%	N/A
In those patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more, the percentage of patients who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	96.3%	94.4%	91.1%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	7.9% (7)	5.4%	5.9%	N/A

#### Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice provided us with their 2018/9 unverified data for the above indicators which had been identified as negative or significant negative variation:
- The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 140/80 mmHg or less had improved from 64% to 79.1%.
- The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading measured in the preceding 12 months is 150/90mmHg or less had improved from 76.4% to 82.3%.
- Diabetic Nurse Specialists attended the practice to support the care and treatment of more complex patients with diabetes.
- We discussed exception reporting rates with practice staff and were confident that practice staff were following appropriate processes before exception reporting any patients.

#### Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

- The practice has met the WHO based national target of 95% (the recommended standard for achieving herd immunity) for four of four childhood immunisation uptake indicators.
- The practice contacted the parents or guardians of children due to have childhood immunisations.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments
  following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation and would liaise with health
  visitors when necessary.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- Young people could access services for sexual health and contraception.
- Staff had the appropriate skills and training to carry out reviews for this population group.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	78	80	97.5%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	76	80	95.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	76	80	95.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (NHS England)	76	80	95.0%	Met 95% WHO based target

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

# Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

## Population group rating: Good

- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- Patients could book or cancel appointments online and order repeat medication without the need to attend the surgery.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to	77.8%	N/A	80% Target	Below 80% target

49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50				
to 64). (Snapshot date: 01/07/2019 to				
30/09/2019) (Public Health England)				
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer				
in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %)	72.5%	78.0%	71.6%	N/A
(01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (PHE)				
Persons, 60-69, screened for bowel cancer in				
last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)	60.8%	58.7%	58.0%	N/A
(01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (PHE)				
The percentage of patients with cancer,				
diagnosed within the preceding 15 months,				
who have a patient review recorded as	63.2%	63.9%	68.1%	N/A
occurring within 6 months of the date of				
diagnosis. (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (PHE)				
Number of new cancer cases treated				
(Detection rate: % of which resulted from a	40 70/	F2 00/	F2 00/	No statistical
two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2018 to	48.7%	52.9%	53.8%	variation
31/03/2019) (PHE)				

#### Any additional evidence or comments

The practice had appropriate arrangements in place to encourage cervical screening uptake. This included opportunistic screening and availability of appointments outside working hours.

# People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

Population group rating: Good

#### **Findings**

- Same day appointments and longer appointments were offered when required.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check. 32/46 had received an annual health check at the time of the inspection visit.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.

People experiencing poor mental health

Population group rating: Good

(including people with dementia)

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services.
- Same day and longer appointments were offered when required.

- There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long-term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Mental Health Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses who have a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	85.7%	91.4%	89.4%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	30.0% (3)	23.4%	12.3%	N/A
The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses whose alcohol consumption has been recorded in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	71.4%	92.5%	90.2%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	30.0% (3)	18.5%	10.1%	N/A
The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care plan has been reviewed in a face-to-face review in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	90.3%	85.2%	83.6%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	4.6% (3)	8.0%	6.7%	N/A

#### Any additional evidence or comments

We discussed exception reporting rates with practice staff and were confident that practice staff were following appropriate processes before exception reporting any patients.

#### Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average
Overall QOF score (out of maximum 559)	522.7	532.4	539.2
Overall QOF score (as a percentage of maximum)	93.5%	95.2%	96.7%
Overall QOF exception reporting (all domains)	6.3%	6.1%	5.9%

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Y
The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Y
Quality improvement activity was targeted at the areas where there were concerns.	Y
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Y

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

The practice had carried out a two-cycle audit of the process of issuing rescue packs to patients with COPD. The audits had led to improvements in the number of patients issued with rescue packs and issued with the correct dosage of rescue medicines.

The practice had carried out a two-cycle audit of patients prescribed both Omeprazole and Clopidogrel which can put patients at risk. The audits had led to the improvement of a decrease in the number of patients prescribed both medicines.

## **Effective staffing**

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment. This included specific training for nurses on immunisation and on sample taking for the cervical screening programme.	Y
The learning and development needs of staff were assessed.	Y
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Y
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Y
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Y
Induction included completion of the Care Certificate for Health Care Assistants employed since April 2015.	Y
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Y
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Y
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Y

## **Coordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
The contractor has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019)	Y
We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.	Y
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Y
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Y
For patients who accessed the practice's digital service there were clear and effective processes to make referrals to other services.	Y

## Helping patients to live healthier lives

## Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Y
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Y
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Y
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.	Y

Smoking Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: CHD, PAD, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2018 to 31/03/2019) (QOF)	94.7%	96.4%	95.0%	No statistical variation
Exception rate (number of exceptions).	1.0% (16)	0.9%	0.8%	N/A

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Y
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Y
The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.	Y
Policies for any online services offered were in line with national guidance.	Y

## **Caring**

## **Rating: Good**

## Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Y
Staff displayed understanding and a non-judgemental attitude towards patients.	Y
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Y

CQC comments cards	
Total comments cards received.	52
Number of CQC comments received which were positive about the service.	42
Number of comments cards received which were mixed about the service.	10
Number of CQC comments received which were negative about the service.	0

Source		Feedback
Patient cards	comment	Patients were very positive regarding the kindness of clinical and non-clinical staff.

## **National GP Survey results**

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	83.7%	88.7%	88.9%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	82.0%	88.2%	87.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and	92.9%	94.8%	95.5%	No statistical variation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)				
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	71.9%	83.1%	82.9%	No statistical variation

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Υ

## Any additional evidence

A 'You said, we did' poster was displayed in the reception area. Suggestions implemented by the practice included opening the practice doors by 8am, ensuring hand gel available for patients at reception and putting a patient suggestion box in the patient waiting area.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Y
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:  Easy read and pictorial materials were available.	

Source	Feedbac									
Patient comment cards	Patients explanati	that	staff	were	helpful	and	provided	them	with	good

#### **National GP Survey results**

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	93.2%	94.9%	93.4%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Y
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Y
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Y
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Y

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number o carers identified.	f73 carers identified (1.2% of patients registered at the practice).
How the practice	The practice had a carers champion.
	Each carer was offered an annual review which included health checks.
young carers).	Each carer was offered the flu vaccine.
	Care plans were regularly reviewed with patients and carers.
	Longer appointments were available if required.
	The practice contacted patients by phone if appropriate and offered support
recently bereaved patients	at appointments where appropriate. Practice staff also gave bereavement
	support information to patients.

## Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.	Y
Consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations.	Υ
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Y
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Y

## Responsive

## **Rating: Good**

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.  The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.  The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.  Y  The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.		Y/N/Partial
services provided.  The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.  Y	·	Y
· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Υ
	The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Υ
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Υ
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

A hearing loop was available to support patients with a hearing impairment and easy read information was in place for patients who might require this additional support.

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8am to 8pm
Tuesday	7am to 6.30pm
Wednesday	8am to 6.30pm
Thursday	8am to 6.30pm
Friday	7am to 6.30pm
Appointments available:	
Monday	Various times depending on clinician within opening hours.
Tuesday	Various times depending on clinician within opening hours.
Wednesday	Various times depending on clinician within opening hours.
Thursday	Various times depending on clinician within opening hours.
Friday	Various times depending on clinician within opening hours.

Pre-booked evening appointments are available Monday to Friday at other GP practices as part of a local extended access scheme. Saturday and Sunday morning appointments are also available as part of this scheme.

#### **National GP Survey results**

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that at their last general practice appointment, their needs were met (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	93.5%	94.0%	94.5%	No statistical variation

#### Older people

#### **Population group rating: Good**

#### **Findings**

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable older patients to access appropriate services.

#### People with long-term conditions

## **Population group rating: Good**

#### **Findings**

- Patients with multiple conditions had their needs reviewed in one appointment.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients with long-term conditions to access appropriate services.
- The practice liaised regularly with the local district nursing team and community matrons to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Care and treatment for people with long-term conditions approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

## Families, children and young people Population group rating: Good

### Findings

- Additional nurse appointments were available until 7pm on a Monday for school age children so that they did not need to miss school.
- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

Population group rating: Good

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services
  it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was open until 8pm on Mondays. Pre-booked evening appointments are available Monday to Friday at other GP practices as part of a local extended access scheme. Saturday and Sunday morning appointments are also available as part of this scheme.

# People whose circumstances make them vulnerable

### Population group rating: Good

#### **Findings**

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and Travellers.
- The practice provided effective care coordination to enable patients living in vulnerable circumstances to access appropriate services.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

# People experiencing poor mental health

Population group rating: Good

## (including people with dementia)

- Priority appointments were allocated when necessary to those experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was aware of support groups within the area and signposted their patients to these
  accordingly.

#### Timely access to the service

## People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

National GP Survey results

	Y/N/Partial
Patients with urgent needs had their care prioritised.	Y
The practice had a system to assess whether a home visit was clinically necessary and the urgency of the need for medical attention.	Y
Appointments, care and treatment were only cancelled or delayed when absolutely necessary.	Y

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	57.6%	N/A	68.3%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	56.7%	65.1%	67.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	47.5%	64.4%	64.7%	Tending towards variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the type of appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2019 to 31/03/2019)	68.7%	75.0%	73.6%	No statistical variation

#### Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice had recruited additional staff to improve appointment access and had implemented extended hours.
- We checked appointment availability during our inspection and appointments were available with GPs and nurses within a reasonable timeframe. A range of pre-bookable and on the day appointments were available.

Source	Feedback
Patient comm cards	Patient comments were mixed in this area. Patients commented positively on receptionist staff but some patients felt that they had to wait a long time for an appointment.

## Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	12
Number of complaints we examined.	3
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	3
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Υ

## Examples of learning from complaints

Complaint	Specific action taken
,	Content of letter reviewed and system amended to make automated letter content clearer.
Regarding receptionist attitude and being asked to call back for appointment despite triage by 111	Incident reviewed with staff member and system changed.

## Well-led Rating: Good

#### Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Υ
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Y
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Y
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Staff commented positively on the accessibility and visibility of provider staff and managers at the practice.

#### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had a clear vision and set of values that prioritised quality and sustainability.	Y
There was a realistic strategy to achieve their priorities.	Y
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Y
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The practice's vision statement was: 'To be consistently rated by our patients and regulatory bodies as providing a service which is safe, effective, caring, and responsive and well led.'
- The practice's values were: "Making a meaningful impact, Acting with integrity, Communicating directly and honestly, Developing & provide innovative solutions based on need, Striving for continuous improvement, Demonstrating teamwork and Respecting others."
- Staff understood the values and were working in line with them.

#### Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Y
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Y
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Y
When people were affected by things that went wrong they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Y
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Y
The practice's speaking up policies were in line with the NHS Improvement Raising Concerns (Whistleblowing) Policy.	Y
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Y
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Y

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff interviews	All staff felt well supported by management at the practice and by provider staff who visited the practice regular. All staff talked of excellent teamworking and positive relationships between staff. Staff told us that they would be comfortable reporting any concerns to management and felt that they would be listened to and acted upon.

#### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Y
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Y
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y

#### Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Υ
There were processes to manage performance.	Υ
There was a systematic programme of clinical and internal audit.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
A major incident plan was in place.	Y
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Y
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y

#### Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to adjust and improve performance.	Y
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Y
Our inspection indicated that information was accurate, valid, reliable and timely.	Y
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Y
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entails.	Y

If the practice offered online services:

	Y/N/Partial
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	Y
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Y
Any unusual access was identified and followed up.	Y

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Υ
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Y
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Y
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Y

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

#### Feedback

The Patient Participation Group (PPG) had approximately ten members with up to eight members regularly attending meetings. PPG meetings took place every two to three months and lasted up to two hours. The PPG chaired and minuted the meetings with representation from the practice including the practice manager and receptionist supervisor. The PPG had been involved in discussions regarding the closure of a practice site and were clearly effectively engaged in the running of the practice. The PPG felt supported by practice staff and that their suggestions were listened to and acted upon where appropriate.

#### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Y
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Y

#### **Examples of continuous learning and improvement**

Practice staff had acted to address concerns identified at our previous inspection (a different provider) and to ensure performance would continue to improve in the future.

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: <a href="https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices">https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices</a>

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

#### Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
- PHE: Public Health England
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.