

Table S1. Correlation coefficients among dependent and predictor variables at 22 months.

| | PhonCom | WL | ND1N | ND2N | LWF1N | LWF2N |
|---------------------|---------|--------|---------|------|---------|--------|
| IFDC _{tot} | .59*** | .45** | -.59*** | -.20 | -.66*** | -.26 |
| PhonCom | — | .90*** | -.63*** | -.28 | -.79*** | -.28 |
| WL | — | — | -.41* | -.28 | -.74*** | -.49** |
| ND1N | — | — | — | -.31 | .62*** | .13 |
| ND2N | — | — | — | — | -.16 | .23 |
| LWF1N | — | — | — | — | — | .29 |
| LWF2N | — | — | — | — | — | — |

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Note. IFDC_{tot} = total vocabulary score on the l’Inventaire Français du Développement Communicatif (Kern & Gayraud, 2010; the French version of the MacArthur–Bates Communicative Development Inventories [MCDI; Fenson et al., 1993]); PhonCom = phonetic complexity; WL = word length; ND1N = neighborhood density of one-syllable nouns; ND2N = neighborhood density of two-syllable nouns; LWF1N = log-transformed word frequency of one-syllable nouns; LWF2N = log-transformed word frequency of two-syllable nouns.

Table S2. Correlation coefficients among dependent and predictor variables at 29 months.

| | PhonCom | WL | ND1N | ND2N | LWF1N | LWF2N | PCC | PhonInv |
|---------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| IFDC _{tot} | .67*** | .69*** | -.77*** | -.52** | -.89*** | -.52** | .62*** | .69*** |
| PhonCom | — | .93*** | -.71*** | -.54*** | -.51** | -.51** | .58*** | .24 |
| WL | — | — | -.71*** | -.42** | -.79*** | -.49** | .60*** | .29 |
| ND1N | — | — | — | .53** | .71** | .32* | -.48** | -.59*** |
| ND2N | — | — | — | — | .47** | .23 | -.35* | -.37* |
| LWF1N | — | — | — | — | — | .59*** | -.49** | -.54*** |
| LWF2N | — | — | — | — | — | — | -.12 | -.06 |
| PCC | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | .50** |
| PhonInv | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Note. IFDC_{tot} = total vocabulary score on the l’Inventaire Français du Développement Communicatif (Kern & Gayraud, 2010; the French version of the MacArthur–Bates Communicative Development Inventories [MCIDI; Fenson et al., 1993]); PhonCom = phonetic complexity; WL = word length; ND1N = neighborhood density of one-syllable nouns; ND2N = neighborhood density of two-syllable nouns; LWF1N = log-transformed word frequency of one-syllable nouns; LWF2N = log-transformed word frequency of two-syllable nouns; PCC = percent consonants correct; PhonInv = syllable-initial consonant inventory size.

Table S3. Correlation coefficients among dependent and predictor variables at 36 months.

| | PhonCom | WL | ND1N | ND2N | LWF1N | LWF2N | PCC | PhonInv |
|----------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| DLPF3 _{tot} | .67*** | .45** | -.44** | -.45** | -.83*** | -.81*** | .35* | .42** |
| PhonCom | — | .93*** | -.76*** | -.85*** | -.38* | -.53** | .15 | .44** |
| WL | — | — | -.69*** | -.91*** | -.20 | -.43** | -.06 | .36* |
| ND1N | — | — | — | .59*** | .13 | .26 | -.18 | -.40* |
| ND2N | — | — | — | — | .22 | .44** | .07 | -.33 |
| LWF1N | — | — | — | — | — | .84*** | -.36* | -.19 |
| LWF2N | — | — | — | — | — | — | .12 | -.15 |
| PCC | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | .25 |
| PhonInv | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Note. DLPF3_{tot} = total vocabulary score on the Developpement du Langage de Production en Français Version 3 (Bassano et al., 2005); PhonCom = phonetic complexity; WL = word length; ND1N = neighborhood density of one-syllable nouns; ND2N = neighborhood density of two-syllable nouns; LWF1N = log-transformed word frequency of one-syllable nouns; LWF2N = log-transformed word frequency of two-syllable nouns; PCC = percent consonants correct; PhonInv = syllable-initial consonant inventory size.

Table S4. Correlation coefficients among dependent and predictor variables at 48 months.

| | PhonCom | WL | ND1N | ND2N | LWF1N | LWF2N | PCC | PhonInv |
|----------------------|---------|--------|------|--------|---------|---------|------|---------|
| DLPF4 _{tot} | .90*** | .72*** | .15 | -.08 | -.89*** | -.93*** | .16 | .11 |
| PhonCom | — | .86*** | .06 | -.15 | -.89*** | -.91*** | .14 | .05 |
| WL | — | — | .07 | -.06 | -.74*** | -.81*** | .04 | .07 |
| ND1N | — | — | — | .91*** | -.11 | -.14 | .16 | .13 |
| ND2N | — | — | — | — | .08 | .08 | .20 | .19 |
| LWF1N | — | — | — | — | — | .93*** | -.19 | -.12 |
| LWF2N | — | — | — | — | — | — | .13 | -.08 |
| PCC | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | .06 |
| PhonInv | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Note. DLPF4_{tot} = total vocabulary score on the *Developpement du Langage de Production en Français Version 4* (Bassano et al., 2005); PhonCom = phonetic complexity; WL = word length; ND1N = neighborhood density of one-syllable nouns; ND2N = neighborhood density of two-syllable nouns; LWF1N = log-transformed word frequency of one-syllable nouns; LWF2N = log-transformed word frequency of two-syllable nouns; PCC = percent consonants correct; PhonInv = syllable-initial consonant inventory size.

Table S5. Correlation coefficients among predictor variables at ages 22 and 29 months and vocabulary size at 36 and 48 months (i.e., DLPF3_{tot} & DLPF4_{tot}).

| | PhonCom | WL | ND1N | ND2N | LWF1N | LWF2N | PCC | PhonInv |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| Predicting vocabulary size at 36 months (DLPF3 _{tot}) | | | | | | | | |
| 22 months | -.11 | -.17 | -.21 | .10 | -.17 | -.03 | — | — |
| 29 months | .47** | .45** | -.57*** | -.43** | -.70*** | -.46** | .57*** | .65*** |
| Predicting vocabulary size at 48 months (DLPF4 _{tot}) | | | | | | | | |
| 22 months | -.10 | -.09 | .04 | .22 | -.27 | .13 | — | — |
| 29 months | .51** | .45** | -.36* | -.28 | -.60*** | -.61*** | .37* | .09 |

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Note. DLPF3_{tot} = total vocabulary score on the *Developpement du Langage de Production en Français Version 3* (Bassano et al., 2005); DLPF4_{tot} = total vocabulary score on the *Developpement du Langage de Production en Français Version 4* (Bassano et al., 2005); PhonCom = phonetic complexity; WL = word length; ND1N = neighborhood density of one-syllable nouns; ND2N = neighborhood density of two-syllable nouns; LWF1N = log-transformed word frequency of one-syllable nouns; LWF2N = log-transformed word frequency of two-syllable nouns; PCC = percent consonants correct; PhonInv = syllable-initial consonant inventory size.

References

Bassano, D., Labrell, F., Champaud, C., Lemétayer, F., & Bonnet, P. (2005). Le DLPF: Un nouvel outil pour l'évaluation du Développement du Langage de Production en Français [The DLPF: A new tool for assessing the development of language production in French]. *Enfance*, *57*, 171–208. <https://www.cairn.info/revue-enfance1-2005-2-page-171.htm>

Fenson, L., Dale, P., Reznick, S., Thal, D., Bates, E., Hartung, J., Pethick, S., & Reilly, J. (1993). *MacArthur Communicative Development Inventories: User's guide and technical manual*. Singular.

Kern, S., & Gayraud, F. (2010). *L'inventaire Français du Développement Communicatif* [The French Inventory of Communicative Development]. Editions La Cigale.