



Cumulative effects of common genetic variants on risk of sudden cardiac death



Adriana Huertas-Vazquez^a, Christopher P. Nelson^{b,c}, Janet S. Sinsheimer^d, Kyndaron Reinier^a, Audrey Uy-Evanado^a, Carmen Teodorescu^a, Jo Ayala^a, Alistair S. Hall^e, Karen Gunson^f, Jonathan Jui^g, Nilesh J. Samani^{b,c}, Sumeet S. Chugh^{a,*}

^a The Heart Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles CA, United States

^b Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, United States

^c NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Unit in Cardiovascular Disease, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK

^d Department of Biomathematics and Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, United States

^e University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

^f Pathology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, United States

^g Emergency Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 October 2014

Received in revised form 20 February 2015

Accepted 1 March 2015

Available online 7 March 2015

Keywords:

Sudden cardiac death

Coronary artery disease

Genetics

ABSTRACT

Background: Genome-wide association studies and candidate-gene based approaches have identified multiple common variants associated with increased risk of sudden cardiac death (SCD). However, the independent contribution of these individual loci to disease risk is modest.

Objective: To investigate the cumulative effects of genetic variants previously associated with SCD risk.

Methods: A total of 966 SCD cases from the Oregon-Sudden Unexpected Death Study and 1926 coronary artery disease controls from the Wellcome Trust Case-Control Consortium were investigated. We generated genetic risk scores (GRSs) for each trait composed of variants previously associated with SCD or with abnormalities in specific electrocardiographic traits such as QRS duration, QTc interval and heart rate. GRSs were calculated using a weighted approach based on the number of risk alleles weighted by the beta coefficients derived from the original studies. We also compared the highest and lowest quintiles for the GRS composed of SCD SNPs.

Results: Increased cumulative risk was observed for a GRS composed of 14 SCD-SNPs (OR = 1.17 [1.05–1.29], P = 0.002). The risk for SCD was 1.5 fold greater in the highest risk quintile when compared to the lowest risk quintile (OR = 1.46 [1.11–1.92]). We did not observe significant associations with SCD for SNPs that determine electrocardiographic traits.

Conclusions: A modest but significant effect on SCD risk was identified for a GRS composed of 14 previously associated SCD SNPs. While next generation sequencing methodology will continue to identify additional novel variants, these findings represent proof of concept for the additive effects of gene variants on SCD risk.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is a major public health problem responsible for more than 300,000 deaths in the United States on an annual basis [1–3]. Implantable defibrillators are effective in treating malignant tachyarrhythmias but their impact in primary prevention of SCD could be significantly enhanced by improvements in risk stratification [4–7]. Early

Abbreviations: CAD, coronary artery disease; GWAS, genome-wide association studies; GRS, genetic risk score; Oregon-SUDS, Oregon-Sudden Unexpected Death Study; SCD, sudden cardiac death; WTCCC, Wellcome Trust Case-Control Consortium.

* Corresponding author at: The Heart Institute, AHSP Suite A3100, 127 S San Vicente Blvd, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA 90048, United States. Tel.: +1 310 423 1206; fax: +1 310 423 3522.

E-mail address: sumeet.chugh@cshs.org (S.S. Chugh).

studies highlighting a genetic contribution to pathophysiology of SCD [8–11] prompted multiple candidate-gene based studies and genome wide association studies (GWAS) [12,13]. As a result, several new loci associated with increased risk of SCD were identified from candidate gene based studies [14–20]. From GWAS studies, DNA variants within the BAZ2B [21] and CXADR [22] loci have passed the stringent GWAS significance threshold. However, independently, most of these loci contribute modestly to disease risk. In this study, we selected and investigated the contribution of common variants associated with SCD by published GWAS and candidate gene approaches. We also evaluated variants associated with intermediate electrical phenotypes (endophenotypes), specifically QRS duration [23], heart rate [24] and QTc interval [25]. We hypothesized that a genetic risk score (GRS) comprised of genetic variants that had been previously associated with SCD or SCD electrical

phenotypes would provide additional information on SCD risk prediction. To test this, we developed GRSs for each of these endophenotypes and tested their association with SCD, using a well characterized SCD sample from the Oregon Sudden Unexpected Death Study (Oregon-SUDS). As controls, we used subjects with coronary artery disease (CAD) from the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium Study (WTCCC), thus looking for variants that influence risk of SCD in individuals with CAD, constituting the most common form of SCD.

2. Methods

2.1. Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Oregon Health and Science University, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center and all participant hospitals. The WTCCC Data Access Committee approved the use of the WTCCC data. All samples have been analyzed in accordance with the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all enrolled subjects.

2.2. Subjects

2.2.1. Oregon-SUDS

A total of 966 SCD cases of European descent from the Oregon-SUDS [26–28] with GWAS data available, were included in the study. The Oregon-SUDS is an ongoing prospective study of out-of-hospital SCD. Methods have been published in detail [26,28]. Briefly, SCD cases were identified from the emergency medical response system, the medical examiner network and 16 local hospitals. All available medical records were obtained for each subject following the SCD event, providing a detailed lifetime clinical history for the purpose of phenotyping. SCD was defined as an unexpected pulseless condition of likely cardiac origin. If unwitnessed, SCD was defined as unexpected death within 24 h of having last been seen alive and in a normal state of health [3]. CAD was defined as 50% stenosis of a major coronary artery, physician report of past myocardial infarction (MI), history of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG); autopsy-identified CAD or MI by clinical data with any two of the following three: ischemic symptoms, positive troponins or CKMB; or pathologic Q waves on ECG. SCD cases with chronic terminal illnesses, known non-cardiac causes of SCD, traumatic deaths and drug overdose were excluded from the analysis.

2.2.2. WTCCC subjects

A total of 1926 coronary artery disease (CAD) subjects were included as the comparison (control) group. We used CAD subjects rather than population controls due to the significant overlap between SCD and CAD (CAD is diagnosed in at least 80% of overall SCD cases) [29]. This would maximize the chances of developing a GRS that would be specific for SCD. CAD status and diagnosis was validated by direct review of clinical notes. All subjects were of White European origin and further details of the WTCCC subjects were previously reported [30].

2.2.3. Genotyping and SNP selection

The Oregon-SUDS cases and the WTCCC controls were genotyped and imputed separately using Affymetrix 6.0 and 500 K arrays

Table 1
Traits included for the genetic risk scores estimations.

Traits	No. of SNPs	Reference
SCD ^a	14	14–22
QRS duration	24	23
Heart rate	19	24
QTc interval	9	25

^a Sudden cardiac death.

respectively. SNPs were selected based on information obtained from the NHGRI GWAS Catalogue [31] and literature review [14–25]. We selected 55 independent SNPs previously identified in GWAS studies for SCD-related phenotypes with $P = 5 \times 10^{-8}$, these include: QRS duration, QT interval, and heart rate. (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). We also included 14 SNPs associated with SCD in previous GWAS and candidate gene studies [14–22]. Deviation from Hardy Weinberg equilibrium was not observed for any SNP in either cases or controls. Three SNPs with minor allele frequency less than 5% were excluded from further analyses. After quality control, 66 SNPs for the 4 traits were included. For imputed SNPs, we used the posterior continuous values between 0 and 2. A complete list of the investigated variants is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

2.2.4. Statistical analysis

Separate GRSs were developed for SNPs previously associated with increased risk of SCD (14 SNPs), prolonged QRS duration (26 SNPs), QT interval (9 SNPs) and increased heart rate (18 SNPs). For each of these GRSs, a weighted GRS was calculated for each individual based on the number of risk alleles weighted by the standardized beta coefficients derived from the original GWAS studies. Genetic risk scores were tested for association by using logistic regression models implement in Golden Helix SNP and Variation Suite (Golden Helix, Bonzeman, MT, USA). The status (case–control) was used as the outcome variable and the GRS as the predictor variable. In addition, we analyzed associations between individuals in the lowest and highest quintiles for the GRS composed of SCD SNPs using logistic regression. Individuals in the highest quintile were classified as high risk. Analyses were adjusted for age and gender.

3. Results

The mean ages of the subjects in the Oregon-SUDS and the WTCCC studies were 60.3 ± 9.4 and 49.7 ± 12.8 years respectively. Seventy two percent of SCD cases and 79.2% of CAD controls were male. SCD cases were relatively older than CAD controls and therefore we performed analyses using the complete sample size in the Oregon-SUDS ($n = 966$) as well as a sample size restricted to the same age range observed in WTCCC ($n = 668$); similar results were observed (Table 2).

3.1. Genetic risk score associations

We investigated association of each of the weighted GRS in the merged Oregon-SCD cases and WTCCC CAD control dataset including a total of 2892 unrelated individuals.

The cumulative effects of 14 common variants previously involved in SCD was modestly associated with an increased risk (OR = 1.17, $P = 0.002$). The results remained significant after adjusting for multiple testing comparisons. The risk for SCD was 1.5 fold greater in the highest risk quintile when compared to the lowest risk quintile (OR = 1.46 [1.11–1.92]). Two DNA variants within Calsequestrin 2 (CASQ2),

Table 2
Association of GRS with SCD associated phenotypes.

Phenotype	N SNPs	966 cases/1926 controls ^a		668 cases/1926 controls ^b	
		OR (95% CI) ^c	P-value	OR (95% CI) ^c	P-value
Heart rate	18	0.59 [0.24–1.41]	0.23	0.56 [0.22–1.41]	0.22
QTc interval	9	1.01 [0.49–2.09]	0.69	0.86 [0.36–2.01]	0.73
QRS duration	26	1.04 [0.87–1.24]	0.65	1.06 [0.88–1.27]	0.51
SCD ^d	14	1.17 [1.05–1.29]	0.002	1.16 [1.05–1.29]	0.003

^a Results including 966 SCD cases and 1926 controls from the WTCCC.

^b Results including a subset of 668 SCD cases (age range 26–67 years) and 1926 controls from the WTCCC.

^c OR indicates Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) per weighted allele increase in the GRS for each investigated trait. Data was adjusted by age and gender.

^d Sudden cardiac death.

rs3010396 and RAB3 GTPase activating protein subunit 1 (*RAB3GAP1*), rs6730157 were individually associated with SCD risk ($P < 0.05$). We did not observe significant associations for the GRS for SCD after including these SNPs as covariates. As an exploratory analysis, we also evaluated the cumulative risk of the two significantly associated SCD SNPs in this study, resulting in an increased risk for SCD ($OR = 2.43$, $P = 5.18 \times 10^{-10}$). The direction of the effect for rs3010396 within *CASQ2* and rs6730157 within *RAB3GAP1* was consistent with previous findings [17,18]. We did not observe evidence for a multiplicative interaction between SNPs rs3010396 and rs6730157 along with the main effects ($P = 0.57$).

No evidence for association was observed with SCD for SNPs that determine electrocardiographic traits (Table 2).

4. Discussion

We evaluated cumulative risk of SCD by computing GRSs based on SNPs previously associated with SCD and SCD electrocardiographic endophenotypes (heart rate [32], QTc interval [33] and QRS duration) [34]. The contribution of other common DNA variants previously associated with SCD was also evaluated. The predictive properties of these GRSs were estimated in a well characterized SCD population-based study from the Oregon-SUDS and CAD individuals from WTCCC. No evidence for association was found between the genetic risk score for the three electrocardiographic traits and SCD risk. This is in line with the evidence from previous observations. A recent study conducted in the Finnish population showed an association between common QTc interval variants and SCD, but no significant linear relationship between the genetic risk score for QTc interval and SCD was observed [35]. Although the Finnish study was conducted in large population-based cohorts, only 116 SCD cases were included.

The evaluation of the cumulative effects of common variants associated with SCD showed a modest increase in SCD risk ($OR = 1.17$ [1.05–1.29], $P = 0.002$). We observed that two SNPs (rs3010396 and rs6730157) were individually associated with SCD ($P < 0.05$). The results did not remain significant after adjusting for rs3010396 and rs6730157. This finding suggests that the genetic risk score for SCD was principally driven by SNPs rs3010396 and rs6730157. Interestingly, the combination of two common SNPs within *CASQ2* and *RAB3GAP1* significantly increased the odds for SCD risk ($OR = 2.43$, $P = 7.41 \times 10^{-10}$). It is important to note that both SNPs were previously associated with an increased risk of SCD using a subset of SCD cases from the Oregon-SUDS and CAD individuals as the comparison group [17,18].

What is the significance of these modest cumulative effects of published common variants, on risk of SCD? Clearly, the relatively small size of these effects is not likely to make a significant impact on the process of SCD risk stratification. In current clinical practice, the vast majority of SCD risk assessment is carried out using clinical criteria. In most patients the only clinical criterion is the measurement of the left ventricular ejection fraction, now recognized to be inadequate as a risk assessment tool [3,36]. Therefore given the current modest state of SCD risk prediction and its overall impact on SCD prevention, it remains important to pursue the potential contributions of genetic testing to SCD risk stratification. As a proof of concept, we opted to assess the potential for cumulative effects on risk, of the multiple SNPs that were associated with SCD from GWAS and candidate-gene based approaches. This will also offer the possibility of combining clinical and genetic assessment to improve SCD risk stratification. There are several factors to suggest that our current analysis is likely to represent the first, albeit somewhat crude attempt at making this assessment. Candidate gene based approaches and GWAS have significant limitations as tools for comprehensive and unbiased identification of gene variants, and next generation sequencing approaches are designed to resolve these limitations. Therefore, with the help of current tools, we are likely to identify a more complete list of relevant SCD-associated gene variants.

The large majority of SCD occurs in individuals who are currently assessed as being at low or moderate risk. The question is, are we using the right tools for risk assessment? While this is a difficult question to answer at present, one of the potential tools could be a more complete identification of genetic variation using next generation sequencing in patients who are classified based on subset of risk. It would be particularly important to evaluate patients that were originally deemed to be low or moderate risk. This aspect highlights the potentially important role for studies like the present one that can identify these apparently low to moderate risk subsets, but all of them eventually suffered SCD. Another important aspect relates to the need for more intensive phenotyping in larger populations of patients who have suffered SCD. While a complete discussion is beyond the scope of this paper, it is likely that a step-wise approach will be needed. For example, identification of high-risk subjects in a community study or cohort study would lead to development of risk scores which in turn would be tested in prospective long term follow-up studies of defibrillators patients, in order to develop clinically useful risk scores. For genetic assessment, next generation genotyping needs to be performed and compared in high-risk population subsets of SCD based on intensive phenotyping. The expanding networks of SCD investigation will make it possible to identify these subgroups of enriched phenotypes. This will also offer the possibility of combining clinical and genetic assessment to improve SCD risk stratification.

Several limitations of the present study need to be considered. The sample size is small compared with the discovery GWAS studies and therefore lower statistical power may account for the absence of association observed for the investigated traits. The possibility remains that the investigated variants included in this study are not the actual causal variants. Also, we cannot exclude the potential confounding of electrical phenotype measurements by prescription or other medications. Finally, we were unable to test these same GRSs in a different population with a comparable level of detailed phenotyping and therefore these findings should be validated in additional populations.

In conclusion, we present proof of concept of the additive effect of gene variants on risk of SCD. A modest but significant association was found for the GRS composed of 14 previously associated SCD SNPs identified by GWAS. Next generation sequencing studies in larger samples of well-phenotyped subjects, are likely going to be needed for the identification of a clinically relevant cumulative genetic risk score for SCD.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcha.2015.03.001>.

Conflict of interest disclosures

Dr. Adriana Huertas-Vazquez is supported by the American Heart Association Scientist Development Grant (13SDG14640052) and Dr. Janet S. Sinsheimer by the NIH Grant GM05275. Dr. Christopher P. Nelson and Dr. Nilesh J. Samani are funded by the British Heart Foundation and Dr. Nilesh J. Samani is a NIHR Senior Investigator. Dr. Sumeet S. Chugh is funded by grants from the US National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (R01HL105170 and R01HL122492), and holds the Pauline and Harold Price Endowed Chair in Cardiac Electrophysiology as the Cedars-Sinai Heart Institute, Los Angeles. Remaining authors have no disclosures.

References

- [1] Adabag AS, Luepker RV, Roger VL, Gersh BJ. Sudden cardiac death: epidemiology and risk factors. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2010;7:216–25.
- [2] Chugh SS, Reinier K, Teodorescu C, Evanado A, Kehr E, Al Samara M, et al. Epidemiology of sudden cardiac death: clinical and research implications. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2008;51:213–28.
- [3] Fishman GI, Chugh SS, Dimarco JP, et al. Sudden cardiac death prediction and prevention: Report from a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and Heart Rhythm Society Workshop. *Circulation* 2010;122:2335–48.

- [4] Albert CM, Stevenson WG. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators for primary prevention of sudden cardiac death: too little and too late? *Circulation* 2013;128:1721–3.
- [5] Buxton AE, Lee KL, Hafley GE, Pires LA, Fisher JD, Gold MR, et al. Limitations of ejection fraction for prediction of sudden death risk in patients with coronary artery disease: lessons from the MUSTT study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;50:1150–7.
- [6] Myerburg RJ, Junttila MJ. Sudden cardiac death caused by coronary heart disease. *Circulation* 2012;125:1043–52.
- [7] Narayanan K, Reinier K, Uy-Evanado A, Teodorescu C, Chugh H, Marijon E, et al. Frequency and determinants of implantable cardioverter defibrillator deployment among primary prevention candidates with subsequent sudden cardiac arrest in the community. *Circulation* 2013;128:1733–8.
- [8] Dekker LR, Bezzina CR, Henriques JP, et al. Familial sudden death is an important risk factor for primary ventricular fibrillation: a case–control study in acute myocardial infarction patients. *Circulation* 2006;114:1140–5.
- [9] Friedlander Y, Siscovick DS, Weinmann S, Austin MA, Psaty BM, Lemaire RN, et al. Family history as a risk factor for primary cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 1998;97:155–60.
- [10] Jouven X, Desnos M, Guerot C, Ducimetiere P, et al. Predicting sudden death in the population: the Paris Prospective Study I. *Circulation* 1999;99:1978–83.
- [11] Kaikkonen KS, Kortelainen ML, Linna E, Huikuri HV. Family history and the risk of sudden cardiac death as a manifestation of an acute coronary event. *Circulation* 2006;114:1462–7.
- [12] Arking DE, Chugh SS, Chakravarti A, Spooner PM. Genomics in sudden cardiac death. *Circ Res* 2004;94:712–23.
- [13] Eijgelsheim M, Newton-Cheh C, Sotoodehnia N, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies multiple loci related to resting heart rate. *Hum Mol Genet* 2010;19:3885–94.
- [14] Sotoodehnia N, Siscovick DS, Vatta M, et al. Beta2-adrenergic receptor genetic variants and risk of sudden cardiac death. *Circulation* 2006;113:1842–8.
- [15] Kao WH, Arking DE, Post W, et al. Genetic variations in Nitric Oxide Synthase 1 Adaptor Protein are associated with sudden cardiac death in US white community-based populations. *Circulation* 2009;119:940–51.
- [16] Albert CM, MacRae CA, Chasman DI, VanDenburgh M, Buring JE, Manson JE, et al. Common variants in cardiac ion channel genes are associated with sudden cardiac death. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2010;3:222–9.
- [17] Westaway SK, Reinier K, Huertas-Vazquez A, Evanado A, Teodorescu C, Navarro J, et al. Common variants in CASQ2, GPD1L, and NOS1AP are significantly associated with risk of sudden death in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2011;4:397–402.
- [18] Huertas-Vazquez A, Nelson CP, Guo X, et al. Novel loci associated with increased risk of sudden cardiac death in the context of coronary artery disease. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e59905.
- [19] Huertas-Vazquez A, Teodorescu C, Reinier K, Uy-Evanado A, Chugh H, Jerger K, et al. A common missense variant in the Neuregulin 1 gene is associated with both schizophrenia and sudden cardiac death. *Heart Rhythm* 2013;10:994–8.
- [20] Lahtinen AM, Noseworthy PA, Havulinna AS, Jula A, Karhunen PJ, Kettunen J, et al. Common genetic variants associated with sudden cardiac death: the FINSCDGen Study. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e41675.
- [21] Arking DE, Junttila MJ, Goyette P, et al. Identification of a sudden cardiac death susceptibility locus at 2q24.2 through genome-wide association in european ancestry individuals. *PLoS Genet* 2011;7:e1002158.
- [22] Bezzina CR, Pazoki R, Bardai A, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a susceptibility locus at 21q21 for ventricular fibrillation in acute myocardial infarction. *Nat Genet* 2010;42:688–91.
- [23] Sotoodehnia N, Isaacs A, de Bakker PI, et al. Common variants in 22 loci are associated with QRS duration and cardiac ventricular conduction. *Nat Genet* 2010;42:1068–76.
- [24] den Hoed M, Eijgelsheim M, Esko T, et al. Identification of heart rate-associated loci and their effects on cardiac conduction and rhythm disorders. *Nat Genet* 2013;45:621–31.
- [25] Pfeufer A, Sanna S, Arking DE, et al. Common variants at ten loci modulate the QT interval duration in the QTSCD Study. *Nat Genet* 2009;41:407–14.
- [26] Chugh SS, Jui J, Gunson K, et al. Current burden of sudden cardiac death: multiple source surveillance versus retrospective death certificate-based review in a large U.S. Community. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:1268–75.
- [27] Stecker EC, Vickers C, Waltz J, Socoteanu C, John BT, Mariani R, et al. Population-based analysis of sudden cardiac death with and without left ventricular systolic dysfunction: two-year findings from the Oregon Sudden Unexpected Death Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:1161–6.
- [28] Chugh SS, Reinier K, Singh T, Uy-Evanado A, Socoteanu C, Peters D, et al. Determinants of prolonged QT interval and their contribution to sudden death risk in coronary artery disease: the Oregon Sudden Unexpected Death Study. *Circulation* 2009;119:663–70.
- [29] Adabag AS, Peterson G, Apple FS, Titus J, King R, Luepker RV. Etiology of sudden death in the community: results of anatomical, metabolic, and genetic evaluation. *Am Heart J* 2010;159:33–9.
- [30] Samani NJ, Erdmann J, Hall AS, et al. Genomewide association analysis of coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:443–53.
- [31] Welter D, MacArthur J, Morales J, Burdett T, Hall P, Junkins H, et al. The NHGRI GWAS Catalog, a curated resource of SNP-trait associations. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014;42:D1001–6.
- [32] Jouven X, Empana JP, Schwartz PJ, Desnos M, Courbon D, Ducimetiere P. Heart-rate profile during exercise as a predictor of sudden death. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:1951–8.
- [33] Straus SM, Kors JA, De Bruin ML, van der Hooft CS, Hofman A, Heeringa J, et al. Prolonged QTc interval and risk of sudden cardiac death in a population of older adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:362–7.
- [34] Kurl S, Makikallio TH, Rautaharju P, Kiviniemi V, Laukkanen JA. Duration of QRS complex in resting electrocardiogram is a predictor of sudden cardiac death in men. *Circulation* 2012;125:2588–94.
- [35] Noseworthy PA, Havulinna AS, Porthan K, Lahtinen AM, Jula A, Karhunen PJ, et al. Common genetic variants, QT interval, and sudden cardiac death in a Finnish population-based study. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2011;4:305–11.
- [36] Stecker EC, Chugh SS. Prediction of sudden cardiac death: next steps in pursuit effective methodology. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2011;31:101–7.