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Table S1. Yeast strains used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Strain name</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TYSC309</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1</td>
<td>Lab collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYSC310</td>
<td></td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1</td>
<td>Lab collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYSC360</td>
<td>eB12Δ</td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1 Δrpl19A::kanMX6 Δrpl19B::kanMX6 [pRS315-rpl19_1-146]</td>
<td>Lab collection (Kisly et al. 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYSC453</td>
<td></td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1 Δrpl24A::hphMX6</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYSC455</td>
<td></td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1 Δrpl24B::hphMX6</td>
<td>(Kisly et al. 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYSC488</td>
<td>eL24Δ</td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1 Δrpl24A::hphMX6 Δrpl24B::hphMX6</td>
<td>(Kisly et al. 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYSC517</td>
<td></td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1 Δrpl41A::natMX6</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYSC519</td>
<td></td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1 Δrpl41A::natMX6</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYSC523</td>
<td></td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1 Δrpl41B::natMX6</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| TYSC532 | eL41Δ | MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1  
|         |       | Δrpl41A::natMX6 Δrpl41B::natMX6  
| TYSC561 | eL24Δ  | MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1  
|         | eL41Δ  | Δrpl24A::hphMX6 Δrpl24B::hphMX6  
| TYSC572 | eB12Δ  | MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1  
|         | eL41Δ  | Δrpl19A::kanMX6 Δrpl19B::kanMX6  
|         |         | Δrpl41A::natMX6 Δrpl41B::natMX6  
|         |         | [pRS315-rpl191-146]  
| TYSC603 | AL-Δ2  | MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1  
|         |         | Δrpl19A::kanMX6 Δrpl19B::kanMX6  
|         |         | Δrpl24A::hphMX6 Δrpl24B::hphMX6  
|         |         | [pRS315-rpl191-146; pRS314-rpl241-65]  
| TYSC624 | AL-Δ3  | MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ36 Δarg4 Δlys1  
|         |         | Δrpl19A::kanMX6 Δrpl19B::kanMX6  
|         |         | Δrpl24A::hphMX6 Δrpl24B::hphMX6  
|         |         | Δrpl41A::natMX6 Δrpl41B::natMX6  
|         |         | [pRS315-rpl191-146; pRS314-rpl241-65]  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  
|         |         | This study  

Materials and Methods

Visualization of ribosome and r-protein structures
PyMOL 1.5.0.5 (Schrodinger 2015) was used to visualize and compare the structures of eukaryotic (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) and archaeal (*Haloarcula marismortui*) ribosomes and r-proteins. Coordinates for yeast (PDB entry 3U5E form (Ben-Shem et al. 2011)) and archaeal (PDB entry 4V9F from (Gabdulkhakov et al. 2013)) ribosomes were used.

Preparation of 80S ribosomes for mass spectrometric analysis
To label proteins in yeast cells with 'light’ amino acids, wild-type, AL-2Δ and AL-3Δ (TYSC309, TYSC603 and TYSC624) cells were grown in YPD medium. To label proteins with 'heavy’ amino acids, wild-type cells were grown as described previously (Kisly et al. 2019). The ‘light’ and ‘heavy’ labelled ribosomes were purified as described previously (Kisly et al. 2019), except high-magnesium buffer A30 (30 mM Hepes-KOH [pH 7.5], 30 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 100 mM KCl, 2 mM DTT) + 0.5 mM PMSF (final conc.) was used for ribosome purification. Additionally, 80S fractions were not pelleted by ultracentrifugation, but concentrated with 100,000 NMWL Amicon Ultra-15 filters in buffer A30. ‘Light’ and ‘heavy’ ribosomes were mixed and precipitated as described previously (Kisly et al. 2019). Proteins were reduced for 1 hour at RT by adding 5 mM DTT and carbamidomethylated with 20 mM chloroacetamide for 1 hour at RT in the dark. Proteins were digested with endoproteinase Lys-C (Wako) at an enzyme to protein ratio 1:50 for 4 hours at RT. The urea concentration in the solutions was reduced by adding 4 volumes of 100 mM NH₃HCO₃ and peptides were further digested with mass spectrometry grade trypsin (Sigma Aldrich; enzyme to protein ratio 1:50) at RT for overnight. Enzymes were inactivated by addition of TFA to a final concentration of 1%.
**LC-MS/MS analysis**

Two independent biological replicates for each strain were analyzed. Peptides were desalted on self-made reverse-phase C₁₈ stop and go extraction tips. Samples were injected to an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano system (Dionex) using a C18 trap-column (Dionex) and an in-house packed (3 µm C18 particles, Dr Maisch) analytical 50 cm x 75 µm emitter-column (New Objective). Peptides were eluted at 200 nl/min with a 5-40% B 120 min gradient (buffer B: 80% acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid, buffer A: 0.1% formic acid) to a Q Exactive Plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific) mass spectrometer (MS) using a nano-electrospray source (spray voltage of 2.5 kV). The MS was operated with a top-10 data-dependent acquisition strategy. Briefly, one 350-1400 m/z MS scan at a resolution setting of R=70 000 at 200 m/z was followed by higher-energy collisional dissociation fragmentation (normalized collision energy of 26) of 10 most intense ions (z: +2 to +6) at R=17 500. MS and MS/MS ion target values were 3e6 and 5e4 with 50 ms injection times. Dynamic exclusion was limited to 40 s. Raw mass spectrometric data files were processed using MaxQuant software and searched against Saccharomyces Genome Database proteins sequences of all systematically named ORFs as described previously (Piir et al. 2014; Kisly et al. 2019). Obtained data file containing all detected peptides was further processed in RStudio, and ‘heavy’/’light’ ratios were calculated as described previously (Kisly et al. 2019). To compare ratios of eL19 and eL24 proteins more precisely, the identical peptides originated from eL19 and eL24 were analyzed in wild-type and mutant strains. Statistical significance of changes in protein ratios was evaluated in Perseus by the unpaired two-sample Student's t-test. For ribosomal proteins, fold change higher or lower than 1.41 times (log₂ > = 0.5 or log₂ < = –0.5) and P-value lower than 0.05 (–log₁₀ > 1.3) were defined as statistically significant thresholds of difference.
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Figure S1. Structures of the r-proteins eL19 (A) and eL24 (B).

Structures of the wild-type eukaryotic (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) and archaeal (*Haloarcula marismortui*) r-proteins eL19 and eL24 are shown. Variants of budding yeast eL19_{1-146} and eL24_{1-65} mimicking the archaeal versions are also presented. The domain organization of both r-proteins is indicated.
Figure S2 (A, B)

A.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{eL41A} & \times & \text{eL24} \\
\text{(TYSC519)} & \times & \text{(TYSC488)} \\
\text{rpl41A} & \times & \text{rpl24A} \\
\text{rpl41A} & \times & \text{rpl24A} \\
\text{rpl41A} & \times & \text{rpl24B} \\
\end{array}
\]

Step 1

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{eL24\&eL41A} & \times & \text{eL24\&eL41B} \\
\text{rpl24A} & \times & \text{rpl24A} \\
\text{rpl24B} & \times & \text{rpl24B} \\
\text{rpl41A} & \times & \text{rpl41A} \\
\text{rpl41B} & \times & \text{rpl41B} \\
\end{array}
\]

Step 2

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{eL24\&eL41} & \\
\text{(TYSC561)} & \\
\text{rpl24A} & \\
\text{rpl24B} & \\
\text{rpl41A} & \\
\text{rpl41B} & \\
\end{array}
\]

B.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{eL41A} & \times & \text{eB12} \\
\text{(TYSC519)} & \times & \text{(TYSC360)} \\
\text{rpl41A} & \times & \text{rpl19A} \\
\text{rpl41A} & \times & \text{rpl19B} \\
+ & \text{eL19}_{1.145} & \\
\text{rpl41A} & \times & \text{rpl41A} \\
\end{array}
\]

Step 1

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{eB12\&eL41A} & \times & \text{eB12\&eL41B} \\
\text{rpl19A} & \times & \text{rpl19A} \\
\text{rpl19B} & \times & \text{rpl19B} \\
+ & \text{eL19}_{1.145} & \\
\text{rpl41A} & \times & \text{rpl41A} \\
\end{array}
\]

Step 2

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{eB12\&eL41} & \\
\text{(TYSC572)} & \\
\text{rpl19A} & \\
\text{rpl19B} & \\
+ & \text{eL19}_{1.145} & \\
\text{rpl41A} & \\
\text{rpl41B} & \\
\end{array}
\]
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Figure S2 (C, D)

C. 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{eL24A}\Delta \\
\text{(TYSC453)} \\
\text{rpl24A}\Delta \\
\times \\
\text{eB12}\Delta \\
\text{(TYSC360)}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{rpl19A}\Delta \\
\times \\
\text{eL24B}\Delta \\
\text{(TYSC455)} \\
\text{rpl24B}\Delta \\
\downarrow \\
\text{eB12}\Delta \text{eL24A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl19A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl19B}\Delta +\text{eL19}_{1-146} \\
\text{rpl24A}\Delta \\
\downarrow \\
\text{eB12}\Delta \text{eL24A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl19A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl19B}\Delta +\text{eL19}_{1-146} \\
\text{rpl24B}\Delta \\
\downarrow +\text{eL24}_{1-55}
\end{array}
\]

Step 1

D. 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{eL24A}\Delta \\
\text{(TYSC453)} \\
\text{rpl24A}\Delta \\
\times \\
\text{eB12}\Delta \text{eL41}\Delta \\
\text{(TYSC572)} \\
\text{rpl19A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl19B}\Delta +\text{eL19}_{1-146} \\
\text{rpl41A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl41B}\Delta \\
\downarrow \\
\text{eB12}\Delta \text{eL41}\Delta \text{eL24A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl19A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl19B}\Delta +\text{eL19}_{1-146} \\
\text{rpl24A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl41A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl41B}\Delta \\
\downarrow +\text{eL24}_{1-55}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{eB12}\Delta \text{eL41}\Delta \text{eL24B}\Delta \\
\text{rpl19A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl19B}\Delta +\text{eL19}_{1-146} \\
\text{rpl24B}\Delta \\
\text{rpl41A}\Delta \\
\text{rpl41B}\Delta \\
\downarrow +\text{eL24}_{1-55}
\end{array}
\]

Step 2

Step 3
**Figure S2. Diagrams illustrating the strategy for strain construction.**

(A) Strategy for construction of eL24ΔeL41Δ strain (TYSC561).

Step 1: Strain rpl24AΔrpl24BΔ (eL24Δ, TYSC488) was crossed with mutants carrying either rpl41AΔ or rpl41BΔ. Strains eL24Δrpl41AΔ and eL24Δrpl41BΔ were isolated.

Step 2: Strains obtained from the first cross were mated and strain carrying the deletion of eL24 and eL41 encoding paralogous genes (eL24ΔeL41Δ) was isolated.

(B) Strategy for construction of eB12ΔeL41Δ strain (TYSC572).

Step 1: Strain rpl19AΔrpl19BΔ + eL191-146 (eB12Δ, TYSC360) was crossed with mutants carrying either rpl41AΔ or rpl41BΔ. Strains eB12Δrpl41AΔ and eB12Δrpl41BΔ were isolated.

Step 2: Strains obtained from the first cross were mated and a strain with a plasmid for expression of archaeal variant of eL19 (eL191-146) and carrying the deletions of eL19 and eL41 encoding paralogous genes (eB12ΔeL41Δ) was isolated.

(C) Strategy for construction of AL-Δ2 strain (TYSC603).

Step 1: Strain rpl19AΔrpl19BΔ + eL191-146 (eB12Δ, TYSC360) was crossed with mutants carrying either rpl24AΔ or rpl24BΔ. Strains eB12Δrpl24AΔ and eB12Δrpl24BΔ were isolated.

Step 2: Strains obtained from the first cross were mated.

Step 3: The plasmid expressing an archaeal variant of eL24 (eL241-65) was transformed into the heterozygous diploid before sporulation was induced. The strain carrying deletion of eL19 and eL24 encoding paralogous genes and containing the plasmids for expression of eL191-146 and eL241-65 (AL-Δ2) was isolated.

(D) Strategy for construction of AL-Δ3 strain (TYSC624).

Step 1: Strain rpl19AΔrpl19BΔ rpl41AΔ rpl41BΔ + eL191-146 (eB12ΔeL41Δ, TYSC572) was crossed with mutants carrying either rpl24AΔ or rpl24BΔ. Strains eB12ΔeL41Δrpl24AΔ and eB12ΔeL41Δrpl24BΔ were isolated.
Step 2: Strains obtained from the first cross were mated.

Step 3: The plasmid expressing an archaeal variant of eL24 (eL24\textsubscript{1-65}) was transformed into the heterozygous diploid before sporulation was induced. The strain carrying deletions of eL19, eL24 and eL41 encoding paralogous genes and containing the plasmids for expression of eL19\textsubscript{1-146} and eL24\textsubscript{1-65} (AL-Δ3) was isolated.
Figure S3. Analysis of global levels of translation of eL24 mutants.

Wild-type (WT, TYSC309), rpl24Δrpl24BΔ strain (eL24Δ, TYSC488) expressing the wild-type allele of eL24, and rpl24Δrpl24BΔ strain carrying the deletions of eL41 encoding genes (eL24ΔeL41Δ, TYSC561) and expressing the wild-type allele of eL24 were analyzed. The incorporation of radioactive isotope-labeled amino acids into newly synthesized polypeptides was measured in exponentially growing cells at 30°C. Samples were taken every 15 minutes for 2 hours. The samples were TCA precipitated, and the incorporation of radioactive label over time was measured. The obtained values of disintegrations per minute (DPM) were plotted, and the slope was calculated. The average slope values (mean ± SD) from at least four biological replicates are plotted. No statistically significant difference was determined by the Games-Howell post hoc test at the significance level of 0.05.
Figure S4. Mass spectrometric analysis of ribosomes from Archaea-like mutants.

Volcano plots of changes in r-protein composition in ribosomes of AL-2Δ mutant vs ribosomes from wild type (A) and ribosomes of AL-3Δ mutant vs ribosomes from wild type (B). Vertical dashed lines highlight the fold change cutoff of 1.41 ($\log_2 = 0.5$ and -0.5). Horizontal dashed line highlights the significance cutoff of 0.05 ($-\log_{10} = 1.3$). Red and orange circles indicate the r-proteins eL19 and eL24, respectively.
Figure S5. Position (A) and “light/heavy” ratios (B) of peptides originated from the r-protein eL19.

Sequence of the eL19 is presented in black letters. Arrow indicates position of the last amino acid residue in archaeal variant of eL19 in ribosomes of AL-2Δ and AL-3Δ mutants. Peptides identified in ribosomes of all strains and biological replicates are shown in blue letters. Peptides identified only in ribosomes of wild-type strain are shown in red letters. The average “light/heavy” ratios (mean ± SD) across all biological replicates are plotted.
Figure S6. Position (A) and “light/heavy” ratios (B) of peptides originated from the r-protein eL24.

Sequence of the eL24 is presented in black letters. Arrow indicates position of the last amino acid residue in archaeal variant of eL24 in ribosomes of AL-2Δ and AL-3Δ mutants. Peptides identified in ribosomes of all strains and biological replicates are shown in blue letters. Peptides identified only in ribosomes of wild-type strain are shown in red letters. The average “light/heavy” ratios (mean ± SD) across all biological replicates are plotted.