



PRESS

Errata

from

Integrating Architecture, Processes, and AI on SAP BTP

Designing an AI-Ready Execution Layer Using SAP Signavio,
SAP LeanIX, and WalkMe



By Kumar T. Piraviperumal

Errata

Corrections and Clarifications

This document lists corrections and clarifications for the first edition of this book. Page numbers refer to both the printed edition and the e-book PDF. In other e-book formats, use the section numbers to find each change.

Edition	1st edition, 2026
ISBN	978-1-4932-2880-5 (print) 978-1-4932-2881-2 (e-book) 978-1-4932-2882-9 (print and e-book bundle)

Page 84, Section 3.1

Type	Clarification
Instruction	Insert the following note before the first paragraph of Section 3.1.

New text**A Note on Level Numbering**

SAP's training material for SAP Signavio typically describes a four-level process architecture. L1 represents the value chain, L2 the process area, and L3 the end-to-end process, modeled in BPMN. The L3 model already contains the tasks, branches, and decisions required to complete the process. L4 represents a subprocess: a further BPMN model that decomposes a task when additional detail is required.

This book uses the level numbers differently. Here, L4 and L5 describe execution depth: the point at which a process has been broken down so that each activity is performed by a single role in a single system and carries the seven mandatory execution attributes described in Section 3.3. Within an SAP Signavio repository, such activities may be modeled directly in an L3 diagram or within an L4 subprocess.

Accordingly, where this book describes L1 to L3 as organizational levels, this means that they name and group processes. It does not mean that an L3 diagram can't contain executable tasks. Throughout this book, integration is attached to the activity that is executed.

Page 112, Section 3.7.3, Review Question 1

Type	Correction
Instruction	Replace the first review question with the corrected text below.

Current text

1. Why is L4 the integration depth and not L3 or L5? What specifically can be wired to systems at L4 that cannot be wired at L3?

Corrected text

1. Why does this book attach integration to the execution-ready activity (the level we label L4) rather than to the process that contains it? What can an execution-ready activity carry that a process grouping cannot?

Page 196, Section 5.6

Type	Correction
Instruction	Replace the passage below with the corrected text.

Current text

The boundary is the absence of a direct connection. There is no link from an SAP Signavio activity to an SAP LeanIX application that exists outside the data spine. There is no link from an SAP LeanIX interface to an SAP Signavio process that exists outside the data spine. The two systems do not call each other directly.

Corrected text

SAP provides a standard integration between SAP Signavio and SAP LeanIX that synchronizes process models and related objects between the two tools. The architecture in this book does not use that integration to link an activity to the application that executes it. Instead, these links are made through the data spine, so that each one can be audited.