Care Quality Commission

Inspection Evidence Table

Dickens Place Surgery (1-11022609926)

Inspection date: 27 September 2022

Date of data download: 25 August 2022

Overall rating: Good

Safe Rating: Good

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Yes
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Yes
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Yes
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

Staff we spoke with told us there was no formal safeguarding registers used. Since the inspection, the practice had carried out a safeguarding audit and established safeguarding registers to ensure vulnerable patients were highlighted and appropriately monitored. Safeguarding alerts are highlighted on patient records as well as sibling records for children. The practice used universal coding to ensure other services can transfer safeguarding information if required.

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency	Partial*

staff and locums).	
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current UK Health and Security Agency (UKHSA) guidance if relevant to role.	Partial*

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

We reviewed a number of staff files for clinical and non-clinical members of staff. We found that clinical staff members had records of vaccinations noted in their files however non-clinical members had not. Staff told us that they had requested all non-clinical members of staff to obtain their vaccination status from their GPs prior to the inspection and were waiting for the confirmations. Since the inspection, the practice had provided evidence of these checks.

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken.	Yes
Date of last assessment:	16/09/22
There was a fire procedure.	Yes
Date of fire risk assessment:	Yes
Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	September 2022

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had completed a range of environmental risk assessments within the last year including Legionella, portable appliance testing and calibration testing for medical equipment.

Infection prevention and control

Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Partial*
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out. Date of last infection prevention and control audit:	Yes 16/09/2022
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Yes
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had acted on areas of risk highlighted from the infection control audit. For example, the carpets in the clinical room was due to be changed in October 2022.

The practice had reviewed their control substances that are hazardous to health and completed a risk assessment to ensure the safety of their staff and environment.

We found that some staff had not completed mandatory infection prevention and control training. Since the inspection, the practice had given staff time to complete the training.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Yes
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Yes
The practice was equipped to respond to medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Yes
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Yes
There were enough staff to provide appointments and prevent staff from working excessive hours	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Yes
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Yes
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Yes
Referrals to specialist services were documented, contained the required information and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Yes
There was a documented approach to the management of test results and this was managed in a timely manner.	Yes
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	0.59	0.87	0.79	No statistical variation
The number of prescription items for co- amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)	8.5%	9.9%	8.8%	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/10/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)	8.70	5.64	5.29	Significant Variation (negative)
Total items prescribed of Pregabalin or Gabapentin per 1,000 patients (01/10/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)	122.6‰	140.0‰	128.2‰	No statistical variation
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/04/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)	0.94	0.77	0.60	No statistical variation
Number of unique patients prescribed multiple psychotropics per 1,000 patients (01/10/2021 to 31/03/2022) (NHSBSA)	9.7‰	8.3‰	6.8‰	No statistical variation

Note: ‰ means *per 1,000* and it is **not** a percentage.

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Yes
Blank prescriptions were kept securely, and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Yes
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Yes
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical	NA

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
supervision or peer review.	
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Yes
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Yes
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing. ²	Yes
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Yes
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England and Improvement Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Yes
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	NA
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Yes
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Yes
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Yes*
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Yes
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with UKHSA guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Partial**

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence, including from clinical searches.

The practice was aware of their prescribing of Nitrofurantoin, Pivmecillinam and Trimethoprim tablets for uncomplicated urinary tract infection and had completed an Audit in August 2022, changes were made and a repeat audit was scheduled for 6 months to review outcomes.

*We reviewed the emergency medicines kept onsite and found them to be organised and accessible in the event of an emergency. The practice stocked most of the recommended emergency medicines with the exception of Diclofenac used to treat analgesia. Staff told us they felt they would not need the medicine during an emergency however the practice had not completed a risk assessment. Since the inspection, the practice had reviewed the risk and completed a formal risk assessment.

**We reviewed the cold chain procedures the practice had in place, both fridges had been monitored however we found there were inconsistencies with the checks. For example, there were a number of occasions where the maximum temperature of the fridge had exceeded the recommended 8 degrees. Staff told us they had reviewed the digital temperature log and found that the increased temperatures had been a result of restocking the fridge. The documentation of this had only been noted once. Although there was a cold chain policy, this was not specific to the practice. Since the inspection, the

Medicines management

Y/N/Partial

practice had updated their cold chain policy and implemented a system to ensure a consistent approach to completing the checks and documenting them appropriately.

Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong/did not have a system to learn and make improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Yes
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Yes
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Yes
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Yes
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Yes
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	7
Number of events that required action:	7
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Example(s) of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
Patient lost consciousness and CPF was given at practice	Staff reacted quickly to the emergency. On reflection staff had decided to implement changes to ensure more efficiency during an emergency. For example, they had placed a pulse oximeter on the trolley. They had also reflected, clinicians needed to take turns while carrying out CPR in the future and non-clinicians needed to clear the waiting room for privacy.
Security of building	Staff were able to refer to the business continuity plan and carry out the appropriate actions to ensure staff and patient safety. New actions and equipment implemented to ensure safety for all.

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Yes
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Yes

Explanation of any answers ar	nd additional evidence	9:	

Effective

Rating: Good

QOF requirements were modified by NHS England and Improvement for 2020/21 to recognise the need to reprioritise aspects of care which were not directly related to COVID-19. This meant that QOF payments were calculated differently. For inspections carried out from 1 October 2021, our reports will not include QOF indicators. In determining judgements in relation to effective care, we have considered other evidence as set out below.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Patients' needs were, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Yes
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Yes
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way. ²	Yes
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Yes
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.³	Yes
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Yes
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Yes
The practice had prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients during the pandemic	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	
Social prescriber used to signpost patients, appointed mental health nurse	

Effective care for the practice population

Findings

- The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.
- Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.

- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

Management of people with long term conditions

Findings

- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health
 and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked
 with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for patients with long-term conditions.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e.	42	43	97.7%	Met 95% WHO based target

three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)				
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	46	48	95.8%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	46	48	95.8%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	47	48	97.9%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 5 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (two doses of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England and Improvement)	52	57	91.2%	Met 90% minimum

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices

Any additional evidence or comments

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for persons aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for persons aged 50 to 64). (Snapshot date: 31/03/2022) (UK Health and Security Agency)	71.0%	N/A	80% Target	Below 80% target
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	77.8%	61.4%	61.3%	N/A

Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	68.7%	69.5%	66.8%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (UKHSA)	70.6%	60.0%	55.4%	No statistical variation

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice had discussed their uptake for national screening during clinical meetings and had contacted local beauty salons, gyms and cafes to target the working age population to encourage them to have their screening done. Unverified data, from April 2021 to September 2022, showed the practice had achieved a 75% uptake of cervical screening for patients aged 25 to 49 years.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Yes
The practice had a programme of targeted quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Yes
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Yes

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

Through the clinical meetings the practice highlighted areas where audits could be undertaken. The practice had carried out a clinical audit to review patients being given intramuscular B12 injections, their audit was developed in line with national guidance. The results of the audit showed an improvement in the number of patients that had changed to vitamin B12 supplements.

The practice had also completed a safeguarding audit where they had implemented changes following the results. For example, implementing safeguarding registers, ensuring staff had appropriate training, implementing a regular audit cycle.

Effective staffing

The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment.	Yes
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Yes
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Partial*
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Yes
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Yes
The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in	Yes

advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

*The practice had a time to learning session every month to completed outstanding training. We reviewed staff training and found that there was a number of mandatory training that had not been completed by staff. Leaders were unable to review when training had last been completed. Since the inspection, the practice had contacted their e-learning provider to review their system, had implemented changes to ensure they were aware of when training was overdue and had developed a training policy to outline mandatory training. Staff had been given time in the days following the inspection to complete outstanding training.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Yes
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	•

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Yes
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Yes
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Yes
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Yes
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Any additional evidence or comments

Consent to care and treatment

The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

Y/N/Partial
Yes
Yes
Yes

Caring

Rating: Good

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Yes
Staff displayed understanding and a non-judgemental attitude towards patients.	Yes
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Patient feedback	
Source	Feedback
	Patients spoke positively regarding the care that they had received from non- clinical and clinical members of staff.

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	72.8%	83.7%	84.7%	Tending towards variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	74.1%	80.9%	83.5%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	85.9%	93.1%	93.1%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	40.9%	69.4%	72.4%	Variation (negative)

Any additional evidence or comments

The practice had monitored their verbal and written feedback and found there had been no complaints regarding clinicians listening to patients.

The practice reviewed a mixture of inhouse and online feedback and discussed trends during their practice meetings. As a result, they had decided to display a 'praise tree', drawn by local school children, in their waiting area for staff and public to see positive comments the practice had received.

Question	Y/N
The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Yes

Any additional evidence

The practice routinely monitored family and friends test results and implemented actions when they identified a theme of complaints.

The practice had developed their own internal survey aligned with the questions from the national

patient survey and was due to send the questionnaire out to all patients in October 2022. The results would be collected and reviewed at the end of October 2022. Staff told us the results would be analysed and discussed at the next team meeting and any changes identified would be implemented.

As a result of the national patient survey, the practice had decided to take part in an ICB scheme which involved employing an external company to carry out a 24-week program, leaders from the practice met and discussed the efficiency of the practice. The aim of the improvement program was to ensure patients are signposted to a relevant clinician at the beginning of their care.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Yes
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Source	Feedback
Interviews with patients.	At the time of the onsite inspection there was no patients available to speak with.

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	83.3%	90.1%	89.9%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Yes
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Yes
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Yes
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	The practice had identified 102 carers which amounted to 2% of the practice list size. Carers were identified with the help of their social prescriber during new cancer diagnosis reviews and learning disability checks.
How the practice supported carers (including young carers).	The practice had a dedicated carers champion however from October 2022 the primary care network (PCN) social prescriber would undertake this role. Carers are signposted to supportive services such as Carers UK, Age UK and Carers Trust. They are also sign posted to a local supportive service, action for family carers. Carers are offered vaccinations and health reviews.
How the practice supported recently bereaved patients.	The practice had introduced a bereavement card service where the named or most frequent GP sends a handwritten condolence card to the relatives. The practice also signposts relatives to the social prescriber for support.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Yes
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Responsive

Rating: Good

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Yes
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Yes
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Yes
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Yes
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Yes
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Yes
	•

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice provides patients with a hearing loop, translate service and patient leaflets which was available in digital copy and larger print.

Practice Opening Times				
Day	Time			
Opening times:				
Monday	8am - 6.30pm			
Tuesday	8am - 6.30pm			
Wednesday	8am – 7.30pm			
Thursday	7am – 6.30pm			
Friday	8am – 6.30pm			
Appointments available:	I			
Monday	8am – 6pm			
Tuesday	8am – 6pm			
Wednesday	8am - 7.15pm			
Thursday	7am – 6pm			
Friday	8am – 6pm			

Further information about how the practice is responding to the needs of their population

- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues. The primary care network (PCN) paramedic supports the practice with home visits.
- In recognition of the religious and cultural observances of some patients, the GP would respond
 quickly, often outside of normal working hours, to provide the necessary death certification to
 enable prompt burial in line with families' wishes when bereavement occurred.
- The practice liaised regularly with the community services to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- Additional GP appointments were available early morning and evenings for school age children so that they did not need to miss school.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- Pre-bookable appointments were also available to all patients at additional locations within the area. These appointments could be booked with a range of clinicians.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and travellers.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability. Patients are called the day before their appointment and asked if there were any considerations that could be made to make the appointment easier for them. The practice provided an example of this. The practice also adapts their methods of review. For example, part of the review is carried out over the phone to reduce the time in the practice for patients that are anxious about attending.

Access to the service

People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected access to GP practices and presented many challenges. In order to keep both patients and staff safe early in the pandemic practices were asked by NHS England and Improvement to assess patients remotely (for example by telephone or video consultation) when contacting the practice and to only see patients in the practice when deemed to be clinically appropriate to do so. Following the changes in national guidance during the summer of 2021 there has been a more flexible approach to patients interacting with their practice. During the pandemic there was a significant increase in telephone and online consultations compared to patients being predominantly seen in a face to face setting.

	Y/N/Partial
Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimize the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice	Yes
The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online)	Yes
Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs	Yes
There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment	Yes
Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised	Yes
There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages)	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

- The practice offered a range of appointment methods to suit all population groups. For example, face to face and telephone consultations.
- Early morning and late evening appointments was available with a range of clinicians to accommodate for the practices working age and younger population.
- Patients were able to pre-book appointments through the practice for weekend out of hours appointments.

National GP Patient Survey results

Note: CCGs were replaced by integrated care systems in July 2022. The CCG averages will continue to be used until CQC's internal systems are updated and data for 2022/23 is released.

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	31.1%	N/A	52.7%	Variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	35.4%	50.5%	56.2%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	35.1%	50.1%	55.2%	Tending towards variation (negative)
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2022 to 30/04/2022)	63.5%	70.7%	71.9%	No statistical variation

Any additional evidence or comments

In October 2021, as a result of the national GP patient survey, the practice changed their telephone system to a cloud-based telephone system which reduced the waiting times and allowed patients to request a call back if they were placed in a queue. Staff could monitor and address specific time related issues. Patients commented they liked the ring back system.

The practice had found patients were unhappy with not being given an allotted time for call backs therefore they had changed the appointment system to dedicate time to telephone calls, this enabled them to schedule calls during specific times which could then be communicated to the patients.

Themes are identified for the negative comments, which were discussed in practice meetings. The practice had shared an example of where they had implemented change as a result of feedback. For example, they had changed to a cloud-based telephone system.

The practice had developed a new survey aligned with the national patient survey which was due to be sent out to all patients. The practice told us they would analyse the results and discuss and changes in the next practice meeting.

Source	Feedback
	Online reviews praised doctors care and treatment.
Choices	The practice had received one negative comment within the last year regarding

the receptionist's attitude.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	10
Number of complaints we examined.	10
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	10
Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	0

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Yes
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Example(s) of learning from complaints.

Complaint				Spec	ific actio	n tak	en				
Attitude of re	eceptionist				practice hr feedback		nvestigated	the o	complaint a	nd monit	tored
Complaints system	regarding	the	telephone		•				telephone ecific conce	-	and

Well-led Rating: Good

Leadership capacity and capability

There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Yes
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Yes
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Yes
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Yes
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Yes
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Culture

The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Yes
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Yes
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Yes
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Yes
When people were affected by things that went wrong, they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Yes
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Yes
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Yes
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Partial*

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had invited staff to complete an external staff survey, the results were reviewed and analysed externally. Results were sent back to the leaders to review. Staff were given chances to develop their roles, for example, administrative staff undertaking a development program to carry out senior responsibilities.

*Some staff had not yet completed equality and diversity training.

Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
Staff	Staff told us they enjoyed working at the practice and felt supported by the leaders.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

<u> </u>	
	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Yes
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Yes
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Yes
There were processes to manage performance.	Yes
There was a quality improvement programme in place.	Yes
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Yes
A major incident plan was in place.	Yes
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Yes
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice had completed a number of audits and evidenced quality improvement. Quality improvement topics were discussed at clinical meetings.

The practice had systems in place to continue to deliver services, respond to risk and meet patients' needs during the pandemic

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had adapted how it offered appointments to meet the needs of patients during the pandemic.	Yes
The needs of vulnerable people (including those who might be digitally excluded) had been considered in relation to access.	
There were systems in place to identify and manage patients who needed a face-to-face appointment.	Yes
The practice actively monitored the quality of access and made improvements in response to findings.	
There were recovery plans in place to manage backlogs of activity and delays to treatment.	Yes
Changes had been made to infection control arrangements to protect staff and patients using the service.	Yes
Staff were supported to work remotely where applicable.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

Appropriate and accurate information

There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to monitor and improve performance.	Yes
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Yes
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	•
explanation of any anoword and additional ovidence.	

Governance and oversight of remote services

	Y/N/Partial
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Yes
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Yes
atients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	
The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and managed.	Yes
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	
Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.	
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	Yes

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Yes
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Partial*
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Yes
The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Yes

Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:

The practice has been working with their sister sight to develop a patient participation group (PPG), they had patients that were interested in joining and would be forming their PPG in October 2022.

Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Feedback

Not applicable

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

Vaa
Yes
Yes

Examples of continuous learning and improvement

The practice demonstrated learning and improvement by:

- Completing quality improvement audits.
- Reviewing patient feedback and implementing changes.
- Engaging with external stakeholders and participating in improvement programs.
- Engaging in shared learning with their sister practice.

Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique, we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
Significant variation (positive)	≤-3
Variation (positive)	>-3 and ≤-2
Tending towards variation (positive)	>-2 and ≤-1.5
No statistical variation	<1.5 and >-1.5
Tending towards variation (negative)	≥1.5 and <2
Variation (negative)	≥2 and <3
Significant variation (negative)	≥3

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have "Met 90% minimum" have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules-based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-qp-practices

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

Glossary of terms used in the data.

- COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- UKHSA: UK Health and Security Agency.
- QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- STAR-PU: Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- % = per thousand.