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Abstract

Background: Double emulsions (DEs) are water-in-oil-in-water (or oil-in-water-in-oil) droplets with the potential to deliver combinatory therapies due to their ability to co-localize hydrophilic and hydrophobic molecules in the same carrier. However, DEs are thermodynamically unstable and only kinetically trapped. Extending this transitory state and rendering DEs more stable, would widen the possibilities of real-world applications, yet characterization of their stability in physiologically-relevant conditions is lacking.

Methods: In this work, we used microfluidics to produce lipid-stabilized DEs with reproducible monodispersity and high encapsulation efficiency. We investigated DE stability under a range of physicochemical parameters such as temperature, pH and mechanical stimulus.

Results: Stability through time was inversely proportional to temperature. DEs were significantly stable up to eight days at 4°C, five days at room temperature and two days at 37°C. When encapsulating a cargo, DE stability decreased significantly. When exposed to a pH change, unloaded DEs were only significantly unstable at the extremes (pH 1 and 13), largely outside physiological ranges. When exposed to flow, unloaded DEs behaved similarly regardless of the mechanical stimulus applied, with approximately 70% remaining after 100 flow cycles of 10s.

Conclusions: These results indicate that lipid-stabilized DEs produced via microfluidics could be tailored to endure physiologically-relevant conditions and act as carriers for drug delivery. Special attention should be given to the composition of the solutions, e.g. osmolality ratio between inner and outer solutions, and the interaction of the molecules, e.g. carrier and cargo, involved in the final formulation.
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Introduction

Double emulsions (DEs) are water-in-oil-in-water (W/O/W) or oil-in-water-in-oil (O/W/O) droplets frequently used in the food industry to fabricate low-fat products and improve nutrient and flavour delivery. However, historically speaking, most interest derived from DEs has come from the pharmaceutical industry. They have been studied as drug delivery systems, blood substitutes, and vaccines, with one of the earliest reported applications in the late 1960s, which aimed to enhance the absorption of insulin in the intestine. DEs are usually produced in a two-step process: two immiscible solutions are mixed, forming an emulsion that is, in turn, vigorously stirred in a third solution of similar properties as the inner phase. The rotation speed of each step allows for some control over the size and number of inner droplets within the outer droplet. However, resulting populations tend to be polydisperse and vary widely in encapsulation efficiency, ranging from 10 to 98%. Polydispersity has been shown to affect the release profile of drugs encapsulated in microparticles, while reproducible encapsulation efficiency, specifically the homogeneous concentration of drug inside each DE, is crucial for well-controlled release kinetics and therapeutic benefit. Thus, these current constraints hinder more widespread commercial use of DEs.

Microfluidics is well poised to address these limitations. The microfluidic production of single, double, and even multiple emulsions has been reported, demonstrating highly monodisperse populations and encapsulation efficiencies of nearly 100%. Still, complex setups, e.g. cleanroom microfabrication and microfluidic glass capillaries, have created a barrier to wider use in multiple applications. As the technology matured over the last decade, an increasing number of reports have shown the successful production of DEs in microfluidic polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) chips, which are cheap and simple enough to fabricate and assemble.

One key characteristic of DEs, driving the recent interest in the field, is their ability to co-localize and co-transport, in a single carrier, molecules of opposing properties, such as hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs, for example, the synergistic anticancer drugs paclitaxel and doxorubicin. As a consequence, they are promising delivery systems for combinatorial therapies. However, DEs remain characteristically metastable structures. Therefore, DEs are kinetically trapped in a transitory local energy minimum state that can move towards the global minimum at the slightest disturbance. Thus, they are prone to bursting, due to the coalescence of the inner phase with the outer phase, forming an O/W droplet. In order to take advantage of their drug co-localization properties, increasing the time that they remain in this transitory state is a critical issue.

Most DEs are stabilized with surfactants, usually block copolymers, that arrange themselves at the interfaces, and other additives that increase the viscosity of the aqueous solutions. Recent works in the field of artificial cell-like systems, which studies the origins of life and the molecular dynamics of the modern cell membrane, have focused on double emulsions with more biomimetic compositions, replacing synthetic surfactants and additives with molecules that could be found in the regular cellular constitution, such as lipids.

The exploration of these lipid-stabilized double emulsions as drug delivery systems is very recent, but promising. Besides their more biologically-relevant composition, the presence of lipids confers several advantages. They allow the formation of multisomes, a network of smaller water droplets inside an oil droplet, that can be used as a multi-compartmentalized delivery system, and potentially enhance intestinal absorption. Furthermore, it has been shown that lipids play an important role in the absorption of hydrophobic drugs, enhancing their bioavailability. In 2002, the American Federal Drug Agency (FDA) issued the “Food-effect Bioavailability and Fed Bioequivalence” guidance, in which it recommended high-fat meals when taking hydrophobic drugs to improve drug absorption due to the effect of fats on the gastrointestinal tract physiology and maximization of drug transfer to the systemic circulation. At least five pharmaceutical products that take advantage of these characteristics have been approved for commercial use (Intralipid, 1975; Cleviprex, 2008; Perikabiven, 2014; Smoofi lipid, 2016; Cinvanti, 2018). They consist of single emulsions stabilized by lipids, encapsulating only one drug (i.e. not combinatorial therapies), and are indicated for nutritional purposes, the treatment of acute and delayed nausea and vomiting, and reduction of blood pressure. To date, no DE formulations have reached clinical stages.

A reason for the lack of commercial exploitation resides in the fact that DEs are one of the most challenging types of droplets to generate. Almost every variable from formulation composition to production parameters can affect their stability. Also, a robust characterization of their stability, especially under physiologically relevant parameters, is lacking. In this work, we show that lipid-stabilized DEs can be made by microfluidics in PDMS chips fabricated under basic laboratory conditions (no cleanroom), for the generation of reproducible monodisperse populations. We characterized their stability when exposed to various stresses representing physiological conditions relevant to DE-based therapeutics, such as temperature, pH and mechanical stress. Finally, we encapsulated representative cargo in the intermediate and inner phases to assess how these additions affect the stability. The gained insights contribute to the growing body of knowledge that can advance the use of DEs in commercial applications.

Methods

Materials

Materials used were as follows: Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), 87–90% hydrolysed, average molecular weight 31,000–50,000 (ref. 363073, Sigma Aldrich, France); 1-octanol, anhydrous, ≥99% (ref. 297887, Sigma Aldrich, France.); 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, chloroform (DOPC) (ref. 850375C, Sigma Aldrich, France); poloxamer 188 (P188) 10% (w/v) solution (ref. P5556, Sigma Aldrich, France); glycerol (Carlo Erba, Dutscher, ref. 43751-CER); ethanol absolute (ref. 4146012-CER, Carlo Erba, Dutscher, France); chloroform (ref. 1024451000,
DEs were generated at different flow regimens (14, 20 and 30 mbar) of produced DEs in a given video was counted manually with a high-speed camera (Pixelink, reference PL-D725CU). The total number of DEs exiting the chip junction captured with a high-speed camera (Pixelink, reference PL-D725CU) was imaged in its entirety each time and DEs were counted per frame X 200 frames. The actual number of produced DEs was used (details in ESI).

The solutions were driven into the chip with a pressure-driven flow controller (OB1, Elveflow, France). The pressure ranges for each solution were: IA = 25 to 35 mbar; LO = 35 to 45 mbar; OA = 70 mbar. The pressure of the OA was kept constant throughout experiments for comparable production rates.

Image analysis
DEs were collected into a µ-Slide I Luer channel slide (height=0.4 mm, Ibidi, reference 80176) attached to the exit of the production chip via a short piece of tubing. For size distribution, encapsulation, and temperature assay analyses, images were taken with an inverted AxioVert A1 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany) and camera (AxioCam 202 mono). For pH and flow assay analyses, an upright microscope (built in-house from 5x objective), and high-speed camera (Pixelink, reference PL-D725CU) were used. Image analysis was performed using the image software Fiji and a Python script developed in-house for automated droplet counting and sizing. The parameters of the script were adjusted to better fit the change in size over time and were verified manually through representative images. Data are reported as the mean percentage of counted DEs ± SD or SEM as specified. Statistical analysis was performed using a Python script (described above). Data are presented as the percentage of DEs remaining normalized to t=0 (n≥3).

DE pH stability. DEs were pipetted on a clean glass slide and imaged as described above. Aqueous solutions with pH between 1–13 were prepared by adding 1 M HCl or 1 M NaOH to a solution of water and phenol red until the desired pH was reached. Then, an equivalent volume of each solution was gently pipetted into the standing droplet. DEs were imaged 10 minutes after exposure at RT. DEs were counted using the Python script (described above). Data are presented as percent DEs remaining after exposure compared to before exposure ± SD; n ≥ 5.

Stability of unloaded and loaded DEs at different mechanical stress conditions. Different flow regimens (14, 20 and 30 mbar) were applied with pressure using an autonomous recirculation system (Cobalt, Elveflow, France) that allowed double emulsions to flow back and forth in the field of view for 100 cycles...
of 10 seconds (i.e. 5 s forward flow, 5 s backward flow) through an Ibidi u-Slide Luer (0.4 mm height) chip at RT. Videos were captured and the number of DEs from the most populated frame was counted for every 10 cycles.

The experiment was performed with unloaded DEs and LUV-loaded DEs (0.2 mM POPC; stained with DiI, 0.1 mM). Chips under static conditions were used as controls. Images before and after flow were collected and analyzed as described above.

The pressures were converted into estimations of flow rates (mL/min) using an online calculator (Elveflow, France)

Results
Microfluidic production of monodisperse DEs and size characterization
To investigate the production of DEs using our microfluidics setup, DEs were produced in double-junction PDMS chips (Figure 1.a) made with cleanroom-free standard soft lithography techniques[53-55]. The solutions were driven by a pressure-driven fluid control setup (Figure 1.a.I) from the reservoirs to the first junction, where the inner aqueous (IA) solution was enveloped by the lipid-oil (LO) solution, and then to the second junction, where the outer aqueous (OA) solution pinched the DEs with a thin layer of oil (Figure 1.a.II).

The resulting DEs were monodisperse, with an average diameter of 83.6 µm (±6.2 µm SD; total number of DEs =14,914) (Figure 1.b).

The production rate of unloaded DEs was 233 Hz which translates to more than 800,000 DEs per hour. The production efficiency (encapsulation efficiency of the inner phase in the oil shell for unloaded DEs) was 88%.

Stability assays
As aforementioned, stability is the key issue for the practical application of DEs. Emulsions larger than 0.1 µm are only kinetically stable, breaking or coalescing over time[57,58]. To investigate a potential future application as a combinatory drug delivery system, the stability of lipid-stabilized DEs was tested in different physiologically relevant conditions, being exposed to ranges of temperature, pH and mechanical stress.

DE stability at different temperatures over time. Temperature plays an important role in meta-stable states by potentially providing the necessary energy required for the molecular assembly to leave the transient equilibrium (local energy minimum) and move towards a lower energy state[59]. Temperatures were chosen according to their relevance to the lifecycle of a DE therapeutic: from physiological body temperature, 37°C, to temperatures relevant to handling and storage, RT and 4°C, respectively. Lipid-stabilized double emulsions were exposed to 4°C, RT and 37°C for a minimum of seven days, and the number of DEs and mean radius were measured (Figure 2). The highest number of DEs in a given day (i.e. D0 for 4°C and 37°C and D1 for RT in Figure 1) was used as the maximum value to normalize the data as a percent. At 4°C, there was a trend towards a loss of DEs over time, but a significant reduction in numbers (92%) was observed by day eight compared to day 0. At RT, the loss of DEs presented a similar profile, with a significant reduction (68%) at day 5 compared to day 0, demonstrating a positive effect of colder temperatures in prolonging the transient meta-state, as expected. DEs were considerably less resistant to warmer temperatures, with a steep decrease (79%) in numbers and statistical difference from day 2 onwards at 37°C.

Radius at D0 was 41 µm for DEs placed at 4°C, 40 µm for RT and 34 µm for 37°C. At each temperature, the mean external

Figure 1. Microfluidic production of double emulsions (DEs) and size characterization. a) I. Microfluidic setup for production of monodisperse DEs with thin oil layers. Each reservoir (IA: inner aqueous solution; LO: lipid-oil solution; OA: Outer aqueous solution) was connected to a pressure-driven flow controller and to the respective inlet on the chip. II. DEs were pinched at the second junction, enveloped by a thin layer of oil. Scale bar is 100 µm. b) Size distribution of DEs diameters in µm (<Ø>=83.6 µm, ±6.2 µm; total number of DEs = 14,914).
radius decreased (17%, 36% and 48%, respectively) throughout the testing period (Figure 2.d). Also, DEs showed a clear increase in the thickness of the intermediate layer over time.

Encapsulation of compounds of interest and stability of loaded DEs over time. To investigate the effect of locally encapsulated cargo on DE stability, one of the key advantages of DEs as delivery systems, the inner and intermediate phases were successfully loaded (Figure 3). DiI, a lipid-specific fluorescent dye that locates just below the lipid-water interface, was used as a hydrophobic cargo, and calcein or LUVs were used as hydrophilic cargo. Encapsulation efficiency was over 80% in all cases (DiI, n=5; calcein, n=2; LUVs, n=4).

Commonly, encapsulation in double emulsions produced with microfluidics is done with isotonic solutions, but there are reports of two-step emulsification methods using a hypertonic inner phase due to the higher stability of the resulting DEs. Aiming to explore this characteristic and provide more flexibility to the final formulation of the outer solution for an oral drug delivery system, the DEs were loaded in the inner phase with a hypertonic solution (i.e. calcein or LUVs).

Encapsulation of cargo affected the post-production stability of DEs. When loaded with a hydrophobic cargo, there was a significant decrease (32%) in DE numbers by day 1 at RT (Figure 4.a), showing less stability than for unloaded DEs. Decreased DE stability was further observed as coalescence of the intermediate phase, resulting in complex structures with multiple water droplets inside a large droplet of octanol (shown stained in red). The change in morphology is also seen in the mean radius data (Figure 4.a), showing a decrease (25%) in radius up to D3. The formation of larger multi-inner droplet structures due to the coalescence of the intermediate phase caused the average radius to remain around 30 µm until D7, although most DEs that had not coalesced had burst by that time point.

Similarly, the addition of LUVs also affected post-production DE stability. The first two hours after production revealed a significant decrease (45%) in numbers, and most DEs had burst by D2 (Figure 4.b).

DE stability at different pH ranges. Another important parameter when considering an oral drug delivery system is pH. DEs were exposed to a range of pH from 1 to 13, either unloaded or loaded with hydrophobic or hydrophilic cargos (DiI or LUVs, respectively) and their numbers were assessed at t=0 (before) and t=10 minutes after exposure. For unloaded DEs, 56% of DEs remained intact after exposure to pH 7. Also, there was only a significant reduction at the extremes (pH=1, 48%, and pH 13, 38%) when compared to pH 7 (Figure 5.a). The size was also not affected (Figure 5.b).

A similar profile was demonstrated for DEs loaded with DiI (Figure 5.c), with only pH 1 significantly different (81% reduction) from pH 7. LUV-loaded DEs were considerably less stable, with 13–60% reduction across different pH (not significantly different from pH 7).
**Figure 3. Encapsulation of cargo in double emulsions (DEs).**

- **a)** DEs, no cargo, bright field;  
- **b)** DEs loaded with Dil (lipid specific, intermediate phase, red fluorescent filter);  
- **c)** DEs loaded with calcein (inner phase, green fluorescent filter); and  
- **d)** DEs loaded with POPC LUVs pre-stained with Dil (inner phase; red fluorescent filter). Scale 100 µm.

**Figure 4. Stability of loaded double emulsions (DEs) over time at room temperature (RT).**

- **a)** Encapsulation of hydrophobic cargo in the intermediate phase. The percentage of remaining DEs carrying Dil in the intermediate phase and the average size, in µm, observed through time at RT (n=3; total number of DEs at D0=37,492, +/-SEM; normalized to the maximum value).  
- **b)** Encapsulation of 100nm large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs) (0,02mM POPC) in the inner phase (n=3; 10,863 DEs; +/-SEM). Insets show representative fluorescence (filter: ex 546 nm/em 572nm) and bright-field images of DEs at the indicated time points. Black line, radius (µm), +/- SD. Scale bar, 200 µm. Statistical significance from D0 (Student T-test): p<0.05 (*); p<0.01(**); p<0.005 (***); p<0,001 (****).
and 79% reduction at pH 2 (significantly different from pH 7, Figure 5.d).

Stability of unloaded and loaded DEs under mechanical stimulus. The effect of flow and mechanical forces is relevant for applications involving the gastrointestinal tract due to its peristaltic properties. Mechanical stress is also known to affect the transition from a meta-stable to a thermodynamically stable state\(^5\). For this reason, DEs were subjected to mechanical forces caused by a stop-flow regimen made by back-and-forth cycles with an abrupt change in direction at the edges. The estimated flow rates were calculated for each pressure: 14 mbar = 0.5 mL/min; 20 mbar= 1 mL/min; 30 mbar= 2 mL/min. Up to 50 back and forth cycles, DE numbers remained similar to starting values, then numbers decreased about 30% for each of the three applied pressures by the 100th cycle (Figure 6.a). DEs loaded with LUVs presented a similar behaviour for 14 mbar and 20 mbar, but were considerably more sensitive to the pressure of 30 mbar, with only around 35% of the initial number of DEs remaining by the last cycle.

Discussion

Microfluidics as a highly suitable method for reproducible DE production

DEs with diameters in the micrometre range are well-suited for oral administration and have been reported for both pharmaceutical\(^4\) and food\(^65\) applications. Monodispersity is particularly crucial for drug delivery systems, because it improves reproducibility and provides increased control over encapsulation, allowing for a more homogeneous distribution of cargo\(^24,66\).

The standard two-step emulsification production method results in considerably large size distributions, \(e.g., 1 \text{ to } 100 \mu\text{m}\)\(^67\) or 1 to 500 \(\mu\text{m}\)\(^65\). Attempts to improve the monodispersity of two-step emulsification samples usually include extra post-production steps (\(i.e., extrusion through polycarbonate membranes\(^15\) or a multi-purification step\(^68\)) which adds complexity and may limit the flexibility of the formulation. The DEs presented in this work highlight the advantage of microfluidics in producing monodisperse double emulsions with a simple setup, without any post-production step, with high encapsulation efficiencies, directly in the targeted size for oral drug delivery systems.

Environmental conditions affect loaded and unloaded DEs differently

As a potential oral drug delivery system, DEs will be subjected to environmental conditions, including different pH and flow regimens of the gastrointestinal tract. For example, the pH of saliva is between 6.3 and 7.6\(^69\); the stomach pH is 2 or lower; and the intestine pH varies from 6.6 to 8\(^70\).

As DEs are less dense than the outer solution and float, they could not easily be trapped to enable the exchange of the pH solution via continuous flow. Thus, pH was adjusted by the
addition of a droplet of pH solution to a droplet of DEs. While carefully done, this provided a disruptive physical force to the DEs on the slide. Even unloaded DEs responded to this force by losing about 30% of their number at pH 7. Their reduction in number was not a consequence of doubling the volume, as all volumes were small enough that the entire content was counted in each case.

Unloaded DEs were stable across physiological pH, with increased sensitivity to the extremes. On the one hand, an optimization of the formulation, such as using a different surfactant or higher concentration of glycerol in the inner phase, might improve stability at lower pH so DEs are better equipped to pass through the acidic environment of the stomach. However, the instability to a specific pH observed here could be leveraged as a trigger for localized drug delivery.

Loaded DEs behaved differently depending on the location and type of the cargo. When loaded with DiI in the intermediate phase, DEs were more resistant to high pH than unloaded DEs, suggesting that the properties of the cargo can be leveraged to improve stability at higher pH. On the other hand, DEs loaded with LUVs were considerably more sensitive to the increased disturbance of the addition of the pH solution and to the range of pH as well, losing more than 60% of initial numbers in all cases. Interestingly, LUV-loaded DEs presented no significant difference from pH 7 at high pH, similarly to DiI-loaded DEs, although they were more sensitive to low pH than the two other cases. These results show that this formulation is particularly sensitive to low pH, which can be accentuated by the presence of the cargo. Therefore, further optimization is needed in order to render this particular formulation apt to withstand the conditions it would face as an oral drug delivery system.

Oral drug delivery systems are subjected to the fluid flow and mechanical forces of the gastrointestinal tract. The recirculation experiments were designed to reproduce, to some extent, the environment and respective forces that would be applied to and/or felt by DEs. Here, DEs were not subjected to direct shear stress because the height of the channel was approximately four-fold larger than the diameter of the DEs, so they could flow freely with the fluid, as is expected to happen in the body. The dimensions of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract are orders of magnitude larger than the size of the DEs, so they are not expected to be constricted or to experience direct shear.
stress on the surface\textsuperscript{29}. However, DEs are expected to experience mechanical stress as they flow along the tract, such as drops, stops, turns and turbulence\textsuperscript{30}. To reproduce this in microfluidics, we introduced a sudden change in the direction of flow, so the DEs would feel as if they were hitting a wall. The chosen pressures, and consequently, the flow rates, are in the same order of magnitude as the lowest flow rates of the GI tract (2 to 3.6 mL/min in the duodenum and jejunum\textsuperscript{30}).

It is not surprising that the highest forces produced the biggest disruption, as LUV-loaded DEs were consistently more sensitive than the other two formulations in all tested conditions in this work. More interestingly, LUV-loaded DEs handled the two lower pressures as well as unloaded DEs, suggesting that they might withstand these external stresses as oral drug delivery systems once the formulation is optimized. In that light, it is important to consider the properties of the cargo and osmotic ratio of inner and outer solutions early in the design, so that DEs are tailored to best fulfill the intended final application.

**Cargo has considerable effect on long-term DE stability**

The required stability for DEs to be used as oral drug delivery systems is largely dependent on the final application, however their actual stability depends on the cargo. For perspective, the Pfizer-BioNTech mRNA vaccine (a lipid nanoparticle, not a DE) is stable for up to five days at 2–8°C and only 2–6 h at room temperature\textsuperscript{31}. Stability of our unloaded DEs lay within this time span at fridge-based storage conditions (eight days, 4°C) and presented substantial resistance to size change or aggregation at conditions favourable to distribution and administration of a therapeutic (five days, RT). However, when loaded with cargo, the time spans at RT were significantly reduced (DI, one day; LUVs, 2 h), demonstrating the large impact of cargo on DE stability. As exemplified by the Pfizer-BioNTech case, these time spans could still be feasible for real-world applications, although they call for complex distribution chains.

The decrease in size and swelling of the intermediate phase are expected to play an important role in the release profile of encapsulated compounds. This impact is demonstrated by studies that varied the shell thickness of core-shell microparticles, templated from double emulsions. Microparticles with thicker shells had a slower release of compounds present in the inner phase\textsuperscript{31,37}. However, the release rate across lipid/octanol shells and the release profile when the thickness increases over time, as seen here, are less well known. The swelling of the intermediate phase is likely due to the partial miscibility of octanol in water (0.54 g/L)\textsuperscript{39}. Octanol has recently gained relevance as a suitable intermediate phase when paired with lipids to form giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) after dewetting from double emulsions templates\textsuperscript{29,40,57,80}. In our work, the octanol was intended to stay in the intermediate layer as part of the oral delivery system because it is an FDA-approved food additive\textsuperscript{41} that has already been studied for topical delivery systems\textsuperscript{42,83}. Therefore, the necessary conditions for the octanol dewetting, such as a given lipid concentration\textsuperscript{41} and external stress\textsuperscript{40,84}, were purposefully not met.

To broaden the range of potential applications, the properties of the lipid monolayer and of each of the solutions can be tuned for improved stability. For example, different concentrations of lipids (e.g. 4% against 8% of phospholipids) have been shown to improve the long-term stability of DEs by maintaining their size and morphology constant for up to 30 days at 4°C\textsuperscript{87}, or different concentrations/combinations of surfactants\textsuperscript{9,35,85}. On a pioneering work, Bibette et al., 1998\textsuperscript{38} demonstrated that by changing the concentration of the hydrophilic surfactant, the stability of the double emulsions could be varied from a few minutes to months. Another approach is to use DEs as a template for other types of compartments, such as GUVs\textsuperscript{29,40,57,80}, LUVs\textsuperscript{86–88} or microparticles\textsuperscript{33,36,51}, although this increases the complexity of the process, since one or more post-production steps are required.

**Localization and properties of cargo are important parameters for DE drug delivery**

It is becoming well accepted that cargo plays a huge role in the physical properties and stability of encapsulated therapeutics, including micro- and nano- formulations\textsuperscript{39,90}. This applies also to DEs, in particular because they can disrupt or enhance the overall stability of the metastable system\textsuperscript{32}. In the case of DI as the hydrophobic cargo, DE stability was affected in terms of morphological changes, i.e. coalescence of the intermediate phase.

There are various factors that can be at play. The arrangement of the hydrophobic cargo between the lipid molecules or monolayers can affect the behaviour of the membrane. DI, for example, is located slightly below the water interface with a lipid monolayer, with the long alkyl tails parallel to the lipid molecules. Detailed studies about the location and arrangement of DI in lipid membranes have shown that the presence of DI increases the order around the lipid molecules, suggesting a stabilizing effect\textsuperscript{60,92}. This effect might have played a role in avoiding the simple bursting of the DEs and promoting coalescence due to a decrease in the surface tension.

The encapsulation of LUVs in the inner phase caused a drastic decrease in the long-term stability, reducing it from days to hours. The main reason for this might be the hypertonic inner phase. The works using a hypertonic inner phase in two-step emulsification also reported a thicker oil layer around the hypertonic DEs, while microfluidics allows for a higher degree of control and thus, the possibility of combining a hypertonic inner phase with a thin oil layer. The thin oil layer is also expected to increase stability because it hinders transport across the oil layer\textsuperscript{37,93}, however the combination of both might have been detrimental. Nevertheless, the LUV-loaded DEs had stability equivalent to that of the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine at RT, which indicates a potential for application as an oral drug delivery system. Besides, the production of hypertonic DEs opens the possibility to use osmotic triggers for regional release of drugs\textsuperscript{86}. Further work should explore the ratio of hypertonicity between inner and outer solutions and its effect on the long-term stability of DEs.
Conclusions

Lipid-stabilized DEs were successfully produced via microfluidics in PDMS chips, fabricated without the need of a cleanroom. DEs were monodisperse and allowed for the encapsulation of molecules of different properties in specific compartments. The stability through time was inversely proportional to temperature. When encapsulating a compound of interest, the long-term stability at RT decreased substantially from several days to hours. This behaviour highlights the importance of considering the properties of cargo early on in the formulation.

When exposed to pH, unloaded DEs were only significantly unstable at the extremes (pH 1 and 13) which are outside the physiological ranges. Dil-loaded and LUV-loaded DEs were more sensitive to acidic pH, although LUV-loaded DEs were overall more unstable to the stress than the other experimental conditions. When exposed to mechanical stress, LUV-loaded DEs behaved similarly to unloaded DEs at the lower pressures and were more sensitive to higher pressures. This indicates that the high instability to the pH conditions might be linked to the osmotic imbalance more than the mechanical stress caused by the experimental setup.

Together, these results suggest that lipid-stabilized DEs produced via microfluidics could be tailored to endure physiologically relevant conditions and act as carriers for oral drug delivery. Further work should focus on the combined effect of different stresses on DE stability, e.g. combined effect of temperature and pH, since these conditions do not happen in isolation in real settings. Also, similar to other drug delivery systems, the cargo in DEs carriers should be actively considered as an integral part of the formulation design for better outcomes. Thus, special attention should be given to the composition and interplay of solutions and molecules involved in the final formulation from the start.

Data availability

Underlying data


This project contains the following underlying data:
- LUVs static control_summary.xlsx

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).
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