

Supplementary:

Supplementary Table 1: Basic characteristics of the screening and validation cohorts. Marrow blasts, hemoglobin, neutrophil and platelet count expressed as the median (range).

	Screening cohort	Validation cohort patients	Validation cohort controls
Number of patients	16	200	216
Sex (M/F)	9/7 (56%/44%)	115/85 (58%/42%)	110/106 (51%/49%)
Age median (years)	65 (39-82)	69 (19-85)	64 (21-98)
Marrow blasts (%)	8.4 (0.6-18.8)	4.0 (0.0-60.0)	--
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	9.8 (8.3-13.2)	9.4 (6.5-15.3)	--
Neutrophils (G/l)	0.8 (0.20-11.39)	1.48 (0.08-60.6)	--
Platelets (G/l)	120 (36-504)	116 (8-734)	--
MDS subtype			
RA/RN	0 (0%)	15 (8%)	
RARS	0 (0%)	5 (2%)	
MDS with del5q	0 (0%)	15 (8%)	
RCMD	5 (31%)	68 (34%)	
RAEB-1	4 (25%)	20 (10%)	
RAEB-2	7 (44%)	46 (23%)	
secondary AML	0 (0%)	20 (10%)	
CMML	0 (0%)	11 (5%)	
IPSS-R			
very low	0 (0%)	29 (14%)	
low	1 (6%)	43 (21%)	
intermediate	4 (25%)	45 (23%)	
high	7 (44%)	37 (18%)	
very high	4 (25%)	22 (11%)	
NA	--	24 (12%)	
Karyotype			
very good / good	4 (25%)	114 (57%)	
intermediate	7 (44%)	40 (20%)	
poor / very poor	5 (31%)	24 (12%)	
NA	--	22 (11%)	

Supplementary Table 2:

List of 84 genes selected for targeted enrichment resequencing and for expression profiling using Qiagen RT² Profiler PCR DNA Repair Array.

Base Excision Repair (BER): APEX1, APEX2, CCNO, LIG3, MPG, MUTYH, NEIL1, NEIL2, NEIL3, NTHL1, OGG1, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, POLB, SMUG1, TDG, UNG, XRCC1.
Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER): ATXN3, BRIP1, CCNH, CDK7, DDB1, DDB2, ERCC1, ERCC2, ERCC3, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERCC6, ERCC8, LIG1, MMS19, PNKP, POLL, RAD23A, RAD23B, RPA1, RPA3, SLK, XAB2, XPA, XPC.
Mismatch Repair (MMR): MLH1, MLH3, MSH2, MSH3, MSH4, MSH5, MSH6, PMS1, PMS2, POLD3, TREX1.
Double-Strand Break (DSB) Repair: BRCA1, BRCA2, DMC1, FEN1, LIG4, MRE11A, PRKDC, RAD21, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51C, RAD51B, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, XRCC2, XRCC3, XRCC4, XRCC5, XRCC6.
Other Genes Related to DNA Repair: ATM, ATR, EXO1, MGMT, RAD18, RFC1, TOP3A, TOP3B, XRCC6BP1.

Supplementary Table 3:

a) Missense SNPs in DNA repair genes identified by targeted enrichment resequencing as significantly associated with MDS susceptibility. HapMap-CEU population was used as a control group for odds ratio calculation. b) Within the group of patients with poor and very poor cytogenetics according to IPSS-R, significant increase of dependence of these polymorphisms and the presence of MDS was observed. (MAF - minor allele frequency, 95% CI- confidence interval for odds ratio, p-value of calculated odds ratios)

Table 3a - all patients							
Gene	SNP	Genotype	MAF patients	MAF HapMAP	Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value
<i>MLH1</i>	rs1799977	A/G	0.50	0.35	1.857	1.052 - 3.278	0.0449
<i>CCNH</i>	rs2230641	A/G	0.37	0.20	2.349	1.243 - 4.440	0.0118
<i>ERCC6</i>	rs2228529	T/C	0.34	0.20	2.061	1.085 - 3.914	0.0378
<i>ERCC6</i>	rs2228526	T/C	0.35	0.20	2.154	1.136 - 4.083	0.0261
<i>MGMT</i>	rs12917	C/T	0.27	0.10	3.329	1.513 - 7.325	0.0032
<i>TDG</i>	rs4135113	G/A	0.12	0.03	4.409	1.204 - 16.150	0.0287
Table 3b - patients with poor/very poor cytogenetics							
Gene	SNP	Genotype	MAF patients	MAF HapMAP	Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value
<i>MLH1</i>	rs1799977	A/G	0.75	0.35	5.571	3.023 - 10.270	<0.0001
<i>CCNH</i>	rs2230641	A/G	0.61	0.20	6.256	3.319 - 11.790	<0.0001
<i>ERCC6</i>	rs2228529	T/C	0.51	0.20	4.163	2.222 - 7.799	<0.0001
<i>ERCC6</i>	rs2228526	T/C	0.51	0.20	4.163	2.222 - 7.799	<0.0001
<i>MGMT</i>	rs12917	C/T	0.48	0.10	8.308	3.877 - 17.800	<0.0001
<i>TDG</i>	rs4135113	G/A	0.23	0.03	9.658	2.795 - 33.380	<0.0001

Supplementary Table 4:

a) In the population study, none of previously selected SNPs was confirmed as associated with MDS susceptibility. Samples of hematologically healthy controls were used as a control group for odds ratio calculation. b) Detailed analysis of patients with poor and very poor cytogenetics. Polymorphism in gene *MLH1* rs1799977 was associated with MDS in the group of patients with poor and very poor cytogenetics as defined by IPSS-R. (MAF - variant allele frequency, 95% CI- confidence interval for odds ratio, p-value of calculated odds ratios)

Table 4a - all patients							
Gene	SNP	Genotype	MAF patients	MAF control	Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value
<i>MLH1</i>	rs1799977	A/G	0.33	0.30	1.149	0.632 - 2.089	0.7609
<i>CCNH</i>	rs2230641	A/G	0.24	0.27	0.854	0.452 - 1.614	0.8538
<i>ERCC6</i>	rs2228529	T/C	0.24	0.27	0.854	0.452 - 1.614	0.8538
<i>ERCC6</i>	rs2228526	T/C	0.24	0.27	0.854	0.452 - 1.614	0.8538
<i>MGMT</i>	rs12917	C/T	0.16	0.19	0.8120	0.391 - 1.688	0.7102
<i>TDG</i>	rs4135113	G/A	0.03	0.01	3.062	0.313 - 29.960	0.6212
Table 4b - patients with poor/very poor cytogenetics							
Gene	SNP	Genotype	MAF patients	MAF control	Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value
<i>MLH1</i>	rs1799977	A/G	0.55	0.30	2.870	1.594 - 5.102	0.0004
<i>CCNH</i>	rs2230641	A/G	0.26	0.27	0.9499	0.507 - 1.781	1.0000
<i>ERCC6</i>	rs2228529	T/C	0.26	0.27	0.9499	0.507 - 1.781	1.0000
<i>ERCC6</i>	rs2228526	T/C	0.26	0.27	0.9499	0.507 - 1.781	1.0000
<i>MGMT</i>	rs12917	C/T	0.14	0.19	0.6940	0.326 - 1.476	0.4464
<i>TDG</i>	rs4135113	G/A	0.07	0.01	7.452	0.899 - 61.760	0.0649