

Rapid and predictable evolution of admixed populations between two *Drosophila* species pairs

Daniel R. Matute¹, Aaron A. Comeault², Eric Earley¹, Antonio Serrato-Capuchina¹,
David Peede¹, Anaïs Monroy-Eklund¹, Wen Huang^{3,4}, Corbin D. Jones¹, Trudy F. C.
Mackay^{3,5}, and Jerry A. Coyne⁶

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

TABLE S1. SRA accession numbers for all the genomes used in this study.

Genotype	SRA
<i>D. yakuba</i>	SAMN07407328-SAMN07407363
<i>D. santomea</i>	SAMN07407377-SAMN07407393
<i>D. yakuba</i> / <i>D. santomea</i> hybrid populations	PRJNA588449
<i>D. simulans</i>	PRJNA588407
<i>D. mauritiana</i>	PRJNA158675
<i>D. simulans</i> / <i>D. mauritiana</i> hybrid populations	PRJNA588449

TABLE S2. Tibial length, a proxy of body size, does not differ between *D. simulans* and *D. mauritiana*. We show the sum of squares (Sum Sq), the mean square difference (Mean Sq), the F value and the P-value of a nested ANOVA (line nested within species), where the tibial length is the response. The mean tibial length in *D. simulans* is 0.448 (SD= 0.022). The mean tibial length for *D. mauritiana* is 0.442 (SD= 0.021).

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	P
Species	1	1.181×10^{-3}	1.181×10^{-3}	2.579	0.111
Line	4	4.044×10^{-3}	1.011×10^{-3}	2.208	0.073
Residuals	115	0.053	4.579×10^{-4}		

TABLE S3. Mean trait values (SD) and results from the linear models comparing mean trait values among replicates within *D. simulans*, within *D. mauritiana*, and within the hybrid swarms produced with these two species. The F-values show the result of the linear model for each genotype and describe whether there was any phenotypic change in the course of the experiment for a set of bottles (i.e., genotype).

		Sex combs		Face width		Wing area		Genital lobe		Anal plate bristles	
		Mean (SD)	χ^2 , df=1	Mean (SD)	F _{1,798}	Mean (SD)	F _{1,798}	Mean (SD)	F _{1,798}	Mean (SD)	χ^2 , df=1
A	<i>D. simulans</i>	8.993 (0.286)	0.058, P= 0.809	349.650 (2.850)	4.650, P=0.0 31	789.995 (6.845)	1.671, P=0.197	11.979 (0.194)	0.861 P=0.3537	33.976 (0.778)	1.88 × 10 ⁻² , P=0.891
B	<i>D. mauritiana</i>	13.992 (0.363)	0.112, P = 0.738	331.218 (2.100)	0.459, P=0.4 98	970.340 (11.052)	0.396, P=0.530	2.995 (0.048)	3.661 P=0.05605	48.902, (0.920)	0.387, P= 0.5338
C	Hybrid swarms	9.447 (0.240)	69.389, P < 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	350.52, (1.639)	680.3 8, P < 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	784.582 (7.330)	130.9, P < 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	11.821 (0.614)	922.37, P < 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	33.326 (1.045)	163.82, P < 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁰

TABLE S4. Tukey HSD tests comparing the mean trait values in *D. simulans*, *D. mauritiana*, and the hybrid swarms across five phenotypic traits.

Pairwise comparison	Sex combs	Face males	Anal plate bristles	Genital lobe area	Area wing
<i>mau-HS</i>	0.385, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$	-33.252, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$	0.38716, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$	-57.501, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$	27.568, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$
<i>Sim-HS</i>	-0.058, $P = 0.253$	-0.701, $P = 0.763$	0.02281, $P = 0.462$	0.792, $P = 0.708$	0.471, $P = 0.885$
<i>Sim-mau</i>	-0.443, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$	32.551, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$	-0.36435, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$	58.293, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$	-27.097, $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$

Table S5. The hybrid swarms show mating characteristics similar to *D. simulans*.

Pairwise comparisons between genotypes in their copulation latency and duration of matings involving males of the three genotypes and *D. simulans* females. Upper half of the triangular matrix shows the result of the t-value for the pairwise comparison in the Tukey HSD test. The lower half of the matrix shows the associated p-value.

Latency		Tukey HSD		
	Mean(SD)	<i>D. simulans</i> × <i>D. simulans</i>	<i>D. simulans</i> × <i>D.</i> <i>mauritiana</i>	<i>D. simulans</i> × HS males
<i>D. simulans</i> × <i>D. simulans</i>	8.865 (2.616)	*	-10.621	-2.161
<i>D. simulans</i> × <i>D. mauritiana</i>	24.353 (5.694)	<1 × 10 ⁻⁴	*	11.049
<i>D. simulans</i> × HS males	11.328 (6.880)	0.0787	<1 × 10 ⁻⁴	*
Duration		Tukey HSD		
	Mean(SD)	<i>D. simulans</i> × <i>D.</i> <i>simulans</i>	<i>D. simulans</i> × <i>D.</i> <i>mauritiana</i>	<i>D. simulans</i> × HS males
<i>D. simulans</i> × <i>D.</i> <i>simulans</i>	30.973 (4.752)	*	10.588	1.672
<i>D. simulans</i> × <i>D.</i> <i>mauritiana</i>	9.853 (3.886)	<1 × 10 ⁻⁴	*	-11.482
<i>D. simulans</i> × HS males	28.366 (9.860)	0.214	<1 × 10 ⁻⁴	*

TABLE S6. Mean trait values (SD) and results from the linear models comparing mean trait values among replicates within *D. yakuba*, within *D. santomea*, and within the hybrid swarms produced with these two species. The F-values show the result of the linear model for each genotype and describe whether there was any phenotypic change in the course of the experiment for a set of bottles (i.e., genotype). All F values have 1,798 degrees of freedom.

		Sex comb teeth		Hypandrial bristles		Pigmentation	
		Mean (SD)	$\chi^2_{df=1}$; P	Mean (SD)	$\chi^2_{df=2}$; P	Mean (SD)	F _{1,798}
A	<i>D. yakuba</i>	7.031 (0.519)	0.014, 0.907	2 (0)	NV	563.125 (59.560)	0.0472, P = 0.828
B	<i>D. santomea</i>	8.9 (0.626)	0.194, 0.659	0.013 (0.158)	NV	50.125 (10.093)	18.915, P = 1.544 $\times 10^{-5}$
C	Hybrid swarms	7.306 (1.182)	12.506, P = 4.056 \times 10 ⁻⁴	2 (0)	135.28, P < 1 \times 10 ⁻¹⁰	516.5 (57.410)	576.07, P < 1 \times 10 ⁻¹⁰

TABLE S7. Tukey HSD tests comparing the mean trait values in *D. yakuba*, *D. santomea*, and the hybrid swarms across three phenotypic traits.

Pairwise comparison	Sex combs	Hypandrial bristles	Pigmentation
<i>san-HS</i>	0.197, $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$	NV	86.696, $P < 1 \times 10^{-10}$
<i>yak-HS</i>	-0.038, 0.628	NV	8.667, $P < 1 \times 10^{-10}$
<i>yak-san</i>	-0.236, $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$	NV	95.364, $P < 1 \times 10^{-10}$

Table S8. The hybrid swarms show mating characteristics similar to *D. yakuba*.

Pairwise comparisons between genotypes in their copulation latency and duration of matings involving males of the three genotypes and *D. yakuba* females. Upper half of the triangular matrix shows the result of the t-value for the pairwise comparison in the Tukey HSD test. The lower half of the matrix shows the associated p-value.

Latency		Tukey HSD		
	Mean(SD)	<i>D. yakuba</i> × <i>D. yakuba</i>	<i>D. yakuba</i> × <i>D.</i> <i>santomea</i>	<i>D. yakuba</i> × HS males
<i>D. yakuba</i> × <i>D. yakuba</i>	12.243 (5.619)	*	-9.342	-0.566
<i>D. yakuba</i> × <i>D.</i> <i>santomea</i>	30.542 (10.815)	<1 × 10 ⁻⁵	*	10.546
<i>D. yakuba</i> × HS males	13.031 (7.193)	0.835	<1 × 10 ⁻⁵	*
Duration		Tukey HSD		
	Mean(SD)	<i>D. yakuba</i> × <i>D. yakuba</i>	<i>D. yakuba</i> × <i>D.</i> <i>santomea</i>	<i>D. yakuba</i> × HS males
<i>D. yakuba</i> × <i>D. yakuba</i>	34.568 (9.194)	*	4.080	-0.998
<i>D. yakuba</i> × <i>D.</i> <i>santomea</i>	25.000 (11.451)	1.82 × 10 ⁻⁴	*	-5.650
<i>D. yakuba</i> × HS males	36.231 (8.349)	0.573	<1 × 10 ⁻⁵	*