

# Care Quality Commission

## Inspection Evidence Table

### The New Coningsby Surgery (1-10085774795)

Inspection date: 5 and 17 May 2022

Date of data download: 19 April 2022

**Overall rating: Good**

**Safe**

**Rating: Good**

#### Safety systems and processes

**The practice had clear systems, practices and processes to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.**

Safeguarding	Y/N/Partial
Safeguarding systems, processes and practices were developed, implemented and communicated to staff.	Yes
Partners and staff were trained to appropriate levels for their role.	Yes
There was active and appropriate engagement in local safeguarding processes.	Yes
The Out of Hours service was informed of relevant safeguarding information.	Yes
There were systems to identify vulnerable patients on record.	Yes
Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required.	Yes
There were regular discussions between the practice and other health and social care professionals such as health visitors, school nurses, community midwives and social workers to support and protect adults and children at risk of significant harm.	Yes

Recruitment systems	Y/N/Partial
Recruitment checks were carried out in accordance with regulations (including for agency staff and locums).	Yes
Staff vaccination was maintained in line with current Public Health England (PHE) guidance if relevant to role.	Yes

Safety systems and records	Y/N/Partial
Health and safety risk assessments had been carried out and appropriate actions taken. Date of last assessment:	Yes December 2021
There was a fire procedure.	Yes
Date of fire risk assessment: Actions from fire risk assessment were identified and completed.	January 2022 Yes

## Infection prevention and control

### Appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene were met.

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had received effective training on infection prevention and control.	Yes
Infection prevention and control audits were carried out. Date of last infection prevention and control audit:	Yes March 2022
The practice had acted on any issues identified in infection prevention and control audits.	Yes
The arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.	Yes

## Risks to patients

### There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

	Y/N/Partial
There was an effective approach to managing staff absences and busy periods.	Yes
There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.	Yes
The practice was equipped to respond to medical emergencies (including suspected sepsis) and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.	Yes
Receptionists were aware of actions to take if they encountered a deteriorating or acutely unwell patient and had been given guidance on identifying such patients.	Yes
There were enough staff to provide appointments and prevent staff from working excessive hours	Yes (1)
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: (1) We were aware that concerns had been expressed by patients that there were occasions when there were no GPs available at the practice. The provider confirmed this to be the case and was a result of the difficulty in recruiting GPs. However, we were provided with assurance and evidence that GP cover was always provided at the provider hub, where advice and support could be sought. In the days following our inspection we were informed by the provider that they had recruited a salaried GP to work on the days where providing an on-site GP had been problematical.	

## Information to deliver safe care and treatment

**Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment.**

	Y/N/Partial
Individual care records, including clinical data, were written and managed securely and in line with current guidance and relevant legislation.	Yes
There was a system for processing information relating to new patients including the summarising of new patient notes.	Yes
There were systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.	Yes
Referrals to specialist services were documented, contained the required information and there was a system to monitor delays in referrals.	Yes
There was a documented approach to the management of test results, and this was managed in a timely manner.	Yes
There was appropriate clinical oversight of test results, including when reviewed by non-clinical staff.	Yes

## Appropriate and safe use of medicines

**The practice had systems for the appropriate and safe use of medicines, including medicines optimisation**

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Number of antibacterial prescription items prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/01/2021 to 31/12/2021) (NHS Business Service Authority - NHSBSA)	1.04	0.97	0.76	Tending towards variation (negative)
The number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1 sub-set). (01/01/2021 to 31/12/2021) (NHSBSA)	16.1%	11.9%	9.2%	Variation (negative)
Average daily quantity per item for Nitrofurantoin 50 mg tablets and capsules, Nitrofurantoin 100 mg m/r capsules, Pivmecillinam 200 mg tablets and Trimethoprim 200 mg tablets prescribed for uncomplicated urinary tract infection (01/07/2021 to 31/12/2021) (NHSBSA)	5.41	5.41	5.28	No statistical variation

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
Total items prescribed of Pregabalin or Gabapentin per 1,000 patients (01/07/2021 to 31/12/2021) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	252.8‰	221.6‰	129.2‰	Tending towards variation (negative)
Average daily quantity of Hypnotics prescribed per Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex Related Prescribing Unit (STAR PU) (01/01/2021 to 31/12/2021) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	0.62	0.86	0.62	No statistical variation
Number of unique patients prescribed multiple psychotropics per 1,000 patients (01/07/2021 to 31/12/2021) <small>(NHSBSA)</small>	7.1‰	8.5‰	6.8‰	No statistical variation

Note: ‰ means *per 1,000* and it is **not** a percentage.

Medicines management	Y/N/Partial
The practice ensured medicines were stored safely and securely with access restricted to authorised staff.	Yes
Blank prescriptions were kept securely, and their use monitored in line with national guidance.	Yes
Staff had the appropriate authorisations to administer medicines (including Patient Group Directions or Patient Specific Directions).	Yes
The practice could demonstrate the prescribing competence of non-medical prescribers, and there was regular review of their prescribing practice supported by clinical supervision or peer review.	Yes
There was a process for the safe handling of requests for repeat medicines and evidence of structured medicines reviews for patients on repeat medicines.	Yes
The practice had a process and clear audit trail for the management of information about changes to a patient's medicines including changes made by other services.	Yes
There was a process for monitoring patients' health in relation to the use of medicines including high risk medicines (for example, warfarin, methotrexate and lithium) with appropriate monitoring and clinical review prior to prescribing.	Yes
The practice monitored the prescribing of controlled drugs. (For example, investigation of unusual prescribing, quantities, dose, formulations and strength).	Yes
There were arrangements for raising concerns around controlled drugs with the NHS England Area Team Controlled Drugs Accountable Officer.	Yes
If the practice had controlled drugs on the premises there were appropriate systems and written procedures for the safe ordering, receipt, storage, administration, balance checks and disposal of these medicines, which were in line with national guidance.	Yes
The practice had taken steps to ensure appropriate antimicrobial use to optimise patient outcomes and reduce the risk of adverse events and antimicrobial resistance.	Yes
For remote or online prescribing there were effective protocols for verifying patient identity.	Yes

<b>Medicines management</b>	<b>Y/N/Partial</b>
The practice held appropriate emergency medicines, risk assessments were in place to determine the range of medicines held, and a system was in place to monitor stock levels and expiry dates.	Yes
There was medical oxygen and a defibrillator on site and systems to ensure these were regularly checked and fit for use.	Yes
Vaccines were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with UKHSA guidance to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Yes
<b>Dispensary services (where the practice provided a dispensary service)</b>	<b>Y/N/Partial</b>
There was a GP responsible for providing effective leadership for the dispensary.	Yes
The practice had clear Standard Operating Procedures which covered all aspects of the dispensing process, were regularly reviewed, and a system to monitor staff compliance.	Yes
Dispensary staff who worked unsupervised had received appropriate training and regular checks of their competency.	Yes
Where the Electronic Prescription Service is not used for dispensary prescriptions, prescriptions were signed before medicines were dispensed and handed out to patients. There was a risk assessment or surgery policy for exceptions such as acute prescriptions.	Yes
Medicines stock was appropriately managed and disposed of, and staff kept appropriate records.	Yes
Medicines that required refrigeration were appropriately stored, monitored and transported in line with the manufacturer's recommendations to ensure they remained safe and effective.	Yes
If the dispensary provided medicines in Monitored Dosage Systems, there were systems to ensure staff were aware of medicines that were not suitable for inclusion in such packs, and appropriate information was supplied to patients about their medicines.	Yes
If the practice offered a delivery service, this had been risk assessed for safety, security, confidentiality and traceability.	n/a
Dispensing incidents and near misses were recorded and reviewed regularly to identify themes and reduce the chance of reoccurrence.	Yes
Information was provided to patients in accessible formats for example, large print labels, braille, information in a variety of languages etc.	Yes
There was the facility for dispensers to speak confidentially to patients and protocols described the process for referral to clinicians.	Yes

## Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements made

### The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

Significant events	Y/N/Partial
The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a variety of sources.	Yes
Staff knew how to identify and report concerns, safety incidents and near misses.	Yes
There was a system for recording and acting on significant events.	Yes
Staff understood how to raise concerns and report incidents both internally and externally.	Yes
There was evidence of learning and dissemination of information.	Yes
Number of events recorded in last 12 months:	Four
Number of events that required action:	Four
The practice had a positive culture of reporting when things went wrong and any learning was shared across the four practices in the Welby Group, including via email to clinicians and other involved parties and at clinical meetings.  Each site had a protected 30 mins a day for clinicians to catch up and discuss topical issues, including significant events.	

### Example of significant events recorded and actions by the practice.

Event	Specific action taken
Patient was given vaccinations in home visit. They told the practice they hadn't had them. It later transpired they had already received one of the vaccinations.	Clinicians to check patient notes before visiting or take a laptop with them on the visit

Safety alerts	Y/N/Partial
There was a system for recording and acting on safety alerts.	Yes
Staff understood how to deal with alerts.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: We saw action taken by the practice in respect of a female patient prescribed sodium valproate in secondary care. There was a protocol in place for risks in pregnancy and the prescribing of sodium valproate, carbimazole and pregabalin.	

## Effective

## Rating: Good

QOF requirements were modified by NHS England for 2020/21 to recognise the need to reprioritise aspects of care which were not directly related to COVID-19. This meant that QOF payments were calculated differently. For inspections carried out from 1 October 2021, our reports will not include QOF indicators. In determining judgements in relation to effective care, we have considered other evidence as set out below.

### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

**Patients' needs were assessed, and care and treatment was delivered in line with current legislation, standards and evidence-based guidance supported by clear pathways and tools.**

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had systems and processes to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice.	Yes
Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.	Yes
Patients presenting with symptoms which could indicate serious illness were followed up in a timely and appropriate way.	Yes
We saw no evidence of discrimination when staff made care and treatment decisions.	Yes
Patients' treatment was regularly reviewed and updated.	Yes
There were appropriate referral pathways to make sure that patients' needs were addressed.	Yes
Patients were told when they needed to seek further help and what to do if their condition deteriorated.	Yes
The practice had prioritised care for their most clinically vulnerable patients during the pandemic	Yes

### Effective care for the practice population

Findings
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The practice used a clinical tool to identify older patients who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.</li><li>• Health checks, including frailty assessments, were offered to patients over 75 years of age.</li><li>• Flu, shingles and pneumonia vaccinations were offered to relevant patients in this age group.</li><li>• Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40 to 74. There was appropriate and timely follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.</li><li>• All patients with a learning disability were offered an annual health check. 80% of those with a learning disability had an annual health check in the last 12 months.</li></ul>



- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.
- The practice demonstrated that they had a system to identify people who misused substances.
- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder. 12 (32%) of 38 on the mental health register had received an annual health check in the last twelve months. The provider confirmed that although they tried to get these patients to attend for a health check it had always proved and continued to prove very difficult.
- Patients with poor mental health, including dementia, were referred to appropriate services.

## Management of people with long term conditions

### Findings

- We conducted searches of the practice clinical system which showed that 41 patients who had been identified as having 2 or more eGFRs <60 in the last 2 years, without a coded appropriate diagnosis of CKD 3, 4 or 5. We raised this with the provided who undertook and audit of these findings and provided us with evidence that assured us that the correct protocols were being followed.
- Patients with long-term conditions were offered a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long-term conditions had received specific training for example a nurse had received specialised training for diabetes management and the provider had recently recruited a specialist diabetes nurse to start work soon after the inspection.
- The practice could demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardio-vascular disease were offered statins.
- Patients with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.
- Patients with COPD were offered rescue packs.
- Patients with asthma were offered an asthma management plan.

Child Immunisation	Numerator	Denominator	Practice %	Comparison to WHO target of 95%
The percentage of children aged 1 who have completed a primary course of immunisation for Diphtheria, Tetanus, Polio, Pertussis, Haemophilus influenza	81	87	93.1%	Met 90% minimum



type b (Hib), Hepatitis B (Hep B) ((i.e. three doses of DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England)				
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their booster immunisation for Pneumococcal infection (i.e. received Pneumococcal booster) (PCV booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England)	77	81	95.1%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received their immunisation for Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) and Meningitis C (MenC) (i.e. received Hib/MenC booster) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England)	77	81	95.1%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 2 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (one dose of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England)	77	81	95.1%	Met 95% WHO based target
The percentage of children aged 5 who have received immunisation for measles, mumps and rubella (two doses of MMR) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (NHS England)	92	103	89.3%	Below 90% minimum

Note: Please refer to the CQC guidance on Childhood Immunisation data for more information: <https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Cancer Indicators	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for persons aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for persons aged 50 to 64). (Snapshot date: 31/12/2021) (Public Health England)	73.6%	N/A	80% Target	Below 80% target
Females, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (PHE)	62.6%	67.0%	61.3%	N/A
Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (PHE)	69.6%	70.0%	66.8%	N/A
Number of new cancer cases treated (Detection rate: % of which resulted from a two week wait (TWW) referral) (01/04/2020 to 31/03/2021) (PHE)	44.4%	56.8%	55.4%	No statistical variation

#### Any additional evidence or comments

Although the percentage of persons eligible for cervical cancer screening was below the 80% target, it was still higher than the England average of 70.2% (1 April 2020 to 31 March 2021).

## Monitoring care and treatment

**The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided.**

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians took part in national and local quality improvement initiatives.	Yes
The practice had a programme of targeted quality improvement and used information about care and treatment to make improvements.	Yes
The practice regularly reviewed unplanned admissions and readmissions and took appropriate action.	Yes

Examples of improvements demonstrated because of clinical audits or other improvement activity in past two years

The practice provided us with a number of examples of clinical audit that had been completed. Among these were an audit to identify patients with chronic kidney disease who should be prescribed statins and an improved diagnosis protocol to identify patients with the disease. These had resulted in 84 patients being offered statins and 215 patients identified as having the disease.
The practice had conducted an audit on the standards of antimicrobial prescribing for Urinary Tract Infections in January 2022. This showed generally good compliance with prescribing guidelines but there was room for improvement and the results had been cascaded to prescribing clinicians.

## Effective staffing

**The practice was able to demonstrate that staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.**

	Y/N/Partial
Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care, support and treatment.	Yes
The practice had a programme of learning and development.	Yes
Staff had protected time for learning and development.	Yes
There was an induction programme for new staff.	Yes
Staff had access to regular appraisals, one to ones, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation. They were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation.	Yes

The practice could demonstrate how they assured the competence of staff employed in advanced clinical practice, for example, nurses, paramedics, pharmacists and physician associates.	Yes
There was a clear and appropriate approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:	

## Coordinating care and treatment

### Staff worked with other organisations to deliver effective care and treatment.

Indicator	Y/N/Partial
Care was delivered and reviewed in a coordinated way when different teams, services or organisations were involved.	Yes
Patients received consistent, coordinated, person-centred care when they moved between services.	Yes

## Helping patients to live healthier lives

### Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.	Yes
Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health.	Yes
Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks.	Yes
Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.	Yes
The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns and tackling obesity.	Yes

## Consent to care and treatment

### The practice always obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

	Y/N/Partial
Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making. We saw that consent was documented.	Yes
Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to decide.	Yes

Do Not Attempt Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation (DNACPR) decisions were made in line with relevant legislation and were appropriate.	Yes
--	-----

## Caring

**Rating: Good**

### Kindness, respect and compassion

**Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion. Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.**

	Y/N/Partial
Staff understood and respected the personal, cultural, social and religious needs of patients.	Yes
Staff displayed understanding and a non-judgmental attitude towards patients.	Yes
Patients were given appropriate and timely information to cope emotionally with their care, treatment or condition.	Yes

### National GP Patient Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at listening to them (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	87.9%	88.9%	89.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that the last time they had a general practice appointment, the healthcare professional was good or very good at treating them with care and concern (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	83.5%	87.7%	88.4%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they had confidence and trust in the healthcare professional they saw or spoke to (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	97.8%	95.9%	95.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of their GP practice (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	71.7%	82.0%	83.0%	No statistical variation

Question	Y/N
----------	-----

The practice carries out its own patient survey/patient feedback exercises.	Yes
---	-----

#### Any additional evidence

The latest survey carried out by the practice was responded to by 179 people. 67% responded that their overall experience was either very, good or neither good nor poor.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

**Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment.**

	Y/N/Partial
Staff communicated with patients in a way that helped them to understand their care, treatment and condition, and any advice given.	Yes
Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services.	Yes

#### National GP Patient Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who stated that during their last GP appointment they were involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	91.0%	92.8%	92.9%	No statistical variation

	Y/N/Partial
Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.	Yes
Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access support groups and organisations.	Yes (1)
Information leaflets were available in other languages and in easy read format.	Yes
Information about support groups was available on the practice website.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: (1) The practice was an Armed Forces veteran friendly accredited practice.	

Carers	Narrative
Percentage and number of carers identified.	338 which was 4.2% of the practice list
How the practice supported carers (including young carers).	Information pack, support groups and on-line information was available. Carers information and signposting was available on the practice website. The practice had two carers champions and had received a Carers award. The onboarding process for patients included asking if they were a carer. Staff were encouraged to ask opportunistically during long term conditions reviews if they were a carer or were cared for.
How the practice supported recently bereaved patients.	There was bereavement policy in place and an information booklet available for next of kin.

## Privacy and dignity

### The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

	Y/N/Partial
A private room was available if patients were distressed or wanted to discuss sensitive issues.	Yes
There were arrangements to ensure confidentiality at the reception desk.	Yes



## Responsive

**Rating: Good**

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

#### The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs.

	Y/N/Partial
The practice understood the needs of its local population and had developed services in response to those needs.	Partial (1)
The importance of flexibility, informed choice and continuity of care was reflected in the services provided.	Yes
The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services being delivered.	Yes
The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.	Yes
There were arrangements in place for people who need translation services.	Yes
The practice complied with the Accessible Information Standard.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: (1)Extended hours nurse practitioner consultations were available from 6.30am to 8am Monday to Thursday at the practice. There was no option for GP or nurse practitioner consultations at weekends or bank holidays. Previously extended hours GP appointments had been available at Louth, which is 22 miles from Coningsby. Uptake by New Coningsby patients was low, with 16% of available appointments being utilised. Since providing early morning in-house nurse practitioner consultations at the surgery, uptake had risen to 92% of available appointments being utilised. Along with other practices they were working with the CCG to have in place a hub to provide extended hours GP appointments by 1 July 2022.	

Practice Opening Times	
Day	Time
Opening times:	
Monday	8am to 6.30pm
Tuesday	8am to 6.30pm
Wednesday	8am to 6.30pm
Thursday	8am to 6.30pm
Friday	8am to 6.30pm
Extended hours appointments are available from 6.30am to 8am Monday to Thursday at the practice.	

### Further information about how the practice is responding to the needs of their population

- Patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs and complex medical issues.
- The practice liaised regularly with the community services to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode such as homeless people and Travellers.
- The practice adjusted the delivery of its services to meet the needs of patients with a learning disability.

### Access to the service

#### People were able to access care and treatment in a timely way.

*The COVID-19 pandemic has affected access to GP practices and presented many challenges. In order to keep both patients and staff safe early in the pandemic practices were asked by NHS England to assess patients remotely (for example by telephone or video consultation) when contacting the practice and to only see patients in the practice when deemed to be clinically appropriate to do so. Following the changes in national guidance during the summer of 2021 there has been a more flexible approach to patients interacting with their practice. During the pandemic there was a significant increase in telephone and online consultations compared to patients being predominantly seen in a face to face setting.*

	Y/N/Partial
Patients had timely access to appointments/treatment and action was taken to minimize the length of time people waited for care, treatment or advice	Yes
The practice offered a range of appointment types to suit different needs (e.g. face to face, telephone, online)	Yes
Patients were able to make appointments in a way which met their needs	Yes
There were systems in place to support patients who face communication barriers to access treatment	Yes
Patients with most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised	Yes
There was information available for patients to support them to understand how to access services (including on websites and telephone messages)	Yes

## National GP Patient Survey results

Indicator	Practice	CCG average	England average	England comparison
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	53.0%	N/A	67.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to the overall experience of making an appointment (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	60.9%	70.9%	70.6%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were very satisfied or fairly satisfied with their GP practice appointment times (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	60.5%	67.2%	67.0%	No statistical variation
The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who were satisfied with the appointment (or appointments) they were offered (01/01/2021 to 31/03/2021)	83.0%	84.7%	81.7%	No statistical variation

### Any additional evidence or comments

The practice was aware of patient dissatisfaction with telephone access had installed a new cloud-based telephony system to improve patient access issues.

Source	Feedback
For example, NHS Choices	NHS Choices had 13 reviews posted, of which eight were wholly positive and five negatives. The negative comments concerned telephone access, telephone triage dissatisfaction and not always being able to see a GP face to face.

## Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

**Complaints were listened and responded to and used to improve the quality of care.**

Complaints	
Number of complaints received in the last year.	40
Number of complaints we examined.	All
Number of complaints we examined that were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.	All

Number of complaints referred to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.	Nil
--	-----

	Y/N/Partial
Information about how to complain was readily available.	Yes
There was evidence that complaints were used to drive continuous improvement.	Yes
<p>Explanation of any answers and additional evidence:</p> <p>The practice policy was to record complaints, even though they may not be at a very high threshold. We observed that many of the complaints recorded had turned out to be complaints about other health care providers and other organisations. 15 of the complaints had been upheld and thorough analysis and lessons learned were evident. They had been discussed in practice meetings.</p>	

## Well-led

**Rating: Good**

### Leadership capacity and capability

**There was compassionate, inclusive and effective leadership at all levels.**

	Y/N/Partial
Leaders demonstrated that they understood the challenges to quality and sustainability.	Yes
They had identified the actions necessary to address these challenges.	Yes
Staff reported that leaders were visible and approachable.	Yes
There was a leadership development programme, including a succession plan.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The provider told us that staff were adapting to change and were mostly positive, some having worked for the previous provider for many years. The changes had included an increase in monitoring of performance and the transition of clinicians to new processes. Staffing was said to be a perennial challenge, in particular the recruitment of GPs. Some staff were working in portacabins and accommodation of clinical and administrative staff was a particular issue. The quality and availability of clinical rooms was seen as an issue and a programme was underway to improve the accommodation. Increased clinical rooms would allow the ability to host other healthcare professionals.	

### Vision and strategy

**The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to provide high quality sustainable care.**

	Y/N/Partial
The vision, values and strategy were developed in collaboration with staff, patients and external partners.	Yes
Staff knew and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.	Yes
Progress against delivery of the strategy was monitored.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: There was a clear and effective management structure in place both within the practice but also at provider level. Senior leaders we spoke with demonstrated a clear vision and strategy to both maintain and improve the patient experience and we were satisfied that they had the skills and knowledge to carry that vision forward.	

### Culture

## The practice had a culture which drove high quality sustainable care.

	Y/N/Partial
There were arrangements to deal with any behaviour inconsistent with the vision and values.	Yes
Staff reported that they felt able to raise concerns without fear of retribution.	Yes
There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of staff.	Yes
There were systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.	Yes
When people were affected by things that went wrong, they were given an apology and informed of any resulting action.	Yes
The practice encouraged candour, openness and honesty.	Yes
The practice had access to a Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.	Yes
Staff had undertaken equality and diversity training.	Yes
Explanation of any answers and additional evidence: The practice demonstrated a positive culture of encouraging staff to report when things went wrong or how services could be improved. Staff we spoke with confirmed this to be the case.	

## Examples of feedback from staff or other evidence about working at the practice

Source	Feedback
CQC staff feedback forms	Eight members of staff responded to our request for feedback prior to the inspection taking place. All respondents commented on good team working and a positive attitude. Those respondents with a clinical role commented about the lack of GPs on occasions and the frustration patients expressed when not being able to see a GP in person. None clinical respondents commented on the increased workload since this provider had taken on the practice and their frustrations in dealing with patients who were unable to get a face to face consultation at short notice.

## Governance arrangements

### There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

	Y/N/Partial
There were governance structures and systems which were regularly reviewed.	Yes
Staff were clear about their roles and responsibilities.	Yes
There were appropriate governance arrangements with third parties.	Yes

## Managing risks, issues and performance

**There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.**

	Y/N/Partial
There were comprehensive assurance systems which were regularly reviewed and improved.	Yes
There were processes to manage performance.	Yes
There was a quality improvement programme in place.	Yes
There were effective arrangements for identifying, managing and mitigating risks.	Yes
A major incident plan was in place.	Yes
Staff were trained in preparation for major incidents.	Yes
When considering service developments or changes, the impact on quality and sustainability was assessed.	Yes

**The practice had systems in place to continue to deliver services, respond to risk and meet patients' needs during the pandemic**

	Y/N/Partial
The practice had adapted how it offered appointments to meet the needs of patients during the pandemic.	Yes
The needs of vulnerable people (including those who might be digitally excluded) had been considered in relation to access.	Yes
There were systems in place to identify and manage patients who needed a face-to-face appointment.	Yes
The practice actively monitored the quality of access and made improvements in response to findings.	Yes
There were recovery plans in place to manage backlogs of activity and delays to treatment.	Yes
Changes had been made to infection control arrangements to protect staff and patients using the service.	Yes
Staff were supported to work remotely where applicable.	Yes



## Appropriate and accurate information

**There was a demonstrated commitment to using data and information proactively to drive and support decision making.**

	Y/N/Partial
Staff used data to monitor and improve performance.	Yes
Performance information was used to hold staff and management to account.	Yes
Staff whose responsibilities included making statutory notifications understood what this entailed.	Yes

## Governance and oversight of remote services

	Y/N/Partial
The practice used digital services securely and effectively and conformed to relevant digital and information security standards.	Yes
The provider was registered as a data controller with the Information Commissioner's Office.	Yes
Patient records were held in line with guidance and requirements.	Yes
Patients were informed and consent obtained if interactions were recorded.	Yes
The practice ensured patients were informed how their records were stored and managed.	Yes
Patients were made aware of the information sharing protocol before online services were delivered.	Yes
The practice had arrangements to make staff and patients aware of privacy settings on video and voice call services.	Yes
Online consultations took place in appropriate environments to ensure confidentiality.	Yes
The practice advised patients on how to protect their online information.	Yes

## Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

**The practice involved the public, staff and external partners to sustain high quality and sustainable care.**

	Y/N/Partial
Patient views were acted on to improve services and culture.	Yes
The practice had an active Patient Participation Group.	Yes
Staff views were reflected in the planning and delivery of services.	Yes

The practice worked with stakeholders to build a shared view of challenges and of the needs of the population.	Yes
--	-----

#### Feedback from Patient Participation Group.

Feedback
<p>The PPG told us that they thought the new providers had conducted the transition from the previous provider as well as could be expected given the external factors such as the covid pandemic that coincided with the change. They told us the practice leaders were well engaged with the PPG and made every effort to attend their meetings.</p> <p>The PPG had recently hosted an open evening, which upwards of 200 people attended. The PPG told us that there were diverse views expressed about the practice and the changes, with on-line consultations and telephone appointments being of concern. However, the PPG told us that there was large body of opinion that countered the view and believed it to be positive.</p> <p>This had been the first face to face event since the pandemic, but they were hoping to revert to face to face meetings going forward as the virtual ones had not been well attended.</p>

#### Continuous improvement and innovation

#### There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

	Y/N/Partial
There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement.	Yes
Learning was shared effectively and used to make improvements.	Yes

Examples of continuous learning and improvement
<p>The provider placed a strong emphasis on learning and improvement and encouraged staff development. For example, a health care assistant had recently started training as a nurse associate and a nurse was being mentored and supported to become a nurse practitioner.</p>

#### Notes: CQC GP Insight

GP Insight assesses a practice's data against all the other practices in England. We assess relative performance for the majority of indicators using a "z-score" (this tells us the number of standard deviations from the mean the data point is), giving us a statistical measurement of a practice's performance in relation to the England average. We highlight practices which significantly vary from the England average (in either a positive or negative direction). We consider that z-scores which are higher than +2 or lower than -2 are at significant levels, warranting further enquiry. Using this technique we can be 95% confident that the practices performance is genuinely different from the average. It is important to note that a number of factors can affect the Z score for a practice, for example a small denominator or the distribution of the data. This means that there will be cases where a practice's data looks quite different to the average, but still shows as no statistical variation, as we do not have enough confidence that the difference is genuine. There may also be cases where a practice's data looks similar across two indicators, but they are in different variation bands.

The percentage of practices which show variation depends on the distribution of the data for each indicator, but is typically around 10-15% of practices. The practices which are not showing significant statistical variation are labelled as no statistical variation to other practices.

N.B. Not all indicators in the evidence table are part of the GP insight set and those that aren't will not have a variation band.

The following language is used for showing variation:

Variation Bands	Z-score threshold
-----------------	-------------------

Significant variation (positive)	$\leq -3$
Variation (positive)	$> -3$ and $\leq -2$
Tending towards variation (positive)	$> -2$ and $\leq -1.5$
No statistical variation	$< 1.5$ and $> -1.5$
Tending towards variation (negative)	$\geq 1.5$ and $< 2$
Variation (negative)	$\geq 2$ and $< 3$
Significant variation (negative)	$\geq 3$

Note: for the following indicators the variation bands are different:

- Child Immunisation indicators. These are scored against the World Health Organisation target of 95% rather than the England average. Note that practices that have “Met 90% minimum” have not met the WHO target of 95%.
- The percentage of respondents to the GP patient survey who responded positively to how easy it was to get through to someone at their GP practice on the phone uses a rules based approach for scoring, due to the distribution of the data. This indicator does not have a CCG average.
- The percentage of women eligible for cervical cancer screening at a given point in time who were screened adequately within a specified period (within 3.5 years for women aged 25 to 49, and within 5.5 years for women aged 50 to 64). This indicator does not have a CCG average and is scored against the national target of 80%.

It is important to note that z-scores are not a judgement in themselves, but will prompt further enquiry, as part of our ongoing monitoring of GP practices.

Guidance and Frequently Asked Questions on GP Insight can be found on the following link: <https://www.cqc.org.uk/guidance-providers/gps/how-we-monitor-gp-practices>

Note: The CQC GP Evidence Table uses the most recent validated and publicly available data. In some cases at the time of inspection this data may be relatively old. If during the inspection the practice has provided any more recent data, this can be considered by the inspector. However, it should be noted that any data provided by the practice will be unvalidated and is not directly comparable to the published data. This has been taken into account during the inspection process.

#### Glossary of terms used in the data.

- **COPD:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease.
- **PHE:** Public Health England.
- **QOF:** Quality and Outcomes Framework.
- **STAR-PU:** Specific Therapeutic Group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units. These weighting allow more accurate and meaningful comparisons within a specific therapeutic group by taking into account the types of people who will be receiving that treatment.
- 
- $\text{‰}$  = per thousand.