

**Supplemental Material S3. Coding manual.**

<b>Code Category</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Code Description</b>
<b>Study Information</b>	Authors	All authors listed
	Title	Title of journal article
	Journal	Journal where published
	Year	Year of publication
	Meta-analysis Type	SMD: Effect size demonstrates the difference between groups Correlational: Effect size represents the correlation across variables Both: Examined both group differences and correlation across variables
	Funding	Reported that the project received funding
	Registered	Reported that the project was preregistered online on platforms such as PROSPERO or Open Science Framework
<b>Eligibility Criteria</b>	Design Type	Reported the study designs eligible for inclusion
	Population	Reported the population characteristics eligible for inclusion
	Intervention	Reported the interventions eligible for inclusion (only for SMD meta-analyses)
	Outcomes	Reported outcome variables eligible for inclusion
	Grey Literature	Reported if grey literature was intentionally searched
<b>Moderators</b>	Justification	All moderators were justified with literature prior to investigation
<b>Search Strategy</b>	Databases	Reported all databases that were searched
	Terms	Reported all terms that were searched (for at least 1 database)
	Strings	Reported the actual string of terms that was searched (for at least one database)
	Dates	Provided explicit search date time frame or the date when the search was conducted if no limit on search dates was imposed
	Missing Data	Reported contacting authors for additional / missing information
<b>Screening and Coding Processes</b>	Abstract	Reported on the abstract screening process
	Full text	Reported on the full-text screening process
	Coding manual	Provided details about the coding manual
	Coding process	Provided details about the coding process
	Screening Reliability Process	Reported on the reliability process during screening
	Screening Reliability Estimate	Reported the exact reliability estimate for the screening process
	Coding Reliability Process	Reported on the reliability process during coding
	Coding Reliability Estimate	Reported the exact reliability estimate for the coding process

<b>Code Category</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Code Description</b>
<b>Analytic Strategy</b>	Metric	Reported the exact effect size metrics that were used
	Dependency	Discussed how dependent data points were addressed
	Synthesis	Reported whether a random or fixed effect model was used
	Weights	Reported whether and/or how the model was weighted
	ES Extraction	Described the process for effect size extraction over and above the primary effect size metric
<b>Assessment of Bias</b>	Publication Bias	Described whether and/or how publication bias was assessed
	Risk of Bias	Described whether and/or how risk of bias was assessed
<b>Search Results</b>	Number Searched	Reported the total number of studies screened
	Number Abstract	Reported the total number of abstracts screened
	Number Full Text	Reported the total number of studies screened by full-text
	Number Extra	Reported the total number of studies found outside of the database searches (i.e., hand searches, contacting authors).
	Number Final	Reported the final number of studies after all screening was finished
	PRISMA Chart	Authors published their PRISMA search flow chart
	PRISMA Citation	Authors cited the PRISMA checklist
	PRISMA Checklist	Authors published the PRISMA checklist for their study
<b>Summary Statistics</b>	Aggregate Sample Size	Provided the overall sample size for all included studies
	Moderator Data	Reported the moderator data for each individual study
	Individual Sample Size	Reported the sample size of each individual study
	Individual Effect Size	Reported the effect size of each individual study
<b>Reproducibility</b>	Data Provided	Published the applicable data
	Statistical Code Provided	Published the applicable statistical code

*Note.* We coded each of these indicators as yes/no/not applicable (NA). We used NA in instances where the study design did not require authors to report on a certain criteria.