

**Vibrational Spectroscopy and Chemometrics for Rapid, Quantitative Analysis of Bitter Acids in Hops (*Humulus lupulus*)**

Daniel P. Killeen,<sup>†,‡</sup> David H. Andersen,<sup>§</sup> Ron A. Beatson,<sup>§</sup> Keith C. Gordon<sup>†,‡</sup> and Nigel B. Perry<sup>\*,†,⊥</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Otago, P. O. Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand

<sup>‡</sup> MacDiarmid Institute for Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

<sup>§</sup> The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research Limited, 55 Old Mill, RD 3, Motueka 7198, New Zealand

<sup>⊥</sup> The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research Limited, Department of Chemistry, University of Otago, P.O. Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand

**\*S Supporting Information**

**Table S1. PLS-R model summaries (all models)**

		UV Analyses			HPLC Analyses							
PLS-R Parameters		$\alpha$ -acids	$\beta$ -acids	Total	$\alpha$ -acids	$\beta$ -acids	Total	Cohumulone	Hum/AdHum <sup>a</sup>	Colupulone	Lup/AdLup <sup>b</sup>	Xanthohumol
<b>IR</b>	$r^2$ (RMSEC) <sup>c</sup>	0.92 (1.2)	0.79 (0.7)	0.90 (1.6)	0.86 (1.4)	0.49 (1.1)	0.90 (1.5)	0.92 (0.3)	0.84 (1.2)	0.85 (0.3)	0.71 (0.3)	0.85 (0.09)
	$r^2$ (RMSEP) <sup>d</sup>	0.91 (1.3)	0.80 (0.7)	0.91 (1.8)	0.89 (1.4)	0.56 (1.0)	0.89 (1.8)	0.91 (0.4)	0.87 (1.1)	0.83 (0.4)	0.71 (0.4)	0.88 (0.07)
	LVs used	5	5	5	3	1	5	5	3	5	5	5
	RPD <sup>e</sup>	<b>3.4</b>	2.2	<b>3.3</b>	2.9	1.0	3.0	<b>3.4</b>	2.8	2.4	1.8	2.9
<b>Raman</b>	$r^2$ (RMSEC) <sup>c</sup>	0.88 (1.5)	0.75 (0.8)	0.87 (1.9)	0.86 (1.5)	0.67 (0.7)	0.84 (1.9)	0.90 (0.4)	0.84 (1.2)	0.83 (0.4)	0.71 (0.3)	0.87 (0.08)
	$r^2$ (RMSEP) <sup>d</sup>	0.91 (1.3)	0.80 (0.7)	0.90 (1.8)	0.90 (1.3)	0.62 (1.1)	0.90 (1.7)	0.91 (0.4)	0.84 (1.2)	0.82 (0.4)	0.5 (0.4)	0.87 (0.08)
	LVs used	4	4	4	4	4	4	7	4	6	6	4
	RPD <sup>e</sup>	<b>3.3</b>	2.2	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.2</b>	1.8	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.3</b>	2.5	2.4	1.5	2.8
<b>NIR DRIFT</b>	$r^2$ (RMSEC) <sup>c</sup>	0.87 (1.5)	0.76 (0.7)	0.91 (1.6)	0.86 (1.4)	0.53 (0.9)	0.89 (1.6)	0.82 (0.5)	0.87 (1.0)	0.64 (0.5)	0.58 (0.4)	0.85 (0.09)
	$r^2$ (RMSEP) <sup>d</sup>	0.91 (1.4)	0.66 (1.0)	0.93 (1.5)	0.91 (1.2)	0.64 (0.9)	0.92 (1.5)	0.82 (0.5)	0.88 (1.0)	0.63 (0.6)	0.44 (0.5)	0.78 (0.10)
	LVs used	4	6	4	4	3	4	5	6	5	5	6
	RPD <sup>e</sup>	<b>3.3</b>	1.7	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.3</b>	1.7	<b>3.6</b>	2.4	2.9	1.7	1.3	2.1
<b>MicroNIR<sup>®</sup></b>	$r^2$ (RMSEC) <sup>c</sup>	0.82 (1.8)	0.71 (0.8)	0.85 (2.0)	0.81 (1.7)	0.68 (0.7)	0.82 (2.0)	0.76 (0.6)	0.76 (1.4)	0.68 (0.5)	0.44 (0.5)	0.77 (0.10)
	$r^2$ (RMSEP) <sup>d</sup>	0.88 (1.5)	0.77 (0.8)	0.90 (1.8)	0.90 (1.3)	0.75 (0.7)	0.91 (1.6)	0.76 (0.6)	0.88 (1.0)	0.70 (0.5)	0.52 (0.4)	0.63 (0.13)
	LVs used	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6
	RPD <sup>e</sup>	3.0	2.1	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.2</b>	2.1	<b>3.5</b>	2.0	3.0	1.9	1.5	1.5

<sup>a</sup> Humulone and adhumulone. <sup>b</sup> Lupulone and adlupulone. <sup>c</sup> Root mean square error of calibration. <sup>d</sup> Root mean square error of prediction. <sup>e</sup> Ratio of prediction to deviation.