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Abstract
Vector-borne diseases affecting livestock have serious impacts in Africa. Trypanosomosis is caused by parasites transmitted by tsetse flies and other blood-sucking Diptera. The animal form of the disease is a scourge for African livestock keepers, is already present in Latin America and Asia, and has the potential to spread further. A human form of the disease also exists, known as human African trypanosomosis or sleeping sickness. Controlling and progressively minimizing the burden of animal trypanosomosis (COMBAT) is a four-year research and innovation project funded by the European Commission, whose ultimate goal is to reduce the burden of animal trypanosomosis (AT) in Africa. The project builds on the progressive control pathway (PCP), a risk-based, step-wise approach to disease reduction or elimination. COMBAT will strengthen AT control and prevention by improving basic knowledge of AT, developing innovative control tools, reinforcing surveillance, rationalizing control strategies, building capacity, and raising awareness. Knowledge gaps on disease epidemiology, vector ecology and competence, and biological aspects of trypanotolerant livestock will be addressed. Environmentally friendly vector control technologies and more effective and adapted diagnostic tools will be developed. Surveillance will be enhanced by developing information systems, strengthening reporting, and mapping and modelling disease risk in Africa and beyond. The socio-economic burden of AT will be assessed at a range of geographical scales. Guidelines for the PCP and harmonized national control strategies and roadmaps will be developed. Gender equality and ethics will be pivotal in all project activities. The COMBAT project benefits from the expertise of African and European research institutions, national veterinary authorities, and international organizations. The project consortium comprises 21 participants, including a geographically balanced representation from 13 African countries, and it will engage a larger number of AT-affected countries through regional initiatives.
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This article is included in the Societal Challenges gateway.
The focus was on infections that seriously affect the African continent and that could pose a threat to Europe. Proposals were required to address: (i) vector competence and ecology, and vector-pathogen interactions; (ii) the association between host immunity, pre-existing immunity, immunization and pathogen distribution; (iii) vulnerability to infection of different livestock species and breeds; (iv) new diagnostics targeting either antibodies or pathogens; (v) tools for disease prevention; (vi) risk mapping, including the risk of spread; (vii) assessment of the socio-economic burden of the disease; and (viii) monitoring tools and reinforced surveillance.

Projects were expected to promote prevention, control and minimization of vector-transmitted animal diseases. More specific expected impacts included the delivery of performant diagnostics suited for field use, reinforcement of disease surveillance for improved knowledge of incidence and impacts, enhanced estimation of the risk for geographical expansion of the disease, appropriate estimation of disease burden, rationalization and improved targeting of disease control.

In response to the call, the project “Controlling and progressively Minimizing the Burden of Animal Trypanosomosis” (COMBAT) was developed (https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/101000467).

Vector-borne animal trypanosomosis

Vector-borne animal trypanosomosis (AT) is a group of diseases caused by various unicellular protozoan parasites (Trypanosoma spp.). In its different forms, AT occurs in virtually all countries in Africa. Trypanosoma brucei, T. congolense, T. vivax and T. simiae are transmitted cyclically by tsetse flies (Glossina spp.), causing the form of the disease called ‘nagana’ (Diall et al., 2017; OIE, 2021). T. vivax can also be transmitted mechanically by other biting flies such as stable flies (Stomoxys) and horseflies (Tabanids), which have enabled it to spread to Latin America (Gonzatti et al., 2014) and, as recently reported, Asia (Asghari & Rassoul, 2022). These other biting flies also transmit T. evansi, the causative agent of ‘surra’, a disease that is endemic in large parts of Africa, Asia and Latin America, and also present in the Canary Islands (Spain) (Aregawi et al., 2019). Surra incursions have been reported in continental Europe (Gutierrez et al., 2010) but, despite the presence of competent vectors, establishment and further spread have so far been avoided thanks to an effective response and reinforced surveillance (Desquesnes et al., 2009; Tamarit et al., 2010). Livestock and wild animals can also act as reservoirs for human-infective trypanosomes causing human African trypanosomosis [HAT, also known as ‘sleeping sickness’ (Büsch er et al., 2018; Büscher et al., 2017)], a deadly neglected tropical disease that caused ravaging epidemics in the 20th century. Over 50 million people are at risk of contracting HAT in some twenty countries in Africa (Franco et al., 2022), including tourists and travellers from around the world (Simarro et al., 2012).

In the past twenty years, progress in AT control has been limited. This is in contrast to the great strides made against HAT, which is now targeted for elimination by the World Health Organization (WHO) (WHO, 2020a). Animal trypanosomosis still kills or reduces the productivity of millions of cattle and other livestock in Africa, while thirty-five million doses of trypanocidal drugs are estimated to be administered every year. Economic losses to livestock producers due to AT are estimated in billions of USD, with further losses occurring within the agricultural sector as a whole (Shaw et al., 2017).

There are many reasons why AT continues to afflict African livestock keepers and hamper food security (Diall et al., 2017). First, the disease is complex, with several species of hosts, parasites, and vectors, a reservoir of infections in wildlife, and a range of unanswered epidemiological questions. Second, existing control tools are not up to the challenge, with no vaccine, sub-optimal vector control tools, no cost-effective pen-side diagnostic, and long outdated drugs associated with the problems of counterfeiting and drug resistance. Third, AT control...
is hampered by weak surveillance systems, insufficient socio-economic data on its impact, and poor strategic planning. In addition, farmers and veterinary services have limited access to state-of-the-art technology, and, when technology is available, they often lack the expertise to apply it effectively. Finally, and crucially, most AT-affected countries are low-income countries, with limited resources to control endemic livestock diseases, and a low level of awareness of decision-makers, donors and national veterinary authorities.

The Project COMBAT
To have a lasting, continent-wide impact on the burden of AT, the COMBAT project will implement a series of coordinated activities tackling a wide range of challenges: acquisition of epidemiological knowledge to inform decision-makers, development of innovative tools, risk mapping and modelling, socio-economic assessments, capacity building, advocacy, and stakeholders’ engagement. The project will also develop internationally agreed guidelines for the progressive control of AT and streamline them into national strategies and policies.

COMBAT uses the Progressive Control Pathway (PCP) for AT as its overarching strategic framework (see Box), and the project will enable international organizations, veterinary authorities and researchers to fully develop and apply the approach.

Box: The progressive control pathway for AT
Scarcity of rational, evidence-based strategies is one of the obstacles to sustainable AT control. It is to remove this hurdle that the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and its partners promoted the development of the progressive control pathway (PCP) for AT (Diall et al., 2017). Progressive control pathways are risk-based approaches to structure the road to disease reduction or freedom through a series of achievable steps. They are widely recognized as effective conceptual frameworks to tackle a number of diseases of animals and humans (e.g. foot-and-mouth-disease (Sumption et al., 2012), peste des petits ruminants (PPR), rabies, brucellosis). They are also increasingly applied in other domains such as fisheries and anti-microbial resistance. Notably, PCPs are used by a broad range of national health authorities and international stakeholders [e.g. FAO, World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), World Health Organization (WHO), International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), and African Union (AU)].

The PCP for AT includes five stages. The pre-entry level (below stage 1) emphasizes political and institutional commitment. Stage 1 focuses on mapping disease risk and impacts, development of capacities and strategies, prioritization of intervention areas and pilot control activities. Stage 2 aims at sustainable and economically profitable reduction in AT. Stages 3 to 5 target disease elimination, if and where the goal is technically feasible and socio-economically justifiable. Within a country, different areas can be at different stages of the PCP.

While each stage of the PCP focuses on a set of specific activities and objectives, five technical and managerial areas cut across all stages: (i) coordination and stakeholders’ engagement, (ii) establishment and maintenance of an enabling environment, (iii) data collection, management and analysis, (iv) capacity development and (v) AT surveillance and control activities.

While the foundations of the PCP have been laid out (Diall et al., 2017), implementation guidelines are still lacking, and further efforts are needed for the concept to be translated into practice at the country level.

The COMBAT project is structured into four thematic pillars (Figure 1): (1) improved knowledge of AT epidemiology (i.e. vector-parasite-host-environment interactions), (2) development of innovative control tools, (3) disease risk mapping, surveillance and evidence-based control strategies, and (4) reinforced capacities and engagement of stakeholders. Data collection, analysis and storage for evidence-based decision making underpin all COMBAT activities.

Improved knowledge of vector-parasite-host-environment interactions (pillar 1)
Important gaps remain in our knowledge of the actors of AT epidemiological cycles, that is, vectors, parasites and their hosts, livestock and wildlife alike, and the environment. Tsetse flies have been extensively studied, but the ecological picture is changing rapidly because of population growth, climate and land cover change. On the other hand, little is known about the biology and competence of mechanical vectors, although they pose a high risk for disease spread. Furthermore, the mechanisms and genetic underpinnings of trypanotolerance are poorly known, even though tolerant breeds can be a viable option for livestock rearing in enzootic areas while also contributing to the conservation of biodiversity. Regarding trypanocidal drug resistance, its molecular mechanisms still need to be elucidated. Through fundamental and applied research using cutting-edge technology, COMBAT will improve knowledge of the interactions between key players of AT in their environment.

Chemical ecology of AT vectors. In tsetse flies, studies on chemical ecology have mainly focused on host-vector interactions (Gibson & Torr, 1999), e.g. chemical attractants mimicking the odour of hosts to enhance the efficiency of traps and targets (Rayaisse et al., 2010), or olfactory repellents to develop protective devices (Saimi et al., 2017). However, to date there are no tsetse-control tools targeting gravid females, which could remove adult flies as well as their larvae. Also, mating and larviposition behaviours are governed by a combination of olfactory and visual stimuli (Gimonneau et al., 2021). The COMBAT project will identify and evaluate the chemicals used by tsetse flies for mating and larviposition, which could offer opportunities for behavioural manipulations and the development of highly specific vector control tools targeting males and gravid females.

In Stomoxys, oviposition is mediated by compounds that induce oviposition in specific sites or substrates (Baleba et al., 2020) and mating behaviour is mediated by female attractants that lure male insects. Some compounds have been tested with promising results in the field to boost trap efficiency and others are still to be identified (Baleba et al., 2019). COMBAT will optimize the use of these semiochemicals for the control of stable flies, including a suitable formulation for gradual release.

Tsetse population genetics. Population genetics is an effective tool to assess whether a given tsetse population is isolated from neighbouring ones. Given the risk of reinvasion, information on the level of isolation is crucial to establish whether local tsetse elimination, also referred to as ‘local eradication’, could be a suitable strategy in a potential intervention area (Bouyer et al., 2015). The COMBAT project will assess tsetse
Isolation by measuring gene flow in selected areas where elimination is considered (Solano et al., 2010).

In an area of Côte d’Ivoire, a selection signature has been observed at a microsatellite allele during a tsetse control campaign (Berté et al., 2019). This suggests that, through an unknown mechanism, tsetse control may select for the emergence of resistant flies. COMBAT will further investigate this phenomenon.

Finally, when the sterile insect technique (SIT) is used, the release of sterile male tsetse needs to be sustained until all wild flies have been eliminated (Vreysen et al., 2000). In this kind of vector control operation, entomological surveys allow the evaluation of survival and competitiveness of sterile males. This is normally done by marking sterile male flies with a fluorescent dye, an imperfect technique because of the possibility of insufficient marking or because of dye contamination between sterile and wild flies in the traps. COMBAT will further develop genetic markers to differentiate more accurately wild from sterile male flies of the same species (Pagabeleguem et al., 2016).

Ecology and vector competence of mechanical vectors of AT. Tabanids and Stomoxys are cosmopolitan hematophagous flies (Baldacchino et al., 2018) that act as mechanical vectors of blood pathogens. Because of interrupted feeding, and since their infectivity is short-lived, tabanids can cause ‘intra-herd transmission’. In Stomoxys, the ingested blood can be directed towards the gut or towards the crop, and survival of trypanosomes in the crop allows transmission at one- to three-day interval (Foil & Hogsette, 1994), with the possibility of ‘inter-herd transmission’. The phenomenon has been described in experimental conditions (Baldacchino et al., 2013), but investigations are needed to determine vector competence. COMBAT will determine the conditions for delayed mechanical transmission, i.e. directing blood towards the crop, blood volume, the trypanosomes survival time in the crop, and regurgitation using laboratory reared Stomoxys and rodents experimentally infected by T. evansi as a model. This knowledge will be used to tackle trypanosome transmission in the presence of Stomoxys.

Livestock genetic diversity and trypanotolerance. The diversity of livestock breeds in Africa is high, and it has been shaped over millennia by human usage and the environment. Animal
trypanosomosis also played a role, and a high variability exists in susceptibility to the disease (Murray et al., 1990). West African taurine breeds (e.g. humpless cattle like N’Dama and Lagune) can tolerate the pathogenic effects of AT, hence the term ‘trypanotolerant’. By contrast, zebu (humped cattle), European breeds and their crossbreeds are generally susceptible to AT. Because of this differential susceptibility, a better characterization and exploitation of animal genetic resources could help mitigate the impacts of AT (Berthier et al., 2015; FAO, 2007; Mwai et al., 2015; Naessen, 2006). Knowledge of livestock species and breeds has improved in recent years (Bahbahan et al., 2017), but important gaps remain, and the availability of data varies greatly between countries, areas, and livestock species. The COMBAT project will characterize populations of six livestock species (i.e. cattle, sheep, goats, pigs, donkeys and horses), and link them to AT epidemiological data and production systems. Genomic regions harbouring selection signatures will be identified and compared to public data on other livestock populations (Gautier et al., 2009; Mekonnen et al., 2019; Serranito et al., 2021), quantitative traits loci (Hanotte et al., 2003), and functional information, to propose candidate genes linked to trypanotolerance.

On a related topic, the functional and molecular bases of cattle trypanotolerance still puzzle researchers despite advances in genetics (Álvarez et al., 2016a; Álvarez et al., 2016b; Noyes et al., 2011), transcriptomics (Berthier et al., 2008; Hill et al., 2005) and immunology (O’Gorman et al., 2009; O’Gorman et al., 2006; Sileghem et al., 1993). While we know that immune response and metabolism are intertwined (Donnelly & Finlay, 2015), a joint comparative analysis of immunological and metabolic responses to trypanosome infection in tolerant and susceptible breeds is lacking. COMBAT will monitor AT infection and immunological and metabolic responses in N’Dama, the best-known trypanotolerant breed in West-Africa, in susceptible zebu breeds, together with crosses between local breeds and exotic (European) cattle, frequently encountered in peri-urban farms. In Uganda, COMBAT will verify the hypothesised trypanotolerance of a local breed living under high tsetse challenge in the north-eastern part of the country, by comparing its phenotypic features under trypanosome infection with the more common Ankole cattle, known for its susceptibility.

Another important gap in our knowledge concerns the infectious potential for tsetse flies of susceptible versus trypanotolerant cattle (Moloo et al., 1999), and whether the latter can act as a reservoir for infection. COMBAT will explore the role of trypanotolerant versus trypanosusceptible livestock in the epidemiology of AT by testing whether tsetse flies have the same probability to acquire trypanosomes when feeding on experimentally infected tolerant versus susceptible cattle.

**Ecological associations shaping the transmission cycle.** Finally, the combined knowledge of a range of epidemiological variables such as vectors’ feeding behaviour, diversity of infecting trypanosomes, favoured ecotypes, and level of insecticide-resistance, can inform AT control. Metabarcoding (i.e. amplicon-based new generation sequencing), starting from the vectors as biological material, can identify these ecological associations, which shape the transmission cycle of vector-borne pathogens (Hernández-Andrade et al., 2019). This approach enabled the transmission cycles of other vector-borne pathogens to be unravelled (Du monteil et al., 2018), and within COMBAT it will be applied to AT.

**Innovative control tools (pillar 2)** Current tools for the control of AT suffer from a number of shortcomings. COMBAT aims to develop new tools that are performant, appropriately formatted for the settings in which they will be used, and affordable. Environmentally friendly vector control tools will be developed targeting both tsetse and mechanical vectors. Specificity and standardization of diagnostic tools for surveillance at the herd level will be improved for broader adoption at the field level. Tools for the detection of active infections at the individual level allowing subsequent rational chemotherapy will be developed following the REASSURED criteria (i.e. Real-time connectivity, Ease of specimen collection, Affordability, Sensitivity, Specificity, User-friendliness, Rapidity and robustness, be Equipment free, and Deliverable to end-users) (Land et al., 2019). A new treatment with potential to clear infections with trypanosomes that are refractory to the existing drugs will be tested (Akama et al., 2018).

**Vector control and surveillance.** The main tools for the control of tsetse flies are insecticide treated cattle and attracting devices made, notably, of blue and/or black fabric. The latter can either capture tsetse (i.e. traps, without insecticide) or kill them (i.e. targets, impregnated with insecticide). Traps come in different designs, but they all rely on visual and/or olfactory cues to attract the flies (Torr & Solano, 2010). Targets are simplified pieces of the same attractive cloth, which are impregnated with insecticide, normally synthetic pyrethroids. Targets, and in particular tiny targets, are also used to support the elimination of gambiense HAT (FAO & WHO, 2022). Unfortunately, the availability of these tools against tsetse is limited in the rural areas where they are most needed, and the development of traps and targets for mechanical vectors such as Stomoxys spp. and Tabanus spp. has been neglected. However, new promising polyethylene targets have been recently developed for the combined control of tsetse, tabanids and Stomoxys spp. (Desquesnes et al., 2021). The COMBAT project will improve and validate new models of traps and targets that are cheaper, user-friendly, robust, more environmentally friendly and effective against both tsetse and mechanical vectors. COMBAT will also develop new insecticide-free, biodegradable devices.

In addition to stationary baits (i.e. traps and targets), insecticide-treated cattle represent an important tool to control biting flies and ticks, as animals act as mobile baits. However, direct insecticide application on livestock can lead to contamination of animal products, and thus poses hazards to human and environmental health (Mann et al., 2015; Vale et al., 2004). COMBAT will test ecologically sound plant extracts for their insecticidal or repellent activity against tsetse flies, including their mode of application on cattle and the duration of activity.
COMBAT will also work on vector surveillance tools. Trapping tsetse flies for surveys is laborious, costly and may be risky for field workers, particularly in conservation areas. Drones have previously been used to monitor and/or control different insect species, and they have also been used for the release of sterile tsetse flies in the context of SIT. COMBAT will attempt to customize drones for tsetse trapping.

Another challenge in vector surveillance is detecting the presence of tsetse when their population densities are very low, as would be expected in the event of a successful tsetse control campaign. In these contexts, exposure of cattle to tsetse can be established from the presence of tsetse saliva antibodies (Somda et al., 2016). However, native whole saliva antigens present several limitations, including mass production, reproducibility, specificity and sensitivity. COMBAT will explore replacement of whole saliva antigens by synthetic peptides.

**AT diagnosis and epidemiological surveillance.** There exist several diagnostic tests for AT, but they all have their shortcomings.

Parasitological tests, which are currently the most widely used, have low sensitivity, particularly in the chronic phase of the disease. They are also time-consuming and ill-adapted to individual diagnosis (Desquesnes et al., 2022). DNA detection techniques are more sensitive, but the cost and the need for trained technicians and a laboratory environment limit their application (Büscher & Deborggraeve, 2015). Even simplified formats such as loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) and recombinase polymerase amplification lateral flow assays (Li et al., 2020), remain too technical for large scale application.

As to the detection of trypanosome-specific antibodies, the present antibody-ELISA tests mainly rely on whole parasite lysates as antigens, and they are useful for AT surveillance, including for monitoring control campaigns (Adam et al., 2012; Sow et al., 2013). However, in addition to ethical concerns associated with the infection and killing of large numbers of rodents for trypanosomal lysate production, there are issues related to antigen standardisation, stability and test reproducibility. On the one hand, COMBAT will standardize the antibody-ELISA test through antigen produced from parasites grown in vitro, followed by lyophilisation for stabilisation. The inclusion of standardized sera as internal stabilised controls will ensure reproducibility. Furthermore, tests based on total parasite proteins as antigen are usually sensitive but may lack specificity. By contrast, the use of recombinant proteins tends to increase specificity, at the cost of sensitivity, but allows standardisation. COMBAT will therefore also exploit this principle and investigate the use of a combination of several recombinant proteins, either as mixture or in the form of genetically engineered chimeras.

Contrary to antibody detection, which can indicate either past or present contact with trypanosomes, detection of trypanosome antigens identifies active infection, and can inform treatment decisions. The principle of the detection of parasite products in the host fluids remains a valid proposition, even though the antigen detection ELISAs for AT developed in the past had unsatisfactory diagnostic performances (Eisler et al., 1998). COMBAT will develop two innovations in the detection of trypanosome antigens: i) the capture of a *T. congolense* target enzyme, followed by detection through its enzymatic activity; and ii) the coupling of nanobodies with a radio frequency identification (RFID) sensor format. Both are well suited to adaptation into a rapid diagnostic format for point-of-care diagnosis.

**Rhodesiense human African trypanosomosis.** Two subspecies of *T. brucei* cause HAT: *T. b. gambiense* and *T. b. rhodesiense* (Büscher et al., 2017). The rhodesiense form of the disease is a zoonosis, with reservoirs in both domestic and wild animals (WHO, 2013). Screening tests for gambiense HAT exist, and they detect specific antibodies. Seropositive individuals are subsequently examined microscopically, and those confirmed are treated (WHO, 2013). For rhodesiense HAT, no simple screening test exists, and infections are mostly detected during microscopic examination of blood smears for malaria. However, malaria rapid diagnostic tests are increasingly replacing microscopy, with negative impacts on the detection of rhodesiense HAT (Franco et al., 2020). Because of this, WHO considers the development of a screening test for rhodesiense HAT a priority (WHO, 2020b). COMBAT will develop antigen detection tests that are *Trypanozoon* specific (*T. evansi* + *T. brucei* spp.), which can be used to detect *T. b. rhodesiense* in humans.

**Chemotherapy and drug resistance.** The two main drugs that are used for the treatment or prophylaxis of AT in cattle are diminazene aceturate and isometamidium chloride (Giordani et al., 2016). However, these compounds have been extensively used, often misused, and frequently counterfeited for decades, which caused the emergence of drug resistance. The problem has been reported from more than 20 countries. Still, the exact gene or genes that are responsible for resistance are not known, which hinders the development of a molecular detection test (Delespaux et al., 2006; Munday et al., 2013). COMBAT will collect trypanosomes, perform full genome sequencing and annotation of both resistant and susceptible isolates, followed by comparative analysis. The genes or gene mutations responsible for resistance to trypanocides will be identified and analysed in an effort to identify diagnostic genetic markers for single or multiple resistance. Efforts will focus chiefly on *T. congoense* from West, East and Southern Africa, and to a lesser extent on *T. evansi* from Sudan, while other trypanosomes species may be considered.

Developing new, better treatments against AT would also greatly contribute towards control of the disease and curb drug resistance. Compounds in the benzoxaborole family act by inhibiting the parasite’s mRNA processing (Wall et al., 2018), and these compounds show high in vitro activity against *T. congoense*, *T. vivax*, *T. brucei* and *T. evansi* (Akama et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2010). COMBAT will examine the efficacy of this class of compounds against resistant strains in vivo.
Risk-based control strategies (pillar 3)
Decision-making in the progressive control of AT must be based on the assessment of the risk and impact of the disease, and on rational control strategies. In particular, there is a need for improved mapping of AT and its vectors, reinforced surveillance, and up-to-date estimations of the socio-economic disease burden and the risk of spread. Based on this evidence, strategies for disease control at the national level can be developed, which would benefit from harmonized international guidelines. All these activities will be tackled by COMBAT.

Disease mapping, surveillance systems and risk of spread.
Despite the vast amount of data collected in the field over the years, Africa-wide AT maps are absent and continental tsetse maps are long out-of-date (Ford & Katondo, 1977). Comprehensive national-level datasets are also lacking in most countries. To address these gaps, the continental atlas of tsetse and AT is being developed (Cecchi et al., 2015; Cecchi et al., 2014; de Gier et al., 2020), and the adaptation and uptake of the methodology at the national level is being promoted in several countries (Ahmed et al., 2016; Diarra et al., 2019; Ngari et al., 2020; Percoma et al., 2022; Shereni et al., 2021). COMBAT will complete the development of the continental atlas, extend it to surra (T. evansi), and develop, enhance or update the national atlases in project countries.

Disease surveillance and reporting is weak in many AT-affected countries. In particular, reporting is often patchy, slow, and still relies on hand-written hard copy recording sheets. Data entry errors are frequent and quality control is limited. Furthermore, AT surveillance may be totally absent in certain areas, especially beyond the tsetse belt. COMBAT will strengthen surveillance by identifying and filling gaps in its geographical coverage, reinforcing capacities for data collection, harmonizing reporting formats, promoting quality control protocols and strengthening data management and digitization. The use of information technology, including mobile communications, Global Positioning System (GPS) and Geographic Information System (GIS) will be broadened for speedier, error-free reporting. Stakeholders, including field actors, will also be engaged and sensitized to AT surveillance.

Animal trypanosomosis and its vectors may already be present in many areas in Africa where surveillance is poor or non-existent, and global change could also enable them to spread into currently unaffected areas. Tsetse may also have disappeared from previously-infested areas (Courtin et al., 2010). In Europe, mechanically-transmitted AT has been present in the Canary Islands (Spain) for many years with the T. evansi form (surra) (Gutierrez et al., 2000), and incursions in mainland Europe have been reported (Gutierrez et al., 2010). Trypanosoma vivax, which spread to Latin America long ago, was recently reported from Asia for the first time (Asghari & Rassouli, 2022), and potentially-competent mechanical vectors are widely present in Europe. COMBAT will use ecological-niche modelling and up-to-date, comprehensive field data from atlases to predict where AT and its vectors may be occurring undetected within African countries, and where they may spread in the future. The risk of entry and exposure in continental Europe will be assessed with a view to informing risk mitigation.

Socio-economic burden of AT. Estimates of the AT burden in Africa are outdated and based on sparse data (Kristjanson et al., 1999). Knowledge at the national and sub-national level is also poor. This constrains cost-effective targeting of control activities and weakens the commitment of decision makers. COMBAT will assess the burden of the disease at the continental level and, in selected countries, at the national and local level. These assessments will benefit from comprehensive disease datasets developed by the project (i.e. the atlases). Estimates of the burden of AT will inform strategic decisions on where disease control is most needed and provide a baseline to measure progress in disease control. Furthermore, COMBAT will investigate whether vector control activities against gambiense HAT in two countries, Chad (Rayaisse et al., 2020) and Côte d’Ivoire (Kaba et al., 2021), have had positive impacts on AT, in an effort to document the One-Health benefits of trypanosomosis control.

Guidelines for the progressive control pathway and national strategies. Decision-making in AT control requires complex choices to be made in relation to goals, strategies, tactics, tools, and stakeholders’ priorities. These choices carry important technical and financial implications, and they ultimately determine the level of success, cost-effectiveness and sustain-ability of the interventions. The PCP approach was adapted to AT to help tackle these strategic challenges (Diall et al., 2017), but implementation guidelines are still lacking. Furthermore, and crucially, veterinary authorities have yet to operationalize the approach at the national level. COMBAT will generate internationally agreed guidelines for the PCP for AT, and it will improve awareness and knowledge of the approach. At the country level, the project will promote the development of PCP-smart national strategies and the related implementation roadmaps.

Technical capacities and institutional commitment (pillar 4)
Livestock keepers, veterinary services and AT control programmes often use out dated or suboptimal tools, have limited access to state-of-the-art technology and need more opportunities for training and capacity development. Furthermore, insufficient resources are allocated to AT control because of the low level of awareness of decision-makers, donors and national authorities. Within COMBAT, the development of knowledge, tools and strategies will be accompanied by extensive capacity building, advocacy and awareness raising at all levels, in order to have a real and lasting impact on disease control in the field.

Capacity development. Enhanced capacities for AT control are crucial to achieve COMBAT’s innovation goals and its desired impacts. A range of training, technology transfer and capacity development activities are planned. Beneficiaries will include farmers, veterinary practitioners, extension workers, students and researchers. Emphasis will be placed on the transfer of state-of-the-art technologies, as well as on the new, innovative tools developed by the project. These include, but are not limited
to, genotyping, population genetics, metabarcoding, trapping and identification of AT vectors, AT diagnosis, bioinformatics, data analysis, GPS/GIS, disease risk mapping, and economics. Traditional and virtual training courses will be combined with workshops, exchange visits, mentorship, programmes for advanced academic qualifications (e.g. MSc, PhD, etc.), and on-the-job training. More than one thousand people will be directly reached by COMBAT’s capacity development activities, thus greatly broadening the pool of experts on AT control in Africa.

**Awareness and commitment.** Despite its heavy burden on African agricultural productivity, AT is often neglected by decision-makers. Reasons for this are manifold (Roger et al., 2017). Animal trypanosomosis hits poor livestock keepers the most, and mainly in rural, remote areas. The disease can also be underestimated by the affected communities themselves, because of a lack of knowledge and poor access to diagnostic tools and veterinary assistance. Finally, despite the recorded incursions into mainland Europe, the transcontinental risk of AT is often overlooked. COMBAT will increase awareness of AT impacts across the board. The reassessment of the burden of AT will generate evidence and revive the interest of donors. The direct involvement of FAO, the engagement of AU and the external support of OIE, WHO and IAEA, will ensure the commitment of the leading international organizations. The strong participation of veterinary authorities in affected countries will draw the attention of national-level decision-makers. Communication and outreach at the grass-roots level will raise the awareness of farmers and extension workers.

**Project consortium and external network**

The **COMBAT consortium.** Developing new ways of minimizing the burden of AT, leading to sustainable gains along the PCP, requires technical, organisational and strategic innovation, and it calls for a broad multidisciplinary approach. The COMBAT consortium was designed to mobilize all the necessary expertise to achieve the project’s ambitious objectives. The 21 institutions that participate in the project are listed in Table 1, while Figure 2 provides a schematic representation of their groupings and relationships.

One of the main assets of the COMBAT consortium is the strong representation of AT-affected countries, with a total of 15 African participants from 13 different countries (Figure 3). This representation from Africa is well-balanced between research institutions (nine) and national authorities in charge of the control and surveillance of AT and its vectors (six). Furthermore, five out of the nine African research institutions are embedded within the national ministries mandated for disease control, thus ensuring a strong linkage with the veterinary services. This deep involvement of national authorities in charge of disease control has few precedents in research projects, and it offers abundant opportunities to maximize the impacts of research.

In addition to the 15 African participants, COMBAT also comprises five European institutions. Two, including the consortium leader (i.e. project coordinator), are the French research institutions mandated to support the development of the Global South (CIRAD and IRD), and they benefit from strong links with, and a long-standing presence in, AT-affected countries. The other three European institutions are universities holding highly specialized expertise, for example in nanoboyd technology (VUB), RFID sensors (IES), and AT occurrence in Europe (ULPGC).

Finally, the consortium benefits from the involvement of high-profile international organizations. In particular, the FAO is a full-fledged project participant, which with its global network of regional and country offices will help maximize the project’s contribution to the progressive control of AT in Africa.

**External advisory board and external network.** In addition to the large and diversified consortium, COMBAT will benefit from the external support of a broad network of stakeholders (Figure 4). Some partners will be involved in the project as subcontractors, most notably in the case of private companies that produce specific tools. Others, such as decision-makers and resource partners, will be engaged through communication activities and participation in project events such as meetings and workshops. A selected group of external partners will be involved as advisors through an external advisory board (EAB), with a view towards reflecting in the project the perspectives of a wide range of actors. Prominent among the external advisors are the international organizations engaged in African trypanosomoses control and elimination. The AU will be engaged through its Pan-African Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Eradication Campaign (PATTEC), and it will play an important role in raising awareness and in strengthening the commitment of decision-makers. WHO, OIE and IAEA will also participate in the EAB. This will allow them to contribute to, and benefit from, the project within their respective mandates and fields of expertise. Non-governmental organizations, farmers associations, other research institutions and both profit and not-for-profit organizations complete the network. External advisors and the broader external network will contribute to a wide dissemination of the project achievements and outputs, and to the long-term sustainability of its impacts.

In addition to the EAB, the project avails itself of other management structures, including a general assembly, an ethics advisory board and an exploitation committee.

**Project’s contribution to the sustainable development goals**

COMBAT will improve knowledge of AT epidemiology, develop better control tools, strengthen disease surveillance and enhance capacities and engagement. However, the project’s impacts should be viewed in the broader context of the sustainable development goals (SDGs), in particular the elimination of poverty (SDG1), the ending of hunger (SDG2) and the promotion of good health and well-being (SDG3).

Increasing agricultural productivity is crucial for poverty reduction (SDG1), as agricultural workers constitute almost two-thirds of the extreme poor (World Bank, 2018). By tackling trypanosomosis, COMBAT will contribute to SDG1 by boosting farmers’ income (Bouyer et al., 2014). As an indication,
Table 1. Participants in the COMBAT project consortium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project participant</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Reference Institution</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centre de coopération internationale en recherche agronomique pour le développement*</td>
<td>CIRAD</td>
<td>Ministry for Higher Education, Research and Innovation, Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Research (International development)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institut de Recherche pour le Développement</td>
<td>IRD</td>
<td>Ministry for Higher Education, Research and Innovation, Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Research (International development)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institut d'Electronique et des Systèmes</td>
<td>IES</td>
<td>Université de Montpellier</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Research (University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vrije Universiteit Brussel</td>
<td>VUB</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Research (University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria</td>
<td>ULPGC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Research (University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology</td>
<td>ICIPE</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Kenya (Africa)</td>
<td>Research (University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre International de Recherche Développement sur l’Élevage en zone Subhumide</td>
<td>CIRDES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Burkina Faso (West Africa)</td>
<td>Research (International)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universidade Eduardo Mondlane</td>
<td>UEM</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Research (University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makerere University</td>
<td>MAK</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Research (University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institut Sénégalais de Recherches Agricoles</td>
<td>ISRA</td>
<td>Ministère de l'Agriculture et de l'Equipement Rural</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>Research (National authority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institut Pierre Richet</td>
<td>IPR</td>
<td>Institut National de Santé Publique (Ministère de la Santé et de l'Hygiène publique)</td>
<td>Côte d'Ivoire</td>
<td>Research (National authority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institut de recherche en élevage pour le développement</td>
<td>IRED</td>
<td>Ministère de l'Élevage et des Production Animales</td>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>Research (National authority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Veterinary Research Laboratory</td>
<td>CVRL</td>
<td>Animal Resources Research Corporation (Ministry of Animal Resources and Fisheries)</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>Research (National authority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Research Council</td>
<td>ARC</td>
<td>Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Research (National authority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insectarium de Bobo-Dioulasso</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>Ministère des ressources animales et halieutiques</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>National veterinary authority (tsetse and trypanosomosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Spéciale d’Eradication des Glossines</td>
<td>MSEG</td>
<td>Ministère de l'Élevage, des Pêches et des Industries Animales</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>National veterinary authority (tsetse and trypanosomosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Institute for Control and Eradication of Tsetse fly and Trypanosomosis</td>
<td>NICETT</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>National veterinary authority (tsetse and trypanosomosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Eradication Council</td>
<td>KENTTEC</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Co-operatives</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>National veterinary authority (tsetse and trypanosomosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Control Unit</td>
<td>TTCU</td>
<td>Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>National veterinary authority (tsetse and trypanosomosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Tsetse Control Services</td>
<td>TCD</td>
<td>Ministry of Lands, Agriculture, Fisheries, Water, Climate and Rural Development</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>National veterinary authority (tsetse and trypanosomosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Italy (Global)</td>
<td>International (United Nations)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Project Coordinator
the potential benefits from interventions against bovine trypanosomosis in East Africa were estimated at approximately USD 2.5 billion over a 20-year period, at an average of USD 3,300 per square kilometre of affected area (Shaw et al., 2014).

More broadly for Africa, where 675 million people (52% of the population) are affected by moderate to severe food insecurity (FAO et al., 2020), SDG2 will benefit from COMBAT in terms of reduction of disease burden and the related increase in livestock and crop productivity (e.g. increased meat and milk production, improved fertility, decreased mortality, improved draught power and organic fertilization of soils) (Swallow, 2000). The potential for effective trypanosomosis control is illustrated by Kenya where, despite limitations in the control tools presently available, AT prevalence was reduced by 60% over a period of 15 years in high-priority areas (Ngari et al., 2020). COMBAT will also improve food quality through enhanced livestock production and reduced contamination of meat by trypanocidal drugs and insecticides. The use of insecticides will be reduced by developing environmentally friendly vector control tools, and improved veterinary diagnostics will reduce unnecessary drug treatments by enabling test-and-treat strategies. In a context where tens of millions of doses of trypanocides are used annually in Africa, COMBAT will contribute to reducing AT incidence, thus decreasing the need for toxic products.

As to SDG3, the project will contribute to good health and well-being through new vector control tools and improved diagnostics, which will support the ongoing HAT elimination initiatives. At a time when the COVID-19 pandemic has drawn the world’s attention to zoonoses, the control of African trypanosomoses provides an example of the need for and potential of the One-Health approach.

While the project main impacts will be on SDGs 1, 2 and 3, COMBAT will also contribute to other SDGs. For example, increased income will benefit smallholder households, not least women and children (SDG 4 and 5). Increased livestock production will strengthen value-chains, for instance by boosting the dairy sector (Swallow, 2000), creating employment in food industries (SDG8) and decreasing reliance on food imports. Healthier, disease-free animals can also contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation (SDG13) (FAO, 2020a), because AT-free animals have lower emissions intensity (i.e. lower emissions per unit of product) (MacLeod et al., 2018), whilst at the same time they enhance the resilience of communities. COMBAT will also increase knowledge of local animal genetic resources and shed light on how certain livestock species and breeds cope better with AT (FAO, 2020b). This will contribute to raising awareness of livestock biodiversity, inform the conservation of animal genetic resources (SDG 15), and provide data for the development of livestock genomics. Finally, with its large consortium of participants and broad external

**Figure 2.** The COMBAT project consortium. The list of acronyms is in Table 1.
**Figure 3.** Geographical distribution of COMBAT project participants.

**Figure 4.** COMBAT external network and external advisory board. AU: African Union; GALVmed: Global Alliance for Livestock Veterinary Medicines; IAEA: International Atomic Energy Agency; ITM: Institute of Tropical Medicine Antwerp; OIE: World Organisation for Animal Health; VSF: Vétérinaires Sans Frontières (Veterinarians without borders); WHO: World Health Organization.
network, the project will contribute to SDG17 (partnerships for the goals).

**Conclusions**

COMBAT was officially launched on 1st September 2021, and it will run for four years. With 21 participants and an EU contribution of approximately 6 million Euros (€), it is to date the broadest project to fight vector-borne animal trypanosomosis in Africa. It is noteworthy that more than 50% of the EU contribution will directly benefit participating African institutions.

The project is expected to have a major impact on research and innovation, but further efforts and additional resources will be needed to translate these innovations into sustainable disease control.

For regular updates on project events and results, the COMBAT project website can be consulted. ([https://www.combat-project.eu](https://www.combat-project.eu)).
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COMBAT is a multidisciplinary and highly ambitious project on animal trypanosomosis (AT) with objectives ranging from advancing knowledge on various aspects of AT, to improvement and/or development of new control tools, up to capacity strengthening and impacting on the burden of AT in Africa. It is built upon a broad consortium having major stakeholders on board from different African AT-endemic countries and Europe. Improvements in overall veterinary health and more specifically in the control of AT at the small-holder farm level can be expected as major outcomes of this project, among others

The text is well written giving a glimpse of the objectives and the broad spectrum of activities within this project.

Some minor comments:

○ The authors state that the existing vector control tools are sub-optimal. It is not clear on which basis the authors make this claim. So far, insecticide-impregnated targets (combined with synthetic odour blends) and in some cases in combination with selective cattle insecticide spraying are shown to be highly effective in controlling tsetse of the morsitans group. Moreover, for palpalis group flies the insecticide-impregnated 'tiny targets' showed to be a highly effective vector control tool in the ongoing HAT-elimination activities.

○ Typing error in Fig. 1, left pillar: environment.

○ The one serological test (VeryDIAG) that is commercially available as a rapid diagnostic test for T. congolense/T. vivax is not mentioned in the text at all when presenting the development of diagnostic tools for AT. Is there any reason this is not mentioned?

○ In several paragraphs it is not clear which trypanosomes are considered, e.g., when describing chemotherapy and drug resistance it is stated that COMBAT will collect
trypanosomes, perform full genome sequencing, and annotation of both resistant and susceptible isolates, followed by comparative analysis. Is the aim to do this for all AT-causing trypanosomes (T. congolense, T. vivax, T. b. brucei, and T. evansi) or will it be focused on specific trypanosome species? Please clarify. Also to be checked in other paragraphs.

- The authors state that, as to SDG3, the project will contribute to good health and well-being through new vector control tools and improved diagnostics, which will support the ongoing HAT elimination initiatives. However, looking at the COMBAT activities, it is not clear how they will support the ongoing HAT-elimination initiatives. Currently, the latter is focused on T.b. gambiense and based upon serodiagnosis/parasitology + patient treatment combined with efficient tsetse fly control using tiny targets. Please reconsider your statement/this paragraph.

- It would be good to add a section that describes the major hurdles/difficulties that can be expected in such an overarching project and how they can be anticipated.
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Thanks for the positive feedback and the pertinent comments. We provide here below a point-by-point feedback on the minor comments raised by Prof Van Den Abbeele.

- The authors state that the existing vector control tools are sub-optimal. It is not clear on which basis the authors make this claim. So far, insecticide-impregnated targets (combined with synthetic odour blends) and in some cases in combination with selective cattle insecticide spraying are shown to be highly effective in controlling tsetse of the morsitans group. Moreover, for palpalis group flies the insecticide-impregnated ‘tiny targets' showed to be a highly effective vector control tool in the ongoing HAT-elimination activities.

  - Our statement is made in the context of existing challenges to sustainable, cost-effective control of animal trypanosomosis. We use the adjective “sub-optimal” in its most straightforward meaning of “less than optimal”/“less than ideal”, and by this we do not mean to claim that existing tools are “ineffective”. Indeed, we agree with the reviewer that several existing vector control tools are effective against the vectors of trypanosomosis. However, even effective tools have their shortcomings, and we believe that none of the existing ones can be considered “optimal” or “ideal”. We prefer not to go into the details of the strengths and weaknesses of each existing tool, which would be a lengthy and - in certain instances - controversial discussion.

  - Suffice it to say that shortcomings in the existing tools may include (i) availability at the field level; (ii) ease of usage at the farmers’ level; (iii) cost; (iv) potential environmental impact (including challenges to safe disposal); (v) sustainability in case of long-term use; (vi) reliance on synthetic insecticides; (vii) heavy logistical requirements; and (vii) excessive reliance on external funding and donations. We believe that all existing vector control tools are affected by at least one of these shortcomings, and that therefore there is merit in efforts aimed at enhancing existing tools or developing new ones. That having been said, we fully agree with the reviewer that, because of the demonstrated effectiveness of some of the existing vector control tools, concerted efforts should be aimed at lifting the constraints to their use. Indeed, in the framework of the progressive control pathway for animal trypanosomosis, the optimization of usage of existing tools is considered a priority. As regards the topic of vector control to support ongoing HAT elimination activities, it is somewhat peripheral to the present paper and we prefer not to discuss it in more detail here. However, we added the recent reference below, which provides a continent-wide appraisal of vector control in the context of gambiense HAT elimination.


  - The reference was added in the section ‘Innovative control tools (pillar 2)’/‘Vector control and surveillance’, as: “Targets, and in particular tiny targets, are also used to support the elimination of gambiense HAT (FAO and WHO, 2022).”

- Typing error in Fig. 1, left pillar: environment.

  - We corrected this. Thanks.

- The one serological test (VeryDIAG) that is commercially available as a rapid diagnostic test for T. congo/entese/T. vivax is not mentioned in the text at all when presenting the development of diagnostic tools for AT. Is there any reason this is not mentioned?

  - There is a wide range of diagnostic methods for animal trypanosomoses, and the serological test
VeryDIAG is just one of them, and not one of the most frequently used at that, and presents its own shortcomings. So, there is no particular reason why it is not mentioned in this paper. We just felt that it was not necessary to mention it specifically or discuss it.

- In several paragraphs it is not clear which trypanosomes are considered, e.g., when describing chemotherapy and drug resistance it is stated that COMBAT will collect trypanosomes, perform full genome sequencing, and annotation of both resistant and susceptible isolates, followed by comparative analysis. Is the aim to do this for all AT causing trypanosomes (T. congolense, T. vivax, T. b. brucei, and T. evansi) or will it be focused on specific trypanosome species? Please clarify. Also to be checked in other paragraphs.

We have added the requested details in the following paragraphs: Efforts will focus chiefly on T. congolense from West, East and Southern Africa, and to a lesser extent on T. evansi from Sudan, while other trypanosomes species may be considered.

- The authors state that, as to SDG3, the project will contribute to good health and well-being through new vector control tools and improved diagnostics, which will support the ongoing HAT elimination initiatives. However, looking at the COMBAT activities, it is not clear how they will support the ongoing HAT-elimination initiatives. Currently, the latter is focused on T.b. gambiense and based upon serodiagnosis/parasitology + patient treatment combined with efficient tsetse fly control using tiny targets. Please reconsider your statement/this paragraph.

Ongoing HAT elimination initiatives spearheaded by WHO are not limited to the elimination of transmission of T. b. gambiense. Infection with T. b. rhodesiense is included in the WHO road map for neglected tropical diseases 2021–2030, with the target of “elimination as a public health problem”. To achieve the goals set for rhodesiense sleeping sickness, WHO calls for effective surveillance, early detection and early response to T. b. rhodesiense cases, including possible outbreaks. In response to this call, COMBAT will develop innovative diagnostics through novel antigen detection tests that can be used to detect T. b. rhodesiense in humans. Regarding vector control, it is true that tiny targets in the past few years the most widely used vector control tool in the areas affected by gambiense HAT, and that they have been effective in contributing to reduction of gambiense HAT transmission. However, as already mentioned earlier in the context of animal trypanosomosis control, even tiny targets have their shortcomings, and vector control in rhodesiense HAT area should also not be neglected. We believe that COMBAT activities aimed at developing novel vector control tools have the potential to contribute to SDG3 in a One-health framework (e.g. by developing new insecticide-free, biodegradable devices).

- It would be good to add a section that describes the major hurdles/difficulties that can be expected in such an overarching project and how they can be anticipated.

As similar point was raise by reviewer Brice Rotureau, and we agree that this addition is useful. Therefore, we added the following paragraph to the ‘Conclusions’ section. “Moreover, the project will have to manage a number of risks and challenges, including the coordination of a large and diverse consortium, limitations to travel and in-person events imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the spike in commodity prices, and the insecurity and instability that affect some of the areas and countries where COMBAT operates.” We take the opportunity to mention that the COMBAT project avails itself of a Risk management plan that is regularly updated.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
This letter introduces and describes a large collaborative project focused on improving control of animal African trypanosomiasis (AAT). The introduction provides an accurate description of the challenges of improving control and highlights the limited progress in contrast to human African trypanosomiasis. Factors limiting progress are identified, including the role of wildlife reservoirs of disease, lack of appropriate diagnostics, outdated trypanocidal drugs, and lack of data on socioeconomic impacts. It is essential that these issues are tackled to make progress on sustainable AAT control, and it is very encouraging to see such investment in this area.

The paper describes four themes of work which make up the COMBAT project. Brief descriptions of the work in each area are included. The range of work covered in the topics is broad, with numerous specific projects listed within each section. Although not likely addressable given the word limits, this does limit how much can be understood about the specific questions to be tackled and approaches planned in each of the work areas.

The project includes a range of stakeholders, including research organisations in Europe and Africa, and national veterinary authorities in affected countries, nicely described in Figure 2. This collaborative approach, particularly including FAO as a partner and involving national veterinary authorities, is certainly a strength, and should provide a strong base for implementing change. The paper describes how the project is built around the Progressive Control Pathway (PCP) framework. One of the outputs will be guidelines to inform the implementation of the PCP, which is likely to be a helpful route to actually achieve improved control.

It is not very clear how the findings from different and quite diverse work areas will be integrated to ensure that a comprehensive step forward in AAT control is achieved, or what mechanisms are in place to share findings between the multiple and relevant partners, in order to develop new guidelines. With the large number of partners involved, this is likely to be challenging, and presumably plans exist to achieve this.

In a couple of places in the paper, ‘a low level of awareness of decision-makers, donors and national veterinary authorities’ is mentioned. Although there is no doubt that a lack of political will is a factor limiting better control, this may not always stem from a lack of awareness. These factors are discussed in more detail elsewhere in the paper, but this phrasing could be nuanced to reflect that.

Overall this paper describes an exciting project and a significant and much needed investment in
improving AAT control. If the team are able to achieve what they have described, this will be remarkable progress in developing and implementing tools for AAT research and control.

Is the rationale for the Open Letter provided in sufficient detail? (Please consider whether existing challenges in the field are outlined clearly and whether the purpose of the letter is explained)
Yes

Does the article adequately reference differing views and opinions?
Yes

Are all factual statements correct, and are statements and arguments made adequately supported by citations?
Yes

Is the Open Letter written in accessible language? (Please consider whether all subject-specific terms, concepts and abbreviations are explained)
Yes

Where applicable, are recommendations and next steps explained clearly for others to follow? (Please consider whether others in the research community would be able to implement guidelines or recommendations and/or constructively engage in the debate)
Yes
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*Thanks for the positive feedback and the pertinent comments. We provide here feedback on the two points raised by Dr Auty.*

- It is not very clear how the findings from different and quite diverse work areas will be integrated to ensure that a comprehensive step forward in AAT control is achieved, or what mechanisms are in place to share findings between the multiple and relevant partners, in order to develop new guidelines. With the large number of partners involved, this is likely to be challenging, and presumably plans exist to achieve this.

*The point raised by the reviewer is valid. We provide here some elements of clarification, but we prefer not to modify the body of the paper to discuss these issues. One of the main strategies for the integration of the diverse areas of work rests on the fact that most project participating institutions and project countries are involved in several project pillars and work packages. In*
particular, several COMBAT institutions work on both research and innovation (pillar 1 and 2) and on risk-based control strategies (pillar 3). This will facilitate the update of innovative tools and improved knowledge in the national policies and practices for diseases control. Pillar 4, which includes capacity development, will also play a key role in project integration. A range of training activities and initiatives will allow project participants as well as external stakeholders to acquire the necessary skills to apply the innovative tools. Communication and dissemination of project results, first within, and then outside the project consortium, will also be actively pursued. Finally, the ‘Exploitation committee’, established as one of the project management structures, will also contribute to integration by addressing issues related to intellectual property, data management as well as commercial and non-commercial exploitation of project results.

- In a couple of places in the paper, ‘a low level of awareness of decision-makers, donors and national veterinary authorities’ is mentioned. Although there is no doubt that a lack of political will is a factor limiting better control, this may not always stem from a lack of awareness. These factors are discussed in more detail elsewhere in the paper, but this phrasing could be nuanced to reflect that.

We agree with the reviewer on the fact that a lack of political will is not always stemming from a lack of awareness, but we think that our references to the issue of awareness are always made in connection with other causes and issues, and as such they may not need further nuancing.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.
and evidence-based control strategies, and (4) reinforced capacities and engagement of
stakeholders. Data collection, analysis and storage for evidence-based decision making underpin
all COMBAT activities. The project consortium comprises 21 participants, including a
geographically balanced representation from 13 African countries, and it will engage a larger
number of AT-affected countries through regional initiatives.

This ambitious project is just impressive. It smartly covers a wide range of multidisciplinary
activities dwelling with almost all the components ruling AT epidemiology. COMBAT will
undoubtedly lead to major improvements in veterinary health and the generated knowledge and
partner framework will be key for controlling AT in the future.

Minor comments:
○ As the open letter format is limited, it is frustrating not to have a more in-depth description
  of the WP, especially for pillars 1 and 2.
○ A short paragraph describing the most important expected challenges and the main
  possible difficulties that would mitigate the project feasibility would be appreciated in a risk
  assessment section.
○ In Fig2, the use of symbols coloured according to the groups of partners they represent
  would be a plus.
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- As the open letter format is limited, it is frustrating not to have a more in-depth description of the WP, especially for pillars 1 and 2.

We agree that more in-depth descriptions of the WPs would be interesting, but, as understood by the reviewer, the format of the paper does not lend itself to this. Further details on a range of project activities will be disseminated in future publications.

- A short paragraph describing the most important expected challenges and the main possible difficulties that would mitigate the project feasibility would be appreciated in a risk assessment section.

We agree that this is a useful addition, and, as mentioned in the similar comment made by Prof Van den Abbeele, we have added the following paragraph: “Moreover, the project will have to manage a number of risks and challenges, including the coordination of a large and diverse consortium, limitations to travel and in-person events imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the spike in commodity prices, and the insecurity and instability that affect some of the areas and countries where COMBAT operates.”

- In Fig2, the use of symbols coloured according to the groups of partners they represent would be a plus.

We see the reviewer’s point here. However, colours are already used in figure 2 to mark both the geographical location (dashed rectangles) and the focus of activity (coloured circles) of the institutions involved. We fear that using also “symbols coloured according to the groups of partners” could complicate rather than facilitate the reading and interpretation of the figure and the colours thereof, given that some project partners belong to more than one group, so we prefer to keep the symbols colours as they are.
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