# **Care Quality Commission**



### **Inspection Evidence Table**

**Oddfellows Hall Health Centre** 

(1-5139224130)

Inspection Date: 27 November 2023

Date of data download: 27/11/2023

## Responsive

### **Rating: Requires improvement**

At the last inspection in June 2019, the Responsive key question was rated good.

We recognise the pressure that practices are currently working under and the efforts staff are making to maintain levels of access for their patients. At the same time, our strategy makes a commitment to deliver regulation driven by people's needs and experiences of care. Although we saw the practice was attempting to improve access, this was not yet reflected in the GP patient survey data or other sources of patient feedback. Therefore, the rating is requires improvement, as ratings depend on evidence of impact and must reflect the lived experience that people were reporting at the time of inspection.

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs Services did not always meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Y
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Y
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Y
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Y
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Y
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Y

- The practice delivered care to 11,858 registered patients and identified 3.6% of patients who were in a core 20 group of deprived population that included high tobacco use, high blood pressure, and dietary risk factors. In response, the provider worked with their clinicians and clinical pharmacists to provide clinics for patients to provide monitoring and health education to ensure they could respond to their needs.
- For patients who found it hard to access services due to language difficulties, the practice used a language line and had a diverse staff population who could support translation for patients.

• Patients were given the opportunity to use simplified electronic software to use an easier platform for accessing the practice.

Practice Opening Times		
Day	Time	
Opening times:		
Monday	8 am – 6.30 pm	
Tuesday	8 am – 6.30 pm	
Wednesday	8 am – 6.30 pm	
Thursday	8 am – 6.30 pm	
Friday	8 am – 6.30 pm	

Extended Access:

- Enhanced Access appointments were introduced in October 2022 providing registered patients access to appointments from Monday to Friday 6:30pm to 8 pm and on a Saturday from 9 am 5 pm.
- Early morning appointments were available between 7 am 8 am Monday to Friday offering phlebotomy, NHS Health Checks, Smears and Immunisations. These were introduced to ensure access to services for working age people reflecting the demographic and responding to feedback.
- The practice was part of the Grays primary care network which had a total of 5 local GP practices delivering extended access to around 73,000 combined.
- The surgery was closed on weekends and patients could contact NHS 111 for access to appointments.

#### Further information about how the practice is responding to the needs of their population

- Patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived. The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- The practice liaised regularly with the community services to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same-day appointment as part of an acute child clinic held daily.
- The practice was open until 8 pm on a Monday to Friday and Saturday 9 am to 5 pm. Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area, as the practice was a member of a GP federation.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, Travellers, and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and Travellers.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability. This included a video sent to patients explaining the procedure and rationale for cervical screening to alleviate fears of appointments.
- The practice had recognised and audited barriers to access cervical smears and childhood immunisations. They had introduced online appointment bookings for patients and offered out-of-core practice hours appointments with the local extended access services. The provider also recognised

deprivation and cultural barriers were also potential barriers and employed champions to help promote the uptake and audited monthly to monitor any further barriers to booking these appointments.

- The practice took proactive steps to speak to patients whilst they were at the practice to discuss access concerns to improve service delivery.
- Monthly feedback was audited and discussed at practice meetings for changes to be implemented.

#### Access to the service

#### People were not always able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

	Y/N/Partial
Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimise the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice.	Partial
The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online).	Yes
Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs.	Partial
There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment (including those who might be digitally excluded).	Yes
Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.	
There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages).	Yes
<ul> <li>Double appointments and longer appointments were offered for patients with complex here.</li> <li>The practice provided access to a range of multi modal appointments throughout core here patients can access services at their preferred location.</li> <li>There was a specialist clinical care home team that oversaw 2 learning disability homes the practice.</li> </ul>	ours to ensure affiliated with
<ul> <li>There was a paramedic home visiting service that was available upon request for house</li> <li>The provider recognised the poor feedback within the July 2023 national GP survey and measures to increase access and patient satisfaction as there had been a gradual and s of 20% in patient satisfaction since 2019.</li> </ul>	had taken teady decrease
<ul> <li>Additional mental health appointments for working patients were available outside core h</li> <li>Patients were offered self-booking appointments to allow greater flexibility.</li> <li>The practice monitored telephone access during busier periods and would increase staff</li> </ul>	

- The practice monitored telephone access during busier periods and would increase staffing levels to
  ensure wait times on hold were reduced. The GP survey data trend showed since 2019, there was a
  39% decrease in patient satisfaction on accessing the practice via the telephone and a 15% decrease in
  2023.
- An e-hub was introduced in June 2023 to triage patients more effectively and this comprised of 1 GP, 1 physician associate, 1 complex care nurse and 2 care navigators. This had been introduced following negative feedback in the national GP patient survey to long wait times to see a clinician.
- A simplified online e-consult lite was implemented to support patients with an easier touch screen digital
  platform for patients to use to contact the practice. Patient feedback was still mixed, however, the
  provider had seen an increase in positive data coming through monthly from the friends and family test.
  The practice ran the same national GP survey data questions in November 2023 and found 97% of
  respondents were happy with access to the practice.
- Staff training was increased and incorporated communication training.
- Clearer and more concise information was implemented for patients to have clearer instructions on how to book appointments online.

- The practice website was updated with more patient information on accessibility and also provided online videos for patients to utilise for information on using services, such as the call-back telephone function.
- Feedback from patients was collated more frequently, for example, after each appointment. Monthly analysis was gained to further improve access.
- Same-day access was implemented and at the time of our inspection, was averaging 38% of daily appointments.
- Patients were given self-book options to choose their own appointments for clinics such as vaccinations
  or screening.
- Phlebotomy appointments were available Monday to Friday 7 am to 8 am for working patients.
- Modes of appointments were revised to support the younger population and 8% of patients were being seen with a 7 day period. For example, more telephone and video consultations were a preferred option for working-age patients.
- Vulnerable complex patients were given more reasonable adjustments and longer appointments to reduce multiple appointments at the practice.
- Segmentation of patient needs allowed patients to feel listened to and understood more. Patients were more involved in personalised care planning and current patient feedback of being listened too was showing positive at 92%.
- The practice told us that appointment data for a period of 8 weeks leading to the inspection, verified by the NHS, indicated that patients were receiving timely access to appointments as a result of the changes they had made. However, this was yet to impact on satisfaction data in the National GP Patient Survey.
- We acknowledge that the practice has reviewed the results of the National GP Patient Survey and has made significant efforts to improve patient satisfaction data. They have an action plan with a number of initiatives to ensure patients receive timely access to the practice by phone and appointments.

#### National GP Patient Survey results

Note: From July 2022, CCGs have been replaced with Sub Integrated Care Board Locations (SICBL) and CCG ODS codes have been retained as part of this.

Indicator	Practice	SICBL average	England	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2023 to 30/04/2023)	26.1%	N/A	49.6%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2023 to 30/04/2023)	27.7%	43.4%	54.4%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2023 to 30/04/2023)	33.2%	43.3%	52.8%	Tending towards variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the appointment (or	56.1%	64.0%	72.0%	Tending towards

#### Any additional evidence or comments

- The practice had set up a dedicated telephone repeat voice ordering repeat medicines system available to patients 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. These indicators had a 94% positive practice patient survey response in being able to make appointments, including screening and immunisations.
- There were 26.1% of patients who were dissatisfied with the time taken to speak on the telephone to the practice. Since 2018, the practice had negative patient data responses and further declined on a downward trend with a drop of 21% to 23% below nationally expected averages.
- Patient experiences of making an appointment showed a downward negative trend since 2021 with a 16% drop below the local average and a 20% to 27% drop below national expected standards.
- Patient appointment time satisfaction showed between 2018 to 2021, GP patient survey responses had been below local and national averages. In 2022, there was an increase in positive responses; however, in 2023, negative responses demonstrated a 14% drop between local and national averages for appointment time satisfaction.
- We saw a steady decline between 2021 and 2023 in patients not satisfied with appointments offered.
- In response to the negative patient data, the provider had begun monthly patient feedback audits to
  improve access. This included new telephone systems to monitor incoming calls to allocate staffing
  effectively, had begun to offer flexible screening and immunisations, as well as placing themed clinics,
  and had promoted patient health awareness to gain an understanding of the modes of appointments
  that patients would find satisfactory

Source	Feedback
NHS Choices)	We saw 22 mixed responses, 14 positive responses towards access, and 8 negative responses, mostly about poor telephone access. The provider had responded to patient comments.

#### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	58
Number of complaints we examined.	2
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Yes
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Yes

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint	Specific action taken
from the practice	The practice completed a full investigation, apologised to the patient, completed a significant event analysis, and implemented communication training across the whole College Health Group as a learning and development opportunity.

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands. The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold	
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3	
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2	
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5	
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5	
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2	
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3	
Significant variation (negative)	≥3	

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for those aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for those aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link:

https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

#### Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD**: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- **UKHSA**: UK Health and Security Agency.
- **QOF**: Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- **STAR-PU**: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- ‰ = per thousand.