

In the article by Tao J, et al., entitled ‘Endoplasmic reticulum stress predicts clinical response to cyclosporine treatment in primary membranous nephropathy’ [Am J Nephrol 2016;43:348–356, DOI: 10.1159/000446293], the following 2 errors occurred in table 2: it should be 0.82 (0.85) instead of 0.82±0.85 and similarly 5.11 (4.24) instead of 5.11±4.24.

Table 2. Clinical profile of 76 adult patients with IMN treated with cyclosporine after 6 months

	CR (n = 20)	PR (n = 39)	NR (n = 17)	p value
Proteinuria, g/day	0.14±0.10 (20)	0.82 (0.85) [#] (39)	5.11 (4.24) [*] (17)	<0.001
Serum albumin, g/l	42.0±3.8 (20)	40.0±6.0 (39)	30.0±9.5 [*] (17)	<0.001
Scr, µmol/l	79.0±33.1 (20)	82.0±23.0 (39)	88.0±32.1 (17)	0.359
CsA dose, mg/kg/day	1.69±0.91 (20)	2.44±0.81 [#] (39)	2.31±0.98 [#] (17)	0.009
Prednisone dose, mg/kg/day	0.31±0.20 (9)	0.31±0.14 (16)	0.41±0.06 (6)	0.392

n = The number of patients studied. CsA = Cyclosporine A.

* Compared to CR or PR group; [#] compared to CR group.