

## **Equilibrium in Nash's mind**

Vasil D. Penchev, [vasildinev@gmail.com](mailto:vasildinev@gmail.com)

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences: Institute of Philosophy and Sociology:

Dept. of Logical Systems and Models

### **Abstract**

D. Capps Donald Capps (2009: 145) suggested the hypothesis that “the Nash equilibrium is descriptive of the normal brain, whereas the game theory formulated by John van Neumann, which Nash's theory challenges, is descriptive of the schizophrenic brain”. The paper offers arguments in its favor. They are from psychiatry, game theory, set theory, philosophy and theology. The Nash equilibrium corresponds to wholeness, stable emergent properties as well as to representing actual infinity on a material, limited and finite organ as a human brain.

*Keywords:* disintegration, game theory, information processing, Nash equilibrium, schizophrenia

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The philosophical relation of common sense and schizophrenia has a natural focus in the personality and creativity of John Nash (1928 – 2015), Nobel Prize in economics (1994), diagnosed with paranoid schizophrenia (1959).

One of his fundamental ideas refers to a new interpretation of equilibrium in game theory and philosophy of mathematics as noncompetitive in noncooperative games or even as a way for any competition of gamers or factors to be prevented. It is directly opposed to that of John von Neumann, one of the founders of mathematical game theory and its application in economics.

A few early papers of Nash (1950; 1950a; 1951) prove a generalization (Park, 2011) of Neumann's approach (Neumann, Morgenstern, 1953; Israel & Gasca, 2009; Nash et al., 1996). The quotability of "Nash equilibrium" grows exponentially (McCain, & McCain, 2010). Nash obtained the Nobel Prize in economics (Milnor, 1995).

The essence of Nash's equilibrium consists in the aims to be divided between the players disjunctively therefore achieving a more stable equilibrium (Marsili & Zhang, 1997). On the contrary, they share the aim(s) in Neumann's approach being always in direct competition conditioning instability and trends to disintegration. The Nash equilibrium can be seen as "strategic" (Crawford, 2002).

The prevention of rival is the best strategy of gamers who mean the strategies of all the rest for gain. If all gamers mean these strategies, they turn out to be in a stable state, that of Nash equilibrium. On the contrary, the gamers in Neumann's approach neglect the others' strategies therefore addressing one and the same purpose.

Thus, the collective gain of all gamers in Nash's approach is much bigger, but the individual gain of the single winner is bigger in Neumann's approach.

Furthermore, the Nash gamers should be gifted with the ability to know or forecast the strategies of all the rest. If the gamers are human beings as in economic models, this is natural and self-obvious. However, if they are not, the Neumann approach seems to be more relevant.

Nevertheless, all thermodynamic approaches, including quantum mechanics considered as a special kind of generalized thermodynamic theory, admit the option of Nash equilibrium though the agents have not consciousness and might not "know" or "mean" the strategies of the others. The condition sine qua non in statistic thermodynamics is their duality of agents and a whole, the system of all agents, which should be in equilibrium as far as the system exists.

One may conclude that Nash equilibrium is relevant to describe any ensemble if it is presupposed as a system. On the contrary, if it is a random collection existing as a whole occasionally destroyable or re-configurable at any time, the Neumann approach seems to be the relevant one.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II considers the link between the Nash equilibrium and schizophrenia in comparison with Neumann's approach to equilibrium. Section III discusses the connection between the concepts of information and Nash equilibrium addressing the schizophrenia models. The last section summarizes the research.

## II THE NASH EQUILIBRIUM AND SCHIZOPHRENIA

Donald Capps (2009: 145) suggested the hypothesis that “the Nash equilibrium is descriptive of the normal brain, whereas the game theory formulated by John van Neumann, which Nash's theory challenges, is descriptive of the schizophrenic brain”. The paper offers arguments in its favor. They are from psychiatry, game theory, set theory, philosophy and theology.

Indeed, the brain, mind and consciousness are natural to be considered as systems even as a system. Thus, equilibrium seems to be presupposed necessarily and the Nash equilibrium as well. One does not need their separated functions or parts to be considered as conscious gamers able to mean the others' strategies or cooperating with each other. Only the wholeness of both brain and mind seems to be enough to be postulated as usual.

Any violation of that wholeness would be a form of mental disorder, and the Neumann approach would be more relevant if that is the case.

Schizophrenia is featured by a series of instabilities and trends to disintegration in:

- “Common sense” (McEvoy et al., 1996; Stanghellini, 2000; Blankenburg & Mishara, 2001; Stanghellini & Ballerini, 2007; Revsbech, Sass & Parnas, 2012)
- Imagination and perception (Sheiner, 1968; Frith, 1987; Simons et al., 2006; Brébion et al., 2008; Gawęda, Moritz & Kokoszka, 2012; Giacobbe, Stukas & Farhall, 2013)
- The self (Hemsley, 1998; Stanghellini & Ballerini 2007).
- The perception of the others (Sheiner, 1968; Stanghellini & Ballerini, 2007; Benedetti, 2009; Giacobbe, Stukas & Farhall, 2013)
- Time perception (Lyon, Lyon & Magnusson, 1994; Bonnot et al., 2011; Parsons et al., 2013; Peterburs, 2013; Gómez, 2014)
- Choice and rationality (Cromwell et al., 1961; Frith, 1987; Haggard et al., 2004; Revsbech, Sass & Parnas, 2012)
- Understanding metaphors (Kircher et al., 2007; Mo, 2008; Elvevåg, 2011)

The enumeration can be continued, but all those cases can be described as the severe competition of mental functions with a single winner and the suppression of the defeating functions too important for integrity and psychic health.

The Japanese psychiatrists even renamed schizophrenia (Sato, 2006; Sartorius et al., 2014) to “Togo Shitcho Sho” (“Integration dysregulation disorder”).

### III INFORMATION MODELS OF SCHIZOPHRENIA AND THE NASH EQUILIBRIUM

Choice, mental time, and information processing (Usher & McClelland, 2001; Wittmann & Paulus, 2008; Takahashi, 2009) are unified in Hick's law (Hick, 1952; Hyman, 1953; Beh, Roberts & Prichard-Levy, 1994) Fitt's law (Fitts, 1954; Fitts & Peterson, 1964) and their generalizations (Krinchik, 1969; Beggs et al., 1972; Kirkby, 1974; Gignac & Vernon, 2004; Seow, 2005). The model of brain based on computer has been suggested yet by John von Neumann (1958). There exist even computer models of schizophrenic patients (Hoffman et al., 2011). Turing machines (i.e. usual computers), which number is bigger than modeled mental, functions can represent a normal brain in the Nash equilibrium vs only a single one, or which number is less than the number of modeled mental functions, in Neumann's approach.

The difference between Nash's approach and Neumann's might be visualized even on a single bit, which is the elementary unit of information, after one adds the concept or even quantity about the relation or "game" between the two alternatives of a bit. Then each of the two alternatives "searches" for that strategy, which would increase the probability to be chosen. Then the result would hesitate arbitrarily about the equal probability (i.e. 50% for each alternative) in Neumann's approach. One can say that both alternatives share a single dimension. On the contrary, the result would be just the equal probability (i.e. the standard definition of a bit) in Nash's approach, and as if the two alternatives are separated in dimensions therefore implying their unity as the whole of a bit.

In fact, the concept of information interpreted as the measure of wholeness or completeness corresponds to the latter. The former does not need an absolutely different of wholeness: that of a non-cooperative and thus competitive game, in which both alternatives ("players") are involved one-time or randomly and the same refers to their "wholeness" existing only during the time of the game.

On the contrary, the healthy brain, mind, and consciousness should have stable wholeness, and the Nash model would be more relevant. The relevance of the other model, that of Neumann would witness to disintegration as schizophrenia would be defined in general.

### IV CONCLUSIONS

The Nash equilibrium corresponds to wholeness, stable emergent properties as well as to representing actual infinity on a material, limited and finite organ as a human brain. Though the concept was introduced by Nash in relation to game theory therefore presupposing the players as human beings able to choose consciously their strategies in competition, it can be easily generalized to any theory allowing for thermodynamic approach. The main requirement is for the investigated ensemble to be consider as a system rather than as occasional collection existing only for the game

and thus constituted *ad hoc*. The brain, mind, and consciousness satisfy obviously that condition and consequently the application of the 'Nash equilibrium' to both "normal" and schizophrenic brain. Furthermore, the trends to disintegration of the latter might be represented as decreasing relevance as to Nash's approach to equilibrium on behalf that of Neumann. Thus the thesis of Donald Capps that the Nash equilibrium describes the "normal" brain while that of Neumann, the schizophrenic brain can be supported by a series of arguments.

The concept of information even the level of its unit, a single bit, unifies both approaches. A bit "in tension" might be introduced to demonstrated a dynamic and unstable equilibrium corresponding to Neumann's approach. Then the standard definition of a bit supposing a gap and thus stability between the two alternatives refers to that of Nash.

## REFERENCES:

- Beggs, W. D. A. et al. (1972). Can Hick's law and Fitts' law be combined? *Acta Psychologica*, 36(5), 348-357. doi: 10.1016/0001-6918(72)90031-5.
- Beh, H. C., Roberts, R. D. & Prichard-Levy, A. (1994). The relationship between intelligence and choice reaction time within the framework of an extended model of Hick's law: A preliminary report. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 16(6), 891-897. doi: 10.1016/0191-8869(94)90233-X.
- Benedetti, F. (2009). Functional and structural brain correlates of theory of mind and empathy deficits in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 114(1-3), 154-160. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2009.06.021.
- Blankenburg, W. & Mishara, A. L. (2001). First Steps Toward a Psychopathology of "Common Sense". *Philosophy, Psychiatry, & Psychology*, 8(4), 303-315. doi: 10.1353/ppp.2002.0014
- Bonnot, O. et al. (2011). Are impairments of time perception in schizophrenia a neglected phenomenon? *Journal of Physiology-Paris*, 105(4-6), 164-169. doi: 10.1016/j.jphysparis.2011.07.006.
- Brébion, G. et al. (2008). Visual Hallucinations in Schizophrenia: Confusion Between Imagination and Perception, *Neuropsychology*, 22(3), 383–389. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105.22.3.383.
- Capps, D. (2011). John Nash, Game Theory, and the Schizophrenic Brain. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 50(1), 145-162. doi: 10.1007/s10943-009-9291-5.
- Crawford, V. P. (2002). John Nash and the analysis of strategic behavior. *Economics Letters*, 75(3), 377-382. doi: 10.1016/S0165-1765(01)00624-3.
- Cromwell, R. L. et al. (1961). Reaction time, locus of control, choice behavior, and descriptions of parental behavior in schizophrenic and normal subjects. *Journal of Personality*, 29(4), 363-379. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6494.1961.tb01668.x.
- Elvevåg, B. et al. (2011). Metaphor interpretation and use: a window into semantics in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia research*, 133(1-3), 205-211. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2011.07.009.
- Fitts, P. M. (1954). The information capacity of the human motor system in controlling the amplitude of movement. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 47(6), 381-391. doi: 10.1037/h0055392.
- Fitts, P. M. & Peterson, J. R. (1964). Information capacity of discrete motor responses. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 67(2), 103-112. doi: 10.1037/h0045689.
- Frith, C. D. (1987). The positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia reflect impairments in

- the perception and initiation of action. *Psychological Medicine*, 17(3), 631-648.  
doi: 10.1017/S0033291700025873.
- Gawęda, Ł., Moritz, S. & Kokoszka, A. (2012). Impaired discrimination between imagined and performed actions in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research*, 195(1-2), 1-8.  
doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2011.07.035.
- Giacobbe, M. R., Stukas, A. A. & Farhall, J. (2013). The Effects of Imagined Versus Actual Contact With a Person With a Diagnosis of Schizophrenia. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 35(3): 265-271. doi: 10.1080/01973533.2013.785403.
- Gignac, G. E. & Vernon, P. A. (2004). Reaction time and the dominant and non-dominant hands: an extension of Hick's Law. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 36(3), 733-739.  
doi: 10.1016/S0191-8869(03)00133-8.
- Gómez, J. et al. (2014). Time perception networks and cognition in schizophrenia: A review and a proposal. *Psychiatry Research*, 220(3), 737-744. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.07.048.
- Haggard, P. et al. (2004). Anomalous control: when 'free-will' is not conscious. *Consciousness and cognition*, 13(3), 646-654. doi 10.1016/j.concog.2004.06.001.
- Hemsley, D. R. (1998). The disruption of the 'sense of self' in schizophrenia: potential links with disturbances of information processing. *The British journal of medical psychology*, 71(2), 115-124. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8341.1998.tb01373.x.
- Hick, W. E. (1952). On the rate of gain of information. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 4(1), 11-26. doi: 10.1037/h0045304.
- Hoffman, R. E. et al. (2011). Using Computational Patients to Evaluate Illness Mechanisms in Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 69(10), 997-1005.  
doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.12.036.
- Hyman, R. (1953). Stimulus information as a determinant of reaction time. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 45(3), 188-196. doi: 10.1037/h0056940.
- Israel, G. & Gasca, A. M. (2009) *The world as a mathematical game: John von Neumann and twentieth century science*. Basel : Birkhäuser.
- Kircher, T. T. J. et al. (2007). Neural correlates of metaphor processing in schizophrenia. *NeuroImage*, 34(1): 281-289. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.08.044.
- Kirkby, C. (1974). Hick's law revisited. *Acta Psychologica*, 38(4), 277-282. doi:10.1016/0001-6918(74)90012-2
- Krinchik, E. P. (1969). The probability of a signal as a determinant of reaction time. *Acta Psychologica*, 30(1), 27-36. doi:10.1590/S0100-879X2003000700011.
- Lyon, M., Lyon, N. & Magnusson, M. S. (1994). The importance of temporal structure in analyzing schizophrenic behavior: some theoretical and diagnostic implications. *Schizophrenia research*, 13(1), 45-56. doi:10.1016/0920-9964(94)90059-0.

- McEvoy, J. P. et al. (1996). Common sense, insight, and neuropsychological test performance in schizophrenia patients. *Schizophrenia bulletin*, 22(4), 635-641.  
doi: 10.1017/S1355617707070154.
- Marsili, M. & Zhang, Y. (1997). Fluctuations around Nash equilibria in game. *Physica A: Statistical and Theoretical Physics*, 245 (1-2), 181-188.  
doi: 10.1016/S0378-4371(97)00289-6.
- Mccain, K. W. & Mccain, R. A. (2010). Influence & incorporation: John Forbes Nash and the “Nash Equilibrium”. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 47(1), 1-2. doi: 10.1002/meet.14504701311.
- Milnor, J. (1995). A nobel prize for John Nash. *The Mathematical Intelligencer*, 17(3), 11-17.  
doi: 10.1007/BF03024364.
- Moh, S. et al. (2008). Comprehension of metaphor and irony in schizophrenia during remission: The role of theory of mind and IQ. *Psychiatry Research*, 157(1), 21-29.  
doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2006.04.002.
- Nash, J. F. (1950). Equilibrium Points in n-Person Games. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 36(1), 48-49.  
doi: 10.1073/pnas.36.1.48.
- Nash, J. F. (1950a). The Bargaining Problem. *Econometrica*, 18(2): 155-162.  
doi: 10.2307/1907266.
- Nash, J. (1951) “Non-Cooperative Games,” *Annals of Mathematics*, second Series, 54(2): 286-295. doi: 10.2307/1969529.
- Nash, J. F. et al. (1996). The work of John Nash in game theory. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 69(1), 153-185. doi: 10.1006/jeth.1996.0042.
- Neumann, J. & Morgenstern, O. (1953) *Theory of games and economic behavior*. Princeton: University Press.
- Neumann, J. (1958) *The computer and the brain*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Park, S. (2011). A history of the Nash equilibrium theorem in the fixed point theory. 数理解析研究所講究録 / 京都大学数理解析研究所 [編], 1755(8): 76-89.  
doi: 10.1165/2010/234706.
- Parsons, B. D. et al. (2013). Lengthened temporal integration in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychologia*, 51(2): 372-376. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.11.008.
- Peterburs, J. et al. (2013). Impaired Representation of Time in Schizophrenia Is Linked to Positive Symptoms and Cognitive Demand. *PLoS ONE*, 8(6): e67615.  
doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067615.
- Revsbech, R., Sass, L. A. & Parnas, J. S. (2012). Rationality and schizophrenia - testing

- schizophrenic rationality in the light of “loss of common sense”. *European psychiatry* 27(Suppl. 1): 1. doi: doi:10.1016/S0924-9338(12)75462-7.
- Sartorius, N. et al. (2014). Name Change for Schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 40(2), 255-258. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbt231.
- Sato, M. (2006). Renaming schizophrenia: a Japanese perspective. *World psychiatry: official journal of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA)*, 5(1), 53-5.
- Seow, S. (2005). Information Theoretic Models of HCI: A Comparison of the Hick-Hyman Law and Fitts' Law. *Human-Computer Interaction*, 20(3), 315-352. doi: 10.1207/s15327051hci2003\_3.
- Sheiner, S. (1968) Intensity of casual relationships in schizophrenia: Living in imagination. *The American Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 28(1-2): 156-161. doi: 10.1007/BF01873634.
- Simons, J. S. et al. (2006). Discriminating imagined from perceived information engages brain areas implicated in schizophrenia. *NeuroImage*, 32(2), 696-703. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.04.209.
- Stanghellini, G. (2000). At issue: Vulnerability to schizophrenia and lack of common sense. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 26(4): 775-87. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511759031.006.
- Stanghellini, G. & Ballerini, M. (2007). Values in Persons with Schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 33(1), 131–141. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbl036.
- Takahashi, T. (2009) Theoretical Frameworks for Neuroeconomics of Intertemporal Choice. *Journal of Neuroscience, Psychology, and Economics*, 2(2), 75-90. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1879746.
- Usher, M. & McClelland, J. L. (2001). The Time Course of Perceptual Choice: The Leaky, Competing Accumulator Model. *Psychological Review*, 108(3), 550-592. doi: 10.1037//0033-295X.108.3.550.
- Wittmann, M. & Paulus, M. P. (2008). Decision making, impulsivity and time perception. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 12(1), 7-12. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2007.10.004.