

Inspection Evidence Table

Acton Lane Medical Centre (1-6467659692)

Inspection Date: 10 January 2024

Date of data download: 19/12/2023

Overall rating: Good

We carried out a comprehensive inspection at Acton Lane Medical Centre on 18 February 2020 and we rated the service as ‘good’ for all five key questions and overall.

This assessment of the responsive key question was undertaken on 10 January 2024 as part of our work to understand how practices are working to try to meet demand and to better understand the experiences of people who use services and of providers. The results of our findings have led us to now rate the responsive key question as ‘good’. The service remains rated as ‘good’ overall.

We recognise the great and often innovative work that GP practices have been engaged in to continue to provide safe, quality care to the people they serve. We know colleagues are doing this while demand for general practice remains exceptionally high, with more appointments being provided than ever. In this challenging context, access to general practice remains a concern for people. These assessments of the responsive key question include looking at what practices are doing innovatively to improve patient access to primary care and sharing this information to drive improvement.

Responsive

Rating: Good

Responding to and meeting people’s needs
The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients’ needs.

Y/N/Partial

The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Y
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Y
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Y
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>The provider was aware of the requirements to meet the Accessible Information Standards. The patient registration form collected information about the specific needs of individual patients.</p> <p>The patient record system was used to alert staff to specific communication needs of the patient. Individual members of staff acted as 'champions' for patients with specific needs.</p>	

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8am – 6.30pm
Tuesday	8am – 6.30pm
Wednesday	8am – 6.30pm
Thursday	8am – 6.30pm
Friday	8am – 6.30pm
Appointments available:	
Monday	9am - 6pm
Tuesday	8.30am - 6pm
Wednesday	9am - 6pm
Thursday	8.30am - 6pm
Friday	9am - 6pm

Further information about how the practice is responding to the needs of their population
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived. • The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.

- In recognition of the religious and cultural observances of some patients, the GP would respond quickly, often outside of normal working hours, to provide the necessary death certification to enable prompt burial in line with families' wishes when bereavement occurred.
- There was a medicines delivery service for housebound patients.
- The practice liaised regularly with the community services to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Nurse appointments were available until 6.30pm Monday to Friday for school age children so that they did not need to miss school.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- The practice was open between 8am and 6.30pm on a Monday and Friday. Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area, as the practice was a member of a GP federation. Appointments were available between 6.30 and 8pm Monday to Friday and at weekends.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, Travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and Travellers.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.
- The practice recently held a 'Health Fair Event' organised by the Patient Participation Group (PPG). The fair focussed on raising awareness of and improving uptake in relation to cancer screening, immunisations and maintaining a healthy lifestyle. Clinicians were also available to answer patient queries.
- In response to a national outbreak of Strep A the practice prepared an information document advising patients about the disease, when to seek help and the steps which could be taken to prevent its spread.
- During the past 12 months the practice produced information posters and leaflets to raise patient awareness in respect of cervical screening and the risks of diabetes.

Access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

	Y/N/Partial
Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimise the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice.	Y
The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online).	Y
Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs.	Y

There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment (including those who might be digitally excluded).	Y
Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.	Y
There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages).	Y
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>According to the National GP Patient Survey results outlined in the table below, the practice had performed above national 'access' averages and this had been consistent over the last 5 years for all indicators. However, there had been a downward trend in satisfaction rates for the last two indicators since 2021.</p> <p>Patients could access appointments via telephone, eConsult, the practice website or in person at the practice. In addition, patients could contact the surgery at any time via the messaging system AccuRx.</p> <p>The practice had an active Patient Participation Group (PPG). Results of the National GP Patient Survey and Friends and Family Test were shared with the PPG, along with analysis of the feedback received. The PPG was involved in the preparation of action plans in response to this feedback.</p> <p>We spoke with representatives of the PPG. They told us they felt the practice listened to them and was open and honest.</p>	

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: From July 2022, CCGs have been replaced with Sub Integrated Care Board Locations (SICBL) and CCG ODS codes have been retained as part of this.

Indicator	Practice	SICBL average	England	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2023 to 30/04/2023)	88.3%	N/A	49.6%	Significant variation (positive)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2023 to 30/04/2023)	70.7%	57.4%	54.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2023 to 30/04/2023)	65.3%	57.1%	52.8%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2023 to 30/04/2023)	76.2%	69.7%	72.0%	No statistical variation

Source	Feedback
NHS.uk website (formerly NHS Choices)	Feedback via this website included three positive comments about access to appointments and customer service.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	2
Number of complaints we examined.	2
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	2
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Y
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Y

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
Complaints received were about customer service and prescribing.	All complaints were investigated, and a full response was provided to the complainants. Complaints were used for shared learning and to drive improvement.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a “z-score” (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice’s data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice’s data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands. The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices. N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren’t will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have “Met 90% minimum” have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for those aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for those aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link:

<https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- **UKHSA:** UK Health and Security Agency.
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- ‰ = per thousand.