

Java8: Stream Style

Sergey Kuksenko oracle.com, @kuksenk0

The following is intended to outline our general product direction. It is intended for information purposes only, and may not be incorporated into any contract. It is not a commitment to deliver any material, code, or functionality, and should not be relied upon in making purchasing decisions. The development, release, and timing of any features or functionality described for Oracle's products remains at the sole discretion of Oracle.



Everything is well. Why do we need any Streams?



Everything is well. Why do we need any Streams?

```
public void printGroups(List<People> people) {
   Set < Group > groups = new HashSet <> ();
   for (People p : people) {
       if (p.getAge() >= 65)
           groups.add(p.getGroup());
   List < Group > sorted = new ArrayList < > (groups);
   Collections.sort(sorted, new Comparator < Group > () {
       public int compare (Group a. Group b) {
           return Integer.compare(a.getSize(), b.getSize())
   }):
   for (Group g : sorted)
       System.out.println(g.getName());
```



It would be awesome to omit miles and miles of duplicated code.

```
public void printGroups(List<People> people) {
   people.stream()
        .filter(p -> p.getAge() > 65)
        .map(p -> p.getGroup())
        .distinct()
        .sorted(comparing(g -> g.getSize()))
        .map(g -> g.getName())
        .forEach(n -> System.out.println(n));
}
```



It would be awesome to do less work, and do it later (laziness).



```
Collection < Item > data;
...

for(int i=0; i < data.size(); i++) {
    processItem(data.get(i));
}</pre>
```

```
Collection < Item > data;
...

for(Item item : data) {
   processItem(item);
}
```



```
Collection < Item > data;
...

#pragma omg parallel
for(Item item : data) {
    processItem(item);
}
```



```
Collection < Item > data;
...

#pragma omp parallel
for(Item item : data) {
    processItem(item);
}
```



```
Collection < Item > data;
...

parallel_for(Item item : data) {
    processItem(item);
}
```



```
Collection < Item > data;
...

data.parallelStream()
    .forEach(item -> processItem(item));
```





■ Most of the code fits the same simple pattern

source

$$source \rightarrow op$$

$$source \rightarrow op \rightarrow op$$

$$source \rightarrow op \rightarrow op \rightarrow op$$

$$source \rightarrow op \rightarrow op \rightarrow op \rightarrow$$

$$source \rightarrow op \rightarrow op \rightarrow op \rightarrow gangnamstyle$$



$$source \rightarrow op \rightarrow op \rightarrow op \rightarrow sink$$

$$source \rightarrow op \rightarrow op \rightarrow op \rightarrow sink$$

- "sources": collections, iterators, channels, ...
- "operations": filter, map, reduce, ...
- "sinks": collections, locals, ...



- Standard classes?
 - not-yet-created classes?
 - \blacksquare 3rd party classes?



- Standard classes?
 - not-yet-created classes?
 - \blacksquare 3rd party classes?
- Collection?
 - should we put everything into collection?



- Standard classes?
 - not-yet-created classes?
 - 3^{rd} party classes?
- Collection?
 - should we put everything into collection?
- Iterable?
 - "Iterator Hell" (inherently sequential)
 - interface pollution



- Standard classes?
 - not-yet-created classes?
 - 3^{rd} party classes?
- Collection?
 - should we put everything into collection?
- Iterable?
 - "Iterator Hell" (inherently sequential)
 - interface pollution
- Stream!
 - new (just invented) interface with required semantic
 - inject the only stream() method into existing classes



Stream



Stream

- "A multiplicity of values"
- Not a collection (no storage)
- Operations are deferred as long as possible
- May be infinite
- Source is unmodifiable
- Can be used only once
- Ordered/Unordered
- Parallel/Sequential
- Primitive specializations:
 - IntStream, LongStream, DoubleStream



```
a source Source \rightarrow Stream
intermediate\ operations: Stream 
ightarrow Stream
   a\ terminal\ operation: Stream \rightarrow PROFIT!
public void printGroups(List<People> people) {
    people.stream()
        .filter(p \rightarrow p.getAge() > 65)
        .map(p -> p.getGroup())
        .distinct()
        .sorted(comparing(g -> g.getSize()))
        .map(g -> g.getName())
        .forEach(n -> System.out.println(n));
```

```
a \ source Source \rightarrow Stream
intermediate\ operations: Stream 
ightarrow Stream
   a\ terminal\ operation: Stream \rightarrow PROFIT!
public void printGroups(List<People> people) {
    people.stream()
        .filter(p \rightarrow p.getAge() > 65)
        .map(p -> p.getGroup())
        .distinct()
        .sorted(comparing(g -> g.getSize()))
        .map(g -> g.getName())
        .forEach(n -> System.out.println(n));
```

```
a \ source Source \rightarrow Stream
intermediate\ operations: Stream 
ightarrow Stream
   a \ terminal \ operation: Stream \rightarrow PROFIT!
public void printGroups(List<People> people) {
    people.stream()
        .filter(p \rightarrow p.getAge() > 65)
        .map(p -> p.getGroup())
        .distinct()
        .sorted(comparing(g -> g.getSize()))
        .map(g -> g.getName())
        .forEach(n -> System.out.println(n));
```

```
intermediate\ operations: Stream \rightarrow Stream
      a\ terminal\ operation: Stream \rightarrow PROFIT!
public void printGroups(List<People> people) {
 Stream < People > s1 = people.stream();
 Stream < People > s2 = s1.filter(p -> p.getAge() > 65);
 Stream < Group > s3 = s2.map(p -> p.getGroup());
 Stream < Group > s4 = s3.distinct();
 Stream < Group > s5 = s4.sorted(comparing(g->g.getSize()));
 Stream < String > s6 = s5.map(g -> g.getName());
 s6.forEach(n -> System.out.println(n));
```

 $a \ source$ Source \rightarrow Stream

Stream Sources



Stream Sources: collections

```
ArrayList<T> list;
Stream<T> s = list.stream(); // sized, ordered
```



Stream Sources: collections

```
ArrayList<T> list;
Stream<T> s = list.stream();  // sized, ordered

HashSet<T> set;
Stream<T> s = set.stream();  // sized, distinct
```



Stream Sources: collections

```
ArrayList<T> list;
Stream<T> s = list.stream(); // sized, ordered
HashSet <T> set;
Stream <T > s = set.stream(); // sized, distinct
TreeSet <T> set;
// sorted, ordered
```



```
T[] arr;
Stream<T> s = Arrays.stream(arr);
```



```
T[] arr;
Stream < T > s = Arrays.stream(arr);
Stream < T > s = Stream.of(v0, v1, v2);
```



```
T[] arr:
Stream <T> s = Arrays.stream(arr);
Stream < T > s = Stream.of(v0, v1, v2);
Stream < T > s = Stream.builder()
                     .add(v0).add(v1).add(v2)
                     .build():
IntStream s = IntStream.range(0, 100);
```



Stream Sources: generators



Stream Sources: generators



Stream Sources: others

```
Stream < String > s = bufferedReader.lines();
```



Stream Sources: others



Stream Sources: others

```
Stream < String > s = bufferedReader.lines();
Stream < String > s = Pattern.compile(myRegEx)
                        .splitAsStream(mvStr);
DoubleStream s =
           new SplittableRandom().doubles();
```



Intermediate Operations



Intermediate Operations

```
Stream <S> s;
Stream <S> s.filter(Predicate <S>);
Stream <T> s.map(Function <S, T>);
Stream <T> s.flatMap(Function <S, Stream <T>>);
Stream <S> s.peek(Consumer <S>);
Stream <S> s.sorted();
Stream <S> s.distinct();
Stream <S> s.limit(long);
Stream <S> s.skip(long);
```



Intermediate Operations

```
Stream < S > s:
Stream <S> s.filter(Predicate <S>):
Stream <T> s.map(Function <S, T>);
Stream <T> s.flatMap(Function <S, Stream <T>>);
Stream < S > s.peek(Consumer < S >);
Stream < S > s.sorted():
Stream < S > s.distinct():
Stream < S > s.limit(long);
Stream < S > s.skip(long);
Stream < S > s.unordered():
Stream < S > s.parallel();
Stream < S > s.sequential();
```

Terminal Operations a.k.a. PROFIT



Terminal Operations

- Terminal operations yield final result
- Parallel or sequential execution
- Terminal operations 'flavors':
 - iteration: forEach, iterator
 - searching: findFirst, findAny
 - matching: allMatch, anyMatch, noneMatch
 - aggregation:
 - \blacksquare reduction
 - \blacksquare collectors



Short-circuiting

- Do not consume the entire stream, drop it on the floor as necessary
- May operate infinite streams

```
■ find*, *Match, limit
e.g.:
```



Iteration

■ Process each stream element:

■ Convert to old style iterator¹:





```
public int getSum(Stream < Integer > s) {
    int sum;
    s.forEach( i -> sum += i);
    return sum;
}
```



```
public int getSum(Stream < Integer > s) {
    int sum;
    s.forEach( i -> sum += i); // Compile error
    return sum;
}
```



```
public int getSum(Stream < Integer > s) {
   int[] sum = new int[1];
   s.forEach( i -> sum[0] += i);
   return sum[0];
}
```



Result?

Result?

Result?

```
Stream < Integer > s = IntStream.range(0, 100)
                               .mapToObj(i -> 1);
System.out.println(getSum(s));
                     100
Stream < Integer > s = IntStream.range(0, 100)
                               .mapToObj(i -> 1)
                               .parallel():
System.out.println(getSum(s));
```



Result?

```
Stream < Integer > s = IntStream.range(0, 100)
                               .mapToObj(i -> 1);
System.out.println(getSum(s));
                     100
Stream < Integer > s = IntStream.range(0, 100)
                               .mapToObj(i -> 1)
                               .parallel():
System.out.println(getSum(s));
```

79, 63, 100, ...

Reduction

■ Take a stream and make a scalar value:

```
Stream < Integer > s;
Integer sum = s.reduce(0, (x, y) -> x + y);
```



Reduction

■ Take a stream and make a scalar value:

```
Stream < Integer > s;
Integer sum = s.reduce(0, (x, y) -> x + y);
```

■ Some operations return Optional<T>:

```
Stream < Integer > s;
Optional < Integer > sum = s.reduce((x, y) -> x + y);
```



Reduction



- A.k.a. mutable reduction operations
- Accumulate elements into a mutable result container:

- A.k.a. mutable reduction operations
- Accumulate elements into a mutable result container:

■ Complex collections:

java.util.stream.Collectors

More than 30 predefined collectors, e.g.:

```
collector
                => result of Stream<T>.collect(collector)
toList()
                => J.ist
                => Set
toSet()
toCollection(Supplier < Collection < T >>) => Collection < T >
partitioningBy(Predicate < T>)
                                   => Map < Boolean , List < T >>
groupingBy(Function < T, K > )
                                   => Map < K . List < T >>>
toMap(Function < T, K >,
       Function <T.U>)
                                   => Map < K, U >
```

```
String[] a = new String[]{"a", "b", "c"};

Hot to get "a,b,c"?
```



```
String[] a = new String[]{"a", "b", "c"};

Hot to get "a,b,c"?
```

```
Arrays.stream(a).collect(Collectors.joining(","));
```



```
String[] a = new String[]{"a", "b", "c"};

Hot to get "a,b,c"?

Arrays.stream(a).collect(Collectors.joining(","));
```

FYI: java.util.StringJoiner





```
Stream < T > s:
List<T> l = s.collect(Collectors.toList()):
l = collect( () -> new ArrayList<>(),
             (list, t) -> list.add(t),
             (11, 12) -> 11.addAll(12));
1 = collect( ArrayList::new, List::add, List::addAll);
```



Parallelism



Parallelism

- Lots of sources are naturally splittable
- Lots of operations are well parallelizable
- Streams will do it for us
- "ForkJoinPool inside"
- Have to ask for the parallelism explicitly



Explicit parallelism

Q: Why not implicit?

A: Final speedup depends on:

- \blacksquare N number of source elements
- $\blacksquare Q$ cost of operation
- \blacksquare P available HW parallelism
- \blacksquare C number of concurrent clients

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{We know } N. \\ \text{We can estimate } P. \\ \text{We can somehow cope with } C \\ Q \text{ is almost not predictable.} \end{array}$





Thank you!



Q & A?

Appendix



Spliterator



Spliterator

```
interface Spliterator<T> {
   . . .
  long estimateSize(); // Long.MAX_VALUE if unknown
   boolean tryAdvance(Consumer <T> action);
  Spliterator <T> trySplit();
   int characteristics();
```

Spliterator's characteristic

ORDERED

DISTINCT

SORTED

SIZED

SUBSIZED

NONNULL

IMMUTABLE

CONCURRENT



Stream design

I like to look at this as having chosen a design center that recognizes that sequential is a degenerate case of parallel, rather than treating parallel as the "weird bonus mode". I realize that this choice was controversial and definitely caused some compromises, but eventually people will have to start to unlearn their sequential biases, and there's no time like the present.

(c) Brian Goetz

http://mail.openjdk.java.net/pipermail/lambda-dev/2014-February/011870.html

