

# 1 Multi-phase flow modelling of transport, deposition and erosion of sediments

## 2 *Suspended flow*

3

4 The following system of equations describes multi-phase flow behaviour for sediment gravity flows.  
5 Generalized equations about suspension flow modelling may be found in Ishii (1975) and Ungarish  
6 (1993), and the suspension flow model implemented in MassFLOW-3D can be further read about in  
7 FLOW-3D (2009) and Basani & Hansen (2009). This system consists of seven groups of equations (1a,  
8 b)-(6) and seven groups of unknowns  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}, P, c_{s,i}, \mathbf{u}_{r,i}, \mathbf{u}_{drift,i}, K_i, \mathbf{u}_{lift,i}$  ( $i = 1, N$ ;  $N$ - total number of  
9 sediment species):

$$\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial t} + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P + \mathbf{g} + \nabla((v + v_T) \cdot \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \quad (1a, b)$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial c_{s,i}}{\partial t} \right)_x + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla c_{s,i} = D \nabla^2 c_{s,i} - \mathbf{u}_{lift,i} \cdot \nabla c_{s,i} - \mathbf{u}_{drift,i} \cdot \nabla c_{s,i} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{r,i} = \frac{\mathbf{g}}{K_i} (\rho_{s,i} - \bar{\rho}) f_{s,i} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{drift,i} = (1 - f_{s,i}) \mathbf{u}_{r,i} - \sum_{j=1}^{N(-i)} f_{s,j} \mathbf{u}_{r,i} \quad (4)$$

$$K_i = \frac{3}{4} \frac{f_{s,i}}{d_{s,i}} \left( C_{D,i} \|\mathbf{u}_{r,i}\| + 24 \frac{\mu_f}{\rho_f d_{s,i}} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{lift,i} = \alpha_i \mathbf{n}_s d_*^{0.3} (\theta_i - \theta''_{cr,i})^{1.5} \sqrt{\frac{\|\mathbf{g}\| d_{s,i} (\rho_{s,i} - \rho_f)}{\rho_f}} \quad (6)$$

- 10 1) a, b)  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1 - \sum_{j=1}^N f_{s,j}) \mathbf{u}_f + \sum_{j=1}^N f_{s,j} \mathbf{u}_{s,i}$  - the mean velocity of the fluid/sediment  
11 mixture (bulk flow),  $P$  - pressure  
12 2)  $c_{s,i}$  - the concentration of the suspended sediment, in units of mass per unit volume  
13 3)  $\mathbf{u}_{r,i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{u}_{s,i} - \mathbf{u}_f$  - the relative velocity  
14 4)  $\mathbf{u}_{drift,i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{u}_{s,i} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}$  - the drift velocity to compute the transport of sediment due to drift  
15 5)  $K_i$  - drag function  
16 6)  $\mathbf{u}_{lift,i}$  - the entrainment lift velocity (volumetric flux) of sediment

17 Now we describe how this system of equations was derived and what it describes.

18 Equation (1a) is mass balance equation for incompressible fluid-sediment mixture.

19 Equation (1b) is Unsteady Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equation for fluid-sediment mixture  
20 (bulk flow), where  $\nu$  - molecular viscosity and  $\nu_T$  - turbulent viscosity related to Reynolds stresses  
21 and  $\nu_T \gg \nu$ . Turbulent viscosity is calculated by RNG turbulence model (Lyn 2008). The RNG  $k - \varepsilon$   
22 model has several advantages over the standard  $k - \varepsilon$  model. It is more accurate for rapidly strained  
23 flows and swirling flows and for lower Reynolds numbers ( $Re$ ), the RNG model behaves better than  
24 the standard  $k - \varepsilon$  which is only valid for high Reynolds number flows.

25

### 26 Short description of RNG model implemented in MassFLOW-3D™

27 The kinematic turbulent viscosity is computed from

$$\nu_T = 0.085 \frac{k_T^2}{\varepsilon_T}$$

28 The two-equations renormalization group (RNG)  $k-\varepsilon$  turbulence model is based on the turbulence  
29 viscosity hypothesis and solve two transport equations for the turbulent kinetic energy,  $k_T$  and the  
30 turbulent dissipation,  $\varepsilon_T$ .

31 The turbulent kinetic energy is defined as the energy of the turbulent velocity fluctuations:

$$k_T = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{u'^2} + \overline{v'^2} + \overline{w'^2})$$

32 where  $u'$ ,  $v'$  and  $w'$  are the component of the velocity fluctuations.

33 The transport equation for the turbulent kinetic energy  $k_T$  is

$$\frac{\partial k_T}{\partial t} + \left\{ u \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial z} \right\} = P_T + G_T + D_K - \varepsilon_T$$

34 The transport equation of the turbulence dissipation  $\varepsilon_T$  is as follow:

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_T}{\partial t} + \left\{ u \frac{\partial \varepsilon_T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \varepsilon_T}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial \varepsilon_T}{\partial z} \right\} = \frac{C_{\varepsilon 1} \cdot \varepsilon_T}{k_T} (P_T + C_{\varepsilon 3} \cdot G_T) + D_\varepsilon - C_{\varepsilon 2} \frac{\varepsilon_T^2}{k_T}$$

35 The rate of turbulent energy dissipation,  $\varepsilon_T$ , in one-equation model is related to the turbulent  
36 kinetic energy  $k_T$ :

$$\varepsilon_T = 0.085 \frac{\sqrt{3/2} k_T^{3/2}}{TLEN}$$

37 The RNG model uses equations similar to the equations for the k-ε model. However, equation  
38 constants that are found empirically in the standard k-ε model are derived explicitly in the RNG  
39 model, like  $C_{\varepsilon 2}$  is computed from the turbulent kinetic energy ( $k_T$ ) and turbulent production ( $P_T$ )  
40 terms.

41 In order to prevent unphysical small dissipation rates, the minimum dissipation is limited by a  
42 maximum length scale, represented by TLEN in MassFLOW-3D™.

$$\varepsilon_{T,min} = 0.085 \frac{\sqrt{3/2} k_T^{3/2}}{TLEN}$$

43 TLEN and the initiation of the turbulent kinetic energy  $k_T$  are the two main turbulent parameters  
44 that are defined by the user during the setting up of a model. *Equation (2)* is mass balance equation  
45 for each sediment species  $i$ , which describes the motion of the suspended sediment in the system by  
46 the advection-diffusion equation, with the addition of the effect of drifting and lifting of the  
47 sediment.

48 *Equations (3)* is obtained by subtracting momentum balance for fluid-sediment mixture (1) from  
49 momentum balances for each sediment species  $i$ , use of relative velocity definition and assumptions:  
50 a) that the motion of sediment is nearly steady at the scale of the computational time and that the  
51 advection term is very small due to small gradients in the drift velocity, b) that the ratio of pressure  
52 gradient to mixture density is typically proportional to the acceleration of gravity,  $g$ .

53 *Equation (4)* is derived from the definitions for  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_{r,i}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_{drift,i}$ .

54 *Equation (5)* is obtained by combining of form drag and Stokes drag, (Clift et al. 1978).

55 *Equation (6)* is modelled to calculate the entrainment lift velocity (volumetric flux) of sediment and  
56 the entrainment coefficient  $\alpha_i$  are based on Mastbergen and Van Den Berg (2003).

### 57 ***Bed-load transport and packing of sediments***

58

59 Bed-load transport describes the movement of large particles along the surface of the bed without  
60 being entrained into the bulk fluid flow. Meyer-Peter & Muller (1948) formula predicts the  
61 volumetric flow rate of sediment per unit width over the surface of the packed bed.

62 The mass flux of sediment as computed across computational cell boundaries in MassFLOW-3D™ is a  
63 multiplication of velocity of the sediment in each computational cell, bed load thickness, the volume  
64 fraction of the bed-load layer and density of the sediment species,

65  $Q_{b,i} = \mathbf{u}_{bedload,i} \delta_i f_{b,i} \rho_{s,i}$  Hindered settling is not important for the low concentration of the  
66 sediments.

67 **Additional notations**

68  $\rho_f$ -fluid density

69  $\rho_{s,i}$  - density of the sediment species,

70  $\bar{\rho}$  - mean density

71  $f_{s,i}$ - volume fraction of sediment species  $i$

72  $d_{s,i}$  - diameter for sediment species  $i$ ,

73  $C_{D,i}$  - drag coefficient for sediment species  $i$ ,

74  $P$  – pressure,

75  $P_T = C_{SP} \left( \frac{\mu}{\rho V_f} \right) \left[ \begin{array}{l} 2\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)^2 \\ + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)^2 \end{array} \right]$  - turbulent production term,

76  $C_{SP}$  - turbulent parameter, whose default value is 1.0,

77  $G_T = -C_\rho \left( \frac{\mu}{\rho^3} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right)$  - the buoyancy production term,

78  $C_\rho$ - has a default value of 0.0 unless the problem is thermally buoyant, in which case it takes a value  
79 of 2.5,

80  $D_K = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{v_T}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{v_T}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{v_T}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial k_T}{\partial z} \right)$  - diffusion term for the turbulent kinetic energy,

81  $\sigma_k$  has a value of 0.72 for the RNG model

82  $C_{\epsilon 1}$ ,  $C_{\epsilon 2}$  and  $C_{\epsilon 3}$  - non-dimensional parameters. The default value for  $C_{\epsilon 1}$  is 1.42.  $C_{\epsilon 2}$  is computed  
83 based on the value of  $k_T$ ,  $\epsilon_T$  and the shear rate.  $C_{\epsilon 3}$  has a value of 0.2.

84  $D_\epsilon = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{v_T}{\sigma_\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon_T}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{v_T}{\sigma_\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon_T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{v_T}{\sigma_\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon_T}{\partial z} \right)$  - diffusion term for the dissipation

85 where  $\sigma_\epsilon$  is equal to 0.72 for the RNG model

86  $\mathbf{n}_s$  – outward pointing normal vector to the packed bed interface,

87  $d_*$ - dimensionless mean particle diameter,

88  $\|\mathbf{g}\|$ - magnitude of the gravitational vector,

89  $\mu_f$  - fluid viscosity

90  $\theta_i = \frac{\tau}{\|\mathbf{g}\| d_{s,i} (\rho_{s,i} - \rho_f)}$  - local Shields parameter at the bed interface (it is computed based on the local  
91 shear stress,  $\tau$ )

92  $f_{b,i} = 0.18 \frac{f_{packed}}{d_*} \left( \frac{\theta_i}{\theta''_{cr,i}} - 1 \right)$ - the volume fraction of the bed-load layer is predicted by *van Rijn*,  
93 1984,

94  $\frac{\delta_i}{d_{50}} = 0.3 d_*^{0.7} \left( \frac{\theta_i}{\theta''_{cr,i}} - 1 \right)^{0.5}$  - bed load thickness,  $d_{50}$ - local mean particle size in the computational  
95 cell

96  $\mathbf{u}_{bedload,i} = u_{bedload,i} \frac{\bar{\mathbf{u}}}{\|\bar{\mathbf{u}}\|}$  the direction of the motion is determined from the motion of the liquid  
97 adjacent to the packed bed interface,

98  $u_{bedload,i} = \frac{q_{b,i}}{\delta_i f_{b,i}}$  velocity of the sediment in each computational cell,

99  $q_{b,i} = \beta_i (\theta_i - \theta''_{cr,i})^{1.5} \cdot \left[ \|\mathbf{g}\| \left( \frac{\rho_{s,i} - \rho_f}{\rho_f} \right) d_{s,i}^3 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  - volumetric bed-load transport rate per unit width,

100

101  $\beta_i$ - bedload coefficient is typically equal to 8.0 (Van Rijn 1984),

102

103  $\theta''_{cr,i} = \theta'_{cr,i} \frac{\cos \psi \sin \beta + \sqrt{\cos^2 \beta \tan^2 \phi_i + \sin^2 \psi \sin^2 \beta}}{\tan \phi_i}$  the critical Shields parameter modified for sloping  
104 surfaces to include the angle of repose (Soulsby 1997),

105  $\theta'_{cr,i} = \theta_{cr,i} \frac{1.666667}{\log_{10} \left( 19 \frac{d_{s,i}}{d_{50}} \right)^2}$  the critical Shields parameter modified by the effect of armoring  
106 (Egiazaroff 1965),

107  $\beta$ - computed angle of the packed interface normal relative to the gravitational vector  $\mathbf{g}$ ,

108  $\phi_i, \psi$ - user-defined angle of repose for sediment species  $i$  and angle between the flow and the  
109 upslope direction, respectively

110

111

## 112 References

113

114 BASANI, R. & HANSEN, E. W. M. 2009. *MassFLOW-3D Process Modelling; Three-dimensional*  
115 *numerical forward modelling of submarine massflow processes and sedimentary successions*. Project  
116 report 2004-2008, CFD-R09-1-09.

117 CLIFT, R., GRACE, J. R. & WEBER, M. E. 1978. *Bubbles, drops, and particles*. Academic press  
118 New York.

- 119 EGIAZAROFF, I. V. 1965. Calculation of nonuniform sediment concentrations. *Journal of Hydraulic*  
120 *Division*, **91**, 225–247.
- 121 FLOW-3D. 2009. *User Manual Version 9.4*.
- 122 ISHII, M. 1975. *Thermo-fluid dynamic theory of two-phase flow*. Eyrolles, Paris.  
123
- 124 LYN, D. A. 2008. Turbulence models for sediment transport engineering *In: GARCIA, M. H. (ed.)*  
125 *Sedimentation engineering: processes, measurements, modelling, and practice*. ASCE Manuals and  
126 Reports on Engineering Practice N0. 110, American Society of Civil Engineers.
- 127 MASTBERGEN, D. R. & VAN DEN BERG, J. H. 2003. Breaching in fine sands and the generation  
128 of sustained turbidity currents in submarine canyons. *Sedimentology*, **50**, 625–637.
- 129 MEYER-PETER, E. & MÜLLER, R. 1948. Formulas for bed-load transport, *In: Report from the 2nd*  
130 *Meeting of the International Association for Hydraulic Structures Research*. IAHR, Stockholm,  
131 Sweden, 39–64.
- 132 SOULSBY, R. 1997. *Dynamics of Marine Sands: a Manual for Practical Applications*. Thomas  
133 Telford, London.
- 134 UNGARISH, M. 1993. *Hydrodynamics of Suspensions: Fundamentals of Centrifugal and Gravity*  
135 *Separation*. Springer-Verlag.
- 136 VAN RIJN, L.C. 1984. Sediment transport, part I: bed load transport. *Journal of Hydraulic*  
137 *Engineering*, **110**, 1431–1456.