Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

St Marks Medical Centre (1-5084948804)

Inspection date: 20 September 2022

Date of data download: 14 September 2022

Overall rating: add overall Good

Safe Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Υ
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Υ
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Υ
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	Υ
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Υ
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Υ
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

One of the GPs was overdue level 3 training on safeguarding children at the time of the inspection and completed this immediately after we raised the issue. The practice additionally reviewed its training system to ensure that training requirements and levels were set up correctly on the system and reminders sent to individual members of staff before required training became overdue.

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Υ
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current UK Health and Security Agency (UKHSA) guidance if relevant to role.	Υ

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial	
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.	Υ	
Date of last assessment: 4 May 2022		
There was a fire procedure.	Υ	
Date of fire risk assessment: 14 September 2022	Υ	
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	T	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:		
The fire risk assessment did not identify any 'major' actions. The practice had completed all other		
actions, for example, to repair a door fixture.		

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

<u> </u>	
	Y/N/Partial
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Υ
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out. Date of last infection prevention and control audit: 13 April 2022	Y
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Y
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Υ

Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Υ
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Υ
The practice was equipped to respond to medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Υ
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Υ
There were enough staff to provide appointments and prevent staff from working excessive hours	Υ

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Υ
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Υ
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Υ
Referrals to specialist services were documented, contained the required information and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Υ
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Υ
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Υ
	· ·

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

As part of this inspection, we carried out a series of standardised searches of the electronic records system to review the management and oversight of test results and clinical record keeping. Our searches did not identify any concerns.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation.

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to

be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.25	0.59	0.82	Significant Variation (positive)
The number of prescription items for co- amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	3.0%	8.8%	8.5%	Variation (positive)
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	6.00	5.57	5.31	No statistical variation
Total items prescribed of Pregabalin or Gabapentin per 1,000 patients (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	26.3‰	57.7‰	128.0‰	Significant Variation (positive)
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)	0.07	0.46	0.59	Significant Variation (positive)

Note: ‰ means per 1,000 and it is **not** a percentage.

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Υ
Blank prescriptions were kept securely, and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Υ
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Υ
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Υ
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Υ
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Υ
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Υ
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Υ
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Υ
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	NA
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Υ
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Υ
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Y
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Υ
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with UKHSA guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence, including from clinical searches.	

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence, including from clinical searches.

- As part of this inspection, we carried out a series of standardised searches of the electronic records system to review whether the practice was monitoring its prescribing in line with national guidelines. Our searches did not identify any concerns.
- The practice did not hold opiate painkillers as part of its stock of emergency medicines. It had carried out a risk assessment underpinning this decision.

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Υ
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Υ
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Υ
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Υ
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Υ
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	4
Number of events that required action:	4

Examples of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
Receptionist on duty realised that the telephone was not ringing after the practice had opened. The overnight automatic answering machine had not switched off at opening time, meaning that patients were unable to get through to the practice for approximately 30 minutes.	The practice contacted the telephone company as soon as the issue was identified to understand the reasons for the technical failure. The issue has not reoccurred. The practice has decided to move to a new telephony provider.
Young child entered the surgery (not a registered patient) claiming to have run away from home. Treated as a safeguarding issue and safeguarding stakeholders and legal guardian contacted appropriately.	Case reviewed as a significant event in a team meeting. Positive and prompt actions recognised and discussed as learning points.
The practice was unable to get a new practice nurse logged as a cervical screening smear taker.	The immediate issue was resolved after the practice manager raised a complaint with the relevant technical support team. The practice identified two learning points: to ensure that the reception team were also able to access the relevant forms online and a prompt was added to the recruitment system to log a new 'smear taker' before the first clinical session so access could be checked in advance.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Υ
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

As part of this inspection, we carried out a series of standardised searches of the electronic records system to review whether the practice was implementing selected national patient safety alerts in line with national guidelines. Our searches did not identify any concerns.

Effective

Rating: Good

QOF requirements were modified by NHS England and Improvement for 2020/21 to recognise the need to reprioritise aspects of care which were not directly related to COVID-19. This meant that QOF payments were calculated differently. For inspections carried out from 1 October 2021, our reports will not include QOF indicators. In determining judgements in relation to effective care, we have considered other evidence as set out below.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment were delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Y
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Y
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Y
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Υ
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Υ
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Υ
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Υ
The practice prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients.	Υ
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Effective care for the practice population

Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- The practice maintained a register of patients whose circumstances may make them vulnerable and put in place care planning for those patients with ongoing needs who would benefit.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to eligible patients. The practice had offered Covid-19 vaccinations through the primary care network during the first waves of the pandemic.

- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for
 patients aged 40 to 74 and new registration checks. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on
 the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Management of people with long term conditions

Findings

- We carried out a search of the practice electronic records system to assess how the practice was managing aspects of care for patients with asthma, chronic kidney disease (CKD), hypothyroidism and diabetic retinopathy. We found that the practice was managing these conditions in line with guidelines.
- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan. GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	8	8	100.0%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	10	12	83.3%	Below 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	10	12	83.3%	Below 90% minimum
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	9	12	75.0%	Below 80% uptake

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice carried out a monthly audit to check progress with childhood immunisations and follow-up any families with a child overdue an immunisation. We were told that the practice successfully encouraged uptake in this way although it resulted in some patients having their immunisations later than the recommended schedule. (This means that these patients are not included in the published indicators although they have received an immunisation).
- The practice had identified two main challenges to improvement. Some families in the local population spent part of the year abroad which made it difficult to stick to the UK recommended immunisation schedule in individual cases or obtain satisfactory evidence that equivalent immunisations had been obtained overseas. The practice also reported an increase in families declining immunisation altogether, that is, even after the practice provided counselling and information about the benefits of immunisation. In these cases, the practice coded the family's decision on the records system but continued to send relevant messages about immunisation.

• We looked at the practice's data in more detail. For the one-year old cohort, three children were currently listed in the practice's audit as overdue their vaccination at 8 weeks. One of these had just had their vaccination; one family had told practice staff that their child had been given the immunisation while they were abroad but had yet to provide evidence; and one family were newly registered and had not yet responded to the invitation. For the two-year old cohort, one family had refused the MMR immunisation and for the five-year old cohort, two families had refused the MMR immunisation.

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for persons aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for persons aged 50 to 64). (Snapshot date: 31/03/2022) (UK Health and Security Agency)	54.1%	N/A	80% Target	Below 70% uptake
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3-year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	31.5%	48.9%	61.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5-year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	42.9%	57.1%	66.8%	N/A

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Any additional evidence or comments

- Published data showed that the practice was scoring markedly below the national target for cervical screening coverage and below the North West London and England averages for breast and bowel cancer screening rates.
- The practice was able to provide more recent data from their Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) dashboard showing that they were on course to achieve the cervical screening target of 80% in the 50-64 age group and were currently short by seven cases to achieve the target in the 25-49 age group. The QOF data is not directly comparable with the rates published by UKHSA as it is calculated differently and usually gives a higher figure.
- The practice had contacted the national cancer screening programme seeking advice on methods to improve uptake rates. They told us that improvement remained a high practice priority and the team put considerable resource into following-up with individual patients.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice carried out quality improvement activity and reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Υ
The practice had a programme of targeted quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Υ
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Υ

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

The practice submitted evidence of their routine audits of prescribing including a three-cycle audit of disease-modifying anti-rheumatic medicines. (These medicines are usually used to treat auto-immune conditions and require ongoing monitoring). This audit showed that the practice was monitoring patients in line with national guidelines. We carried out our own search and reviewed this type of prescribing and did not identify any concerns.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment.	Υ
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Υ
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Υ
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Υ
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Υ
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Υ
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Υ

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Υ
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Υ

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial	
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Y	
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Υ	
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Υ	
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Υ	
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity.	Υ	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The practice had access to a social prescriber through the primary care network and could refer patients to them for advice about a wider range of locally available services and resources.		

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial	
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Υ	
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.	Υ	
Do Not Attempt Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (DNACPR) decisions were made in line with relevant legislation and were appropriate.	Υ	
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence		
At the time of the inspection, there were no patients with recorded DNACPR decisions on the		
electronic records system. The lead GP was able to describe the process for discussing end of life		
decisions with patients and coordinating care with other health and social care professions	als.	

Caring

Rating: Requires improvement

The practice is rated as requires improvement for providing a caring service because its results from the most recent national GP patient survey were significantly below the England average for patient experience.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Feedback from patients was mixed about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Υ
Staff displayed understanding and a non-judgmental attitude towards patients.	Υ
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Practice staff told us that the small size of the practice enabled them to get to know patients individually and provide continuity and tailored care.

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	52.1%	82.3%	84.7%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	49.2%	80.1%	83.5%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	68.7%	91.1%	93.1%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	54.4%	70.8%	72.4%	No statistical variation

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Υ

Any additional evidence

The most recent national GP patient survey showed a dip in patient experience ratings over previous years although the practice staffing and service had remained stable. The practice's own patient survey (of 50 patients) was more positive. For example:

- The percentage of respondents who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them: 90%
- The percentage of respondents who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern: 90%
- The percentage of respondents who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in their healthcare professional: 98%
- The percentage of respondents who responded positively to the overall experience of the practice: 93%

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. Feedback from patients about involvement was less positive.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Υ
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Y
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	•

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- Staff we interviewed were able to provide examples of individualised communication.
- Easy read and pictorial materials could be downloaded.

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	69.4%	87.3%	89.9%	Tending towards variation (negative)

Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice's own patient survey showed that patient involvement in decision making was the
 aspect of the service that patients rated lowest relative to other aspects of patient experience. The
 percentage of respondents who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as
 much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care: 78%
- The practice had discussed the results of the national patient survey at a patient participation group meeting and identified patient involvement as an area for improvement. We were told that the GPs were now more consciously trying to involve patients in decisions and record evidence of this interaction in the consultation notes.

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Υ
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Υ
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Υ
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Information leaflets in other languages and easy read format were available online and could be downloaded and printed in consultations.

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	23 (2%)
How the practice supported carers (including young carers).	The practice had information available for carers and contact points for further information.
How the practice supported recently bereaved patients.	The practice could provide consultations and signpost to further resources. Bereavement counselling was available in the area.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Υ
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Υ

Responsive

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Υ
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Υ
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Υ
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Υ
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Υ
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Υ

Rating: Good

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8am-6.30pm
Tuesday	8am-6.30pm
Wednesday	8am-6.30pm
Thursday	8am-6.30pm
Friday	8am-6.30pm
Appointments available:	
Monday	8.30am-6pm
Tuesday	8.30am-6pm
Wednesday	8.30am-7.15pm
Thursday	8.30am-6pm
Friday	8.30am-6pm

Further information about how the practice is responding to the needs of their population

- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- The practice could arrange transport for patients who were unable to independently travel to health appointments.
- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.
- The practice liaised regularly with the community services to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

- Additional appointments were available until 8pm on a Wednesday so patients did not need to take time out of work or school to attend.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a young child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- Pre-bookable appointments were available to patients at additional locations within the area on weekday evenings and at weekends.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were supported to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

Access to the service

People able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimize the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online) Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment (including those who might be digitally excluded). Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised	Y/N/Partial
face, telephone, online) Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment (including those who might be digitally excluded).	Υ
There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment (including those who might be digitally excluded).	Υ
access treatment (including those who might be digitally excluded).	Υ
Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised	Υ
	Υ
There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages)	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We reviewed the practice appointment system. The practice had same day appointments available and routine appointments could be booked with a GP or nurse within a week.

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	88.7%	N/A	52.7%	Significant Variation (positive)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	64.4%	58.2%	56.2%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	59.9%	58.9%	55.2%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	64.2%	68.4%	71.9%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice was open to patients who wanted to attend to book an appointment. The practice had
 increased the availability of face-to-face appointments since the COVID-19 pandemic in line with
 patient preferences.
- The practice did not currently have a health care assistant or phlebotomy service on the premises but this was available at the sister practice which was located in a neighbouring street.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	2
Number of complaints we examined.	2
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	2
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Υ
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Υ

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
Patient complained after being asked to re-do a urine sample.	The error arose because the patient was given the wrong type of sample bottle for the initial test. The practice apologised to the patient and explained the error. The practice reviewed the procedures and guidance reception used about which bottles to provide for which tests.

Well-led Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was effective leadership. Leaders could demonstrate that they had the capacity and skills to deliver high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Υ
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Υ
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Υ
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Partial

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The lead GP ran three separate practices but was visible to patients and staff at this practice, providing clinical sessions there three days a week.
- Succession planning and clinical recruitment were described as ongoing challenges. The lead GP
 told us they would like to recruit a salaried GP with a view to potentially moving into a partnership
 structure in the longer term. However, it was proving difficult to recruit in this way. The practice
 covered immediate absence through use of regular locums or by using a locum agency.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Y
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Υ
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice was in the process of going through a merger with the provider's sister practice which was located in a neighbouring street. The practice had informed and consulted with patients about the merger and the expected benefits, for example the provision of a wider range of services from one site.

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Y
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Υ
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Υ
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Υ
When people were affected by things that went wrong, they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Υ
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Υ
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Υ
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Υ

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff interviews	Staff told us they had access to training, development opportunities and effective managerial support at the practice.
	The leaders were described as approachable and responsive to ideas.
	Staff consistently told us that the practice ethos was to provide a caring service for patients including patients in more vulnerable circumstances.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Υ
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Υ
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Y
There are recovery plans in place to manage backlogs of activity and delays to treatment.	Υ

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice team included regular locum clinicians. The practice included information about roles and responsibilities in locum staff contracts. Regular locum clinicians attended clinical meetings.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Υ
There were processes to manage performance.	Υ
There was a quality improvement programme in place.	Υ
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Υ
A major incident plan was in place.	Υ
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Υ
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Y

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The practice had identified the upcoming merger with its sister practice as its most immediate risk and had put in place an action plan to manage the process and identify any issues at an early stage.
- The practice was aware that its performance in the most recent national GP patient survey had declined. It had discussed the results with the patient participation group and put in place steps to improve patient involvement in decisions during clinical consultations.

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to monitor and improve performance.	Υ
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Υ
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed.	Υ

Governance and oversight of remote services

	Y/N/Partial
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Υ
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	Υ
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Υ
Patients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	Υ
The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and managed.	Υ
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	Υ
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	Υ
Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.	Υ
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	Υ
Staff are supported to work remotely where applicable.	Υ

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners in the planning and delivery of services.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Υ
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Υ
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Υ
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Υ

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Feedback

We received positive feedback about the practice from patient representatives. The practice was described as open to patient views with recent meetings including discussion of the patient survey results and the planned merger with the sister practice.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There was evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Υ
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Υ

Examples of continuous learning and improvement

- The practice submitted evidence of regular clinical audit focusing on areas of higher risk prescribing.
- The practice had reviewed recent CQC inspection findings at its sister practice and put in place improvements across its locations where appropriate, for example, ensuring that all environmental risk assessments were up to date.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- UKHSA: UK Health and Security Agency.
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- ‰ = per thousand.