# **Care Quality Commission**

## **Inspection Evidence Table**

## The Dorchester Road Surgery (1-553167727)

**Inspection date: 17 November 2022** 

Date of data download: 16 September 2022

## **Overall rating: Good**

The practice was previously inspected and rated good in October 2016. This inspection was a focused inspection of the safe, effective and well-led domains. The provider had maintained their good rating.

Safe Rating: Good

#### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

| Safeguarding   | Y/N/Partial |  |
|--|-------------|--|
| Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.   | Yes         |  |
| Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.  | Yes         |  |
| There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.   |             |  |
| The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.  |             |  |
| There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.  |             |  |
| Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.  |             |  |
| There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm. | Yes         |  |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We found evidence in the training files that all staff had completed appropriate safeguarding training relevant for their role.

The provider had run a search of all refugee patients registered with the surgery since Jan 2022. All notes had been reviewed to check if any safeguarding alerts for other household members were present and to check all minors were living with a family member.

| Recruitment systems   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).               | Yes         |
| Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current UK Health and Security Agency (UKHSA) guidance if relevant to role. | Yes         |

| Safety systems and records  | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.  Date of last assessment: October 2022 | Yes         |
| There was a fire procedure.   |             |
| Date of fire risk assessment: March 2022 Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.                     | Yes         |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

There was a fire action plan in place, and this was reviewed annually. Where potential risks had been identified, such as a broken fire door, the provider had booked repairs in a timely manner. Portable Appliance Testing (PAT) was completed annually.

#### Infection prevention and control

## Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

|  | Y/N/Partial |
|--|-------------|
| Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.   | Yes         |
| Infection prevention and control audits were carried out.  Date of last infection prevention and control audit: September 2022 | Yes         |
| The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.                                    |             |
| The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.   | Yes         |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The provider had a designated IPC lead who carried out regular audits and fed back any identified concerns at team meetings. IPC was a standing item on meeting agendas. Following a recent audit

changes were made to the cleaning store cupboard to ensure mops were stored in line with national standards.

On the day of inspection, we found the practice to be clean and hygienic throughout. The practice had a robust rolling programme for maintenance and decorating.

#### **Risks to patients**

There were adequate gaps in systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

|   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.  | Yes         |
| There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.   | Yes         |
| The practice was equipped to respond to medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.                   | Yes         |
| Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients. | Yes         |
| There were enough staff to provide appointments and prevent staff from working excessive hours  | Yes         |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The management team had monitored the peak periods and reviewed staff rota's to adjust staffing according to patient need.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

|   | Y/N/Partial |  |  |
|---|-------------|--|--|
| Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation. | Yes         |  |  |
| There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.                          |             |  |  |
| There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.                     |             |  |  |
| Referrals to specialist services were documented, contained the required information and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.     |             |  |  |
| There was a documented approach to the management of test results, and this was managed in a timely manner.                                     | Yes         |  |  |

| There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non- |
|---|
| clinical staff.   |

Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We saw evidence of regular audits of patient referrals to secondary care which provided assurance that referral processes were robust. Doctors are informed of any patients that failed to attend an appointment so they can be followed up.

The practice had developed and adopted a procedure for the management of incoming pathology results. Test results were checked daily and there was a system for cover to ensure all results are reviewed and actioned in a timely way. All urgent results phoned through from the hospital we actioned on the same day.

#### Appropriate and safe use of medicines

# The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

| be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.   |          |                |                    |                          |
|--|----------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Indicator  | Practice | CCG<br>average | England<br>average | England<br>comparison    |
| Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)   | 0.80     | 0.82           | 0.82               | No statistical variation |
| The number of prescription items for co-<br>amoxiclav, cephalosporins and<br>quinolones as a percentage of the total<br>number of prescription items for selected<br>antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set).<br>(01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)                       | 6.8%     | 8.9%           | 8.5%               | No statistical variation |
| Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA) | 4.15     | 5.44           | 5.31               | Variation (positive)     |
| Total items prescribed of Pregabalin or Gabapentin per 1,000 patients (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)  | 104.5‰   | 102.2‰         | 128.0‰             | No statistical variation |
| Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/07/2021 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA)   |          | 0.58           | 0.59               | No statistical variation |

| Indicator  | Practice | CCG<br>average | England<br>average | England comparison       |
|--|----------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Number of unique patients prescribed multiple psychotropics per 1,000 patients (01/01/2022 to 30/06/2022) (NHSBSA) |          | 7.2‰           | 6.8‰               | No statistical variation |

Note: ‰ means per 1,000 and it is **not** a percentage.

| Medicines management   | Y/N/Partial |  |  |
|--|-------------|--|--|
| The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.   | Yes         |  |  |
| Blank prescriptions were kept securely, and their use monitored in line with national guidance.  | Yes         |  |  |
| Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).  | Yes         |  |  |
| The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.   | Yes         |  |  |
| There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.  | Yes         |  |  |
| The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.  | Yes         |  |  |
| There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing. <sup>2</sup>     |             |  |  |
| The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).  | Yes         |  |  |
| There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.  | Yes         |  |  |
| If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance. |             |  |  |
| The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimize patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.  | Yes         |  |  |
| For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.  | Yes         |  |  |
| The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.  | Yes         |  |  |
| There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.   | Yes         |  |  |
| Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with UKHSA guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.  | Yes         |  |  |
| Explanation of any answers and additional evidence, including from clinical searches.  |             |  |  |

#### Medicines management

Y/N/Partial

- Non-medical prescribers and nurses had ongoing daily support from GPs. We saw that there was a process in place for assuring the prescribing competencies of non-medical prescribers.
- As part of our clinical searches we reviewed five patients records which demonstrated that
  medicine reviews were completed appropriately. The records demonstrated clear documentation
  of discussion of prescribing and review of ongoing need for medications.
- Our searches showed that all patients prescribed high risk medications such as methotrexate (a
  medication to treat arthritis) has undergone relevant monitoring within the recommended time
  frames. Out of six patients prescribed amiodarone (a drug used to treat heart arrythmias) one
  patient has not had a thyroid blood test as part of their routine blood tests. However, we saw
  evidence that the practice had invited the patient to attend.

#### Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

| Significant events  |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.     | Yes |  |
| Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.           | Yes |  |
| There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.                          |     |  |
| Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally. |     |  |
| There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.                            |     |  |
| Number of events recorded in last 12 months:  |     |  |
| Number of events that required action:  | 5   |  |

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

| Event  | Specific action taken  |
|--|--|
| However, a review of the notes was not carried out. A review of the notes would have identified that a change of | Duty of candour carried out, by notifying the patient of the mistake. An assessment of any potential harm was carried out. Review of medication change processes completed. Learning was shared with the clinical team and a reminder of the importance of checking notes carefully. |

A clinician visited a patient and recorded

low oxygen saturations of 87% previously Urgent tasks must be marked as urgent and the clinician recorded at 93%. A task sent to a GP to should be contacted to ensure they have received the urgent inform of low reading but not marked as task.

urgent. Task not seen until after surgery Training needed on when to flag up abnormal parameters. hours. Clinician unable to contact patient Information and learning was shared with the whole practice as it was outside of the practice coreteam.

hours the OOH team were contacted to

visit the patient to recheck observations.

| Safety alerts   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts. | Yes         |
| Staff understood how to deal with alerts.                     | Yes         |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We saw examples of actions taken on recent alerts, for example, clopidogrel (a medication used to prevent blood clots forming) and omeprazole (a medication used to treat and or prevent excessive stomach acid and gastric ulceration), out of five records we looked at two patients had not had discussion and alternative medication prescribed. However, both patients had a review appointment scheduled.

## **Effective**

## **Rating: Good**

QOF requirements were modified by NHS England and Improvement for 2020/21 to recognise the need to reprioritise aspects of care which were not directly related to COVID-19. This meant that QOF payments were calculated differently. For inspections carried out from 1 October 2021, our reports will not include QOF indicators. In determining judgements in relation to effective care, we have considered other evidence as set out below.

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

|  | Y/N/Partial |
|--|-------------|
| The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.                             | Yes         |
| Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing. | Yes         |
| Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way. <sup>2</sup>  | Yes         |
| We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.   | Yes         |
| Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.³   | Yes         |
| There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.   | Yes         |
| Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.                               | Yes         |
| The practice prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients.   | Yes         |

### Effective care for the practice population

#### **Findings**

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

#### Management of people with long term conditions

#### **Findings**

Our clinical searches identified that 38 patients prescribed methotrexate (a medicine to treat conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis and immunosuppressant diseases) had all undergone appropriate monitoring in line with national guidance.

Out of six patients taking amiodarone (a medicine used to treat abnormal heart rhythms) one patient had not had

The clinical searches showed that all patients prescribed lithium (a medication to treat depression) had undergone appropriate monitoring.

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health
  and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked
  with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

| Child Immunisation   | Numerator | Denominator | Practice<br>% | Comparison<br>to WHO<br>target of 95% |
|--|-----------|-------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement) | 48        | 50          | 96.0%         | Met 95% WHO<br>based target           |
| The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster)   | 39        | 41          | 95.1%         | Met 95% WHO<br>based target           |

| $(01/04/2020\ to\ 31/03/2021)$ (NHS England and   |    |    |       |                             |
|---|----|----|-------|-----------------------------|
| Improvement)  |    |    |       |                             |
| The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement) | 39 | 41 | 95.1% | Met 95% WHO<br>based target |
| The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)  | 39 | 41 | 95.1% | Met 95% WHO<br>based target |
| The percentage of children aged 5 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (two doses of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)   | 54 | 58 | 93.1% | Met 90% minimum             |

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

| Cancer Indicators  | Practice | CCG<br>average | England average | England<br>comparison    |
|--|----------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for persons aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for persons aged 50 to 64). (Snapshot date: 31/03/2022) (UK Health and Security Agency) | 79.0%    | N/A            | 80% Target      | Below 80%<br>target      |
| Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)   | 71.8%    | 61.5%          | 61.3%           | N/A                      |
| Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)  | 76.9%    | 72.3%          | 66.8%           | N/A                      |
| Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)  | 66.7%    | 58.5%          | 55.4%           | No statistical variation |

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

#### Any additional evidence or comments

Whilst the practice data was below the national target for cervical screening, we found the practice had discussed ways to improve uptake. A nurse had recently completed training and the practice followed up patients who failed to attend appointments or did not respond to invitations.

Following the inspection, the practice provided us with unverified data for the current year (2022) that showed that they had achieved 81% for tests to patients aged 50 to 64, and 85% for tests for patients aged 25 to 49.

#### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

|  | Y/N/Partial |
|--|-------------|
| Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.  | Yes         |
| The practice had a programme of targeted quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements. | Yes         |
| The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.                               | Yes         |

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

The practice had a comprehensive audit programme to monitor and influence patient care. A recent audit looked at improving the management of patients diagnosed with high cholesterol (cholesterol is a fatty substance found in the blood too much cholesterol in the blood can cause heart disease). The audit identified five patients with a cholesterol reading greater than 9 mmol/L, all patients were invited in for a blood test and consultation. Four patients attended for repeat screening. Patients were reviewed and either offered treatment or if the results indicated referral to a hospital specialist clinic.

#### **Effective staffing**

The practice was able demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

|  | Y/N/Partial |
|--|-------------|
| Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment.   | Yes         |
| The practice had a programme of learning and development.  | Yes         |
| Staff had protected time for learning and development.   | Yes         |
| There was an induction programme for new staff.  | Yes         |
| Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation. | Yes         |
| The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.         | Yes         |

| opriate approach for supporting and managing staff when revariable. |
|---|
|---|

#### **Coordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

| Indicator   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved. | Yes         |
| Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centered care when they moved between services.                   | Yes         |

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

|   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers. | Yes         |
| Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.   | Yes         |
| Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.   | Yes         |
| Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.   | Yes         |
| The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity.  | Yes         |

#### Any additional evidence or comments

The provider had identified 172 veterans registered with the practice following message communication using a practice direct texting communication platform. All those identified were provided with details of the local veteran's hub which offers a drop-in service and support.

We saw that patients who had a new diagnosis of cancer were sent information about the local cancer support services.

The Practice send handwritten bereavement cards which include details of local bereavement services. The practice held multi-disciplinary meetings attended by both internal and external staff to ensure discussion and planning regarding patient care pathways. This meant patients received appropriate care and treatment.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

|  | Y/N/Partial |
|--|-------------|
| Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented. | Yes         |
| Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.       | Yes         |
| Do Not Attempt Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (DNACPR) decisions were made in line with relevant legislation and were appropriate.                   | Yes         |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence.

Our clinical review of notes where a DNACPR decision had been recorded, had identified that patients' views had been sought and respected, we saw that information had been shared with relevant agencies.

# Responsive

# **Not inspected**

At the last inspection in October 2016 we rated the provider as outstanding for responsive. This rating was carried forward from the last inspection.

#### Access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

|  | Y/N/Partial |
|--|-------------|
| Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimize the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice   | Yes         |
| The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online)                                       | Yes         |
| Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs   | Yes         |
| There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment (including those who might be digitally excluded). | Yes         |
| Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised   | Yes         |
| There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages)       | Yes         |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice continued to offer face to face appointments throughout the covid-19 pandemic.

The practice offers telephone or face to face appointments for both on the day and routine appointments.

Well-led Rating: Good

#### Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

|   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.   | Yes         |
| They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.  | Yes         |
| Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.  | Yes         |
| There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.  | Yes         |
| Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: Staff told us the management team were approachable and operated an open-door policy. |             |

#### Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

|   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners. | Yes         |
| Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.                 | Yes         |
| Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.  | Yes         |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Staff we spoke with were knowledgeable about the vision and values of the practice. They felt part of a team who were driving through improvements to the delivery of care to patients. Staff had been invited to comment on the strategy of the practice through their team leaders or by raising comments to the partners directly.

#### Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

|   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.                           | Yes         |
| Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.                                     | Yes         |
| There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.  | Yes         |
| There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.                                 | Yes         |
| When people were affected by things that went wrong, they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action. | Yes         |
| The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.  | Yes         |
| The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.  | Yes         |
| Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.   | Yes         |
| Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:   | 1           |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Staff told us management was approachable and available throughout the working day. Staff felt supported to raise concerns and learning from incidents was supportive and shared with the whole team. All staff we spoke with were positive about the culture and working environment within the practice.

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

| Source             | Feedback   |  |
|--------------------|--|--|
| CQC Staff          | Staff told us they enjoyed working at the practice and felt supported in their roles |  |
| questionnaires and | and able to develop their roles further. Teamwork was held in high regard            |  |
| staff interviews   | throughout the team structure. Staff felt able to raise concerns with management     |  |
|                    | and felt listened to and supported in bringing about changes to improve services     |  |
|                    | for patients and ways of working for staff.  |  |

#### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

|   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.               | Yes         |
| Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.                                  | Yes         |
| There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.                        | Yes         |
| There are recovery plans in place to manage backlogs of activity and delays to treatment. | Yes         |

#### Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

|  | Y/N/Partial |
|--|-------------|
| There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.                   | Yes         |
| There were processes to manage performance.  | Yes         |
| There was a quality improvement programme in place.  | Yes         |
| There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.                        | Yes         |
| A major incident plan was in place.  | Yes         |
| Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.   | Yes         |
| When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed. | Yes         |

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

There was a quality improvement plan in place which had been reviewed and developed. The practice had implemented a system of running searches regularly to identify any patients who required monitoring procedures. This promoted the safety and quality of the service provided to them. The practice carried out audits of areas of care and treatment and identified and actioned recommendations highlighted by the audits.

Risk assessments were carried out regularly such as environmental risk assessments. Identified risks were included into the practice risk register which was reviewed and updated regularly at Practice meetings.

The Provider promoted a green practice and had signed up to the Green GP scheme (looking at making the NHS greener and working towards a net zero health service) They had provided an e-scooter and bicycle for staff use for home visits. Introduced recycling bins in all clinical and non-clinical room. Fitted solar panels. Through audit identified patients who were prescribed aerosol inhalers to treat respiratory conditions such as asthma and where possible changed to dry powder inhalers which deliver the same medicine but have less environmental impact.

#### Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

|   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| Staff used data to monitor and improve performance.   | Yes         |
| Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.                           | Yes         |
| Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed. | Yes         |

Governance and oversight of remote services

|   | Y/N/Partial |
|---|-------------|
| The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards. | Yes         |
| The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.                                      | Yes         |
| Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.   | Yes         |
| Patients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.  | Yes         |
| The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and managed.  | Yes         |
| Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.                               | Yes         |
| The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.              | Yes         |
| Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.  | Yes         |
| The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.   | Yes         |
| Staff are supported to work remotely where applicable.  | Yes         |

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

|  | Y/N/Partial |
|--|-------------|
| Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.   | Yes         |
| The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.  | Yes         |
| Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.   | Yes         |
| The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population. | Yes         |

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

#### Feedback

The PPG has over 100 members, not all attend meetings but minutes are shared with all members. The PPG told us that the practice is supportive and works with them to find solutions to improving the patient experience. A patient survey identified challenges for patients with the online booking systems. The practice and PPG worked to support digital champions to provide guidance for patients to help them access digital services.

#### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

|  | Y/N/Partial |
|--|-------------|
| There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement. | Yes         |
| Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.   | Yes         |

#### **Examples of continuous learning and improvement**

Staff were supported to undertake training specific to their role. The practice promoted education and development. Staff told us their training was discussed as part of their annual appraisal.

The staff reflected on learning from events and patient feedback and used this to support changes and improve services. We saw examples of this where patient feedback had been used to support the reintroduction of ear irrigation (a treatment to clear ear wax when other treatments such have not been effective) at the practice.

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

| Variation Bands                      | Z-score threshold |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Significant variation (positive)     | ≤-3               |
| Variation (positive)                 | >-3 and ≤-2       |
| Tending towards variation (positive) | >-2 and ≤-1.5     |
| No statistical variation             | <1.5 and >-1.5    |
| Tending towards variation (negative) | ≥1.5 and <2       |
| Variation (negative)                 | ≥2 and <3         |
| Significant variation (negative)     | ≥3                |

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: <a href="https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices">https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices</a>

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

#### Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD**: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- UKHSA: UK Health and Security Agency.
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- % = per thousand.