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Abstract

We use a new legal dataset tracking changes in creditor protection law over several decades to study the impact of legal reforms on banking system development in India. Cointegration analysis is used to show that the strengthening of creditor rights in relation to the enforcement of security interests in the 1990s and 2000s led to an increase in bank credit. We show that the change in the law was not endogenous to trends in stock market development and GDP per capita, and that the direction of causation ran from legal reform to banking development, rather than the reverse.

Keywords: creditor rights, legal origin, banking development, India

JEL classification: G21, G38, K22, O16

---

1 Simon Deakin and Panicos Demetriades acknowledge financial support from the ESRC’s World Economy and Finance Research Programme (Award reference RES-156-25-0009 and RES-156-25-0037). Gregory James acknowledges financial support from the ESRC (Award reference PTA-026-27-1437).

2 University of Cambridge

3 University of Leicester

4 Loughborough University
1. Introduction

A substantial body of work has been devoted in recent years to the claim that legal systems shape financial outcomes. In particular, the content of laws protecting shareholder and creditor interests has been shown to be associated with cross-national variations in stock market development and private credit respectively (La Porta et al., 1998, Djankov et al., 2007). However, these findings largely rest on the analysis of cross-sectional data. As such, they are subject to a number of limitations, in particular the possibility that changes to legal rules are endogenous to national contexts and macroeconomic trends (Rodrik, 2005).

One of the main obstacles to resolving the issue of endogeneity has, until recently, been the absence of reliable time series. This has now been addressed by the construction of longitudinal datasets which measure changes in the law over several decades (Lele and Siems, 2007; Deakin, Lele and Siems, 2007; Armour et al., 2008a, 2008b). The number of observations in these datasets and the length of the time series involved make it possible to use econometric methods that tackle the problem of endogeneity. In this paper we introduce one of these new datasets, the Creditor Protection Index (CPI), and analyse it to study the effects of changes in creditor rights in India on banking development.

2. The Creditor Protection Index

The CPI is one of several datasets which have been recently developed to provide a quantitative indicator of legal change over time; others cover the fields of shareholder protection and labour regulation (Armour et al., 2008). They draw on a wider range of legal materials and use a more finely-grained approach to coding than earlier studies. For example, the creditor rights index developed originally by La Porta et al. (1998) and more recently updated by Djankov et al. (2004) consists of just four variables: ‘restrictions for going into reorganisation’, ‘no automatic stay on secured assets’, ‘secured creditors first’ and ‘management does not stay’. These are each coded on a binary (0 or 1) basis and the scores are then aggregated to provide a single indicator ranging from 0 (poor protection) to 4 (strong protection). The CPI constructed by
Armour et al. (2008b) consists of 20 variables, some of which are broken down into sub-variables, to give a total of 72 indicators in all. The variables are grouped into three categories. The first category, ‘debtor control’, refers to laws which restrict the activities of firms while they are going concerns, with a view to safeguarding creditor interests. These include laws setting minimum capital requirements and preventing sales of assets at an undervalue. The second category, ‘creditor contracts’, is concerned with the powers granted by the law to creditors to take and enforce security over the firm’s assets. The third group of indicators, ‘insolvency law’, refers, among other things, to the circumstances under which a firm can enter into bankruptcy, how far it can thereby be shielded from its creditors, the priority of creditors’ interests in insolvency, and the issue of who has control of the firm while the insolvency continues. An algorithm sets out, for each variable, the basis for the coding process. The sources for the coding are the original statutes and decisions of the jurisdictions concerned, and each change recorded in the index can be precisely traced to a primary legal source of this kind (this has not been the case with most earlier indices).

The CPI covers the period from the mid-1970s to the present day for five countries: France, Germany, India, the UK, and the USA. The CPI for India can be used to pinpoint legal changes which have taken place in relation to creditor rights in this period. India, as a common law system, inherited from English law a broadly protective approach towards the interests of secured creditors; its score on the law relating to creditor contracts was comparable to those of the UK and USA for the period under review, and considerably higher than those for France and Germany (Armour et al., 2008b). Indian law was strengthened at two points (see Armour and Lele, 2008). The Recovery of Debts due to Banks and Financial Institutions Act 1993 set up new bodies, known as Debt Recovery Tribunals, to speed up the debt recovery process for both secured and unsecured loans through a summary procedure. Then in 2002 the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act gave the banks powers to enforce security interests without the need for a court order. In the CPI these changes were coded by increasing the score on one particular sub-variable, measuring the enforcement of security without a court order, from 0 to 0.5 in 1993 and
then from 0.5 to 1 in 2008. These were two of 27 changes to legal rules which are recorded in the CPI for India between 1970 and 2005.

3. Econometric Issues, Model Specification and Data

Our empirical investigation has two aims. The first is to examine the direct effect of creditor protection law on banking system development in India, conditioning on variables that previous literature has found to influence banking sector development, such as real income and stock market development. The second aim addresses the issue of causality between banking system development and creditor protection, which can shed light on the question whether legal reform leads or follows financial outcomes.

We address the first aim by examining the statistical significance of CPI in a cointegrating system for banking system development which allows for the possible endogeneity of the regressors. Besides allowing the CPI to be endogenous, this accommodates all types of causal patterns between finance and growth. The second aim is addressed by carrying out a weak exogeneity test of the CPI with respect to banking system development.

Our sample consists of 30 annual observations from 1976 to 2005. Formally we estimate the following linear system with four variables in semilogarithmic form (where \( u_t \) is an error term), normalised for convenience on banking system development:

\[
LBY_t = a_0 + a_1LY_t + a_2LSMC_t + a_3CPI_t + u_t \tag{1}
\]

where \( LBY \) is the logarithm of the ratio of private bank credit to nominal GDP, \( LY \) is the logarithm of real GDP per capita and \( LSMC \) is the logarithm of the ratio of stock market capitalisation to GDP. The data on real GDP, bank credit, and stock market capitalisation were extracted from the World Bank Financial Structure Data Set (October 17, 2007).

\[\text{See, for example, Arestis et al (2001).}\]

\[\text{There is a considerable body of empirical literature starting from Demetriades and Hussein (1996) which suggests that the relationship between finance and growth may be bi-directional. This has been confirmed in the case of India by Demetriades and Luintel (1997).}\]
4. Empirical Results

Unit root tests reported in Table 1 below suggest that the logarithms of \( LY, LBY, \) \( LSMC, \) and \( CPI \) are integrated of order 1 and that their first differences are stationary. Thus equation (1) has the interpretation of a cointegrating vector for \( LBY, \) in which the regressors are all \( I(1). \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>ADF Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBY</td>
<td>1.3501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LY</td>
<td>2.8617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>-1.4798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSMC</td>
<td>-0.2381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLBY</td>
<td>-3.3722**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLY</td>
<td>-5.1740*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCRI</td>
<td>-5.7233*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLSMC</td>
<td>-5.8199*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *, **, and *** indicate rejection of the unit root null hypothesis at the 1%, 5% and 10% level respectively.

Using Johansen’s MLE procedure\(^7\), we find evidence of at most one cointegrating vector among the set of variables, using both maximum eigenvalue and trace test statistics (Table 2). The cointegrating vector, normalised on banking sector development, shows a positive relationship between banking system development and

\(^7\) Estimations were carried out in PcGive. We also obtained similar results using Hendry’s dynamic single equation cointegration estimator. We prefer to report the results using Johansen’s procedure as it treats all regressors as potentially endogenous.
creditor protection, as well as a positive output effect. Stock market development is negatively related to banking system development, suggesting a possible crowding out effect. The hypothesis of weak exogeneity cannot be rejected in the case of output and creditor protection. The same hypothesis is rejected in the case of private credit and stock market capitalisation. Hence, there appears to be bidirectional causality between banking system development and stock market development whereas creditor protection is weakly exogenous to the cointegrating vector. Thus, the effects of creditor protection can be interpreted in a causal sense. Given that the coefficient on CPI is significant at the 1% level, these results suggest that enhancements in creditor protection law have had a positive long run impact on financial development.\footnote{It is also worth noting that the qualitative nature of our main finding is robust to using alternative banking system development measures and adding variables such as the real interest rate – which was found to be insignificant - to the system.}

**TABLE 2: JOHANSEN COINTEGRATION TEST WITH THE CREDITOR PROTECTION COMPOSITE INDEX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.A: Estimated Cointegrating Vector (LAG=2)</th>
<th><strong>Trace Statistic</strong></th>
<th><strong>Maximum Eigenvalue Statistic</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ho:rank=p</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ho:rank=p</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p=0</td>
<td>p≤1</td>
<td>p≤2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.58*</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>13.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normalised on LBY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LBY</th>
<th>LY</th>
<th>CRI</th>
<th>LSMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>1.8190</td>
<td>0.4279</td>
<td>-0.2297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>0.4467</td>
<td>0.1512</td>
<td>0.1239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 5% level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.B: Weak Exogeneity Tests</th>
<th>LBY</th>
<th>LY</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>LSMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LR test statistic</td>
<td>4.3045</td>
<td>0.5738</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>5.8047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.4487</td>
<td>0.9839</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p-values are that of the likelihood ratio tests under the null that the loading factor is zero.
By construction, the creditor protection index is made of three sub-indices: debtor control, \textit{DEBT}, creditor contracts, \textit{CREDIT}, and insolvency, \textit{INSOLVENCY}. Further estimations have shown that out of the three sub-indices, \textit{CREDIT} is the sub-index which appears to be driving our results. The results using Johansen’s MLE procedure and replacing the CPI with \textit{CREDIT} are reported in Table 3. Both the maximum eigenvalue and trace test statistics provide evidence of at most one cointegrating vector among the new set of variables.

**TABLE 3: JOHANSEN COINTEGRATION TEST WITH THE CREDITOR CONTRACTS SUB-INDEX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample: 1976-2005</th>
<th>Estimated Cointegrating Vector (LAG=2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trace Statistic</td>
<td>Maximum Eigenvalue Statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho:rank=p</td>
<td>Ho:rank=p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p=0</td>
<td>p=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.47*</td>
<td>35.97*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>15.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>9.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Normalised on LBY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>LBY</th>
<th>LY</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>LSMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normalised on LBY</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1112</td>
<td>0.6316</td>
<td>-0.1478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3805</td>
<td>0.2021</td>
<td>0.0778</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 5% level.

**3.B: Weak Exogeneity Tests**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LR test statistic</th>
<th>LBY</th>
<th>LY</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>LSMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.0194</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.1099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(p\)-values are that of the likelihood ratio tests under the null that the loading factor is zero.

Once again the cointegrating vector, normalised on banking sector development, exhibits a positive relationship between banking system development and creditor contracts, as well as a positive but this time insignificant output effect. Furthermore, stock market development continues to be negatively related to banking system development. The latter, however, is now found to be weakly exogenous to the banking system development vector. This suggests that stock market development has no long-run causal influence on banking system development but has an effect through the cointegrating parameter. The coefficient on \textit{LSMC} is significant at the 10% level. Finally,
the weak exogeneity tests suggest a feedback relationship between banking system
development, real GDP and creditor contracts since all three variables are now
endogenous to the system.

5. Concluding Comments

Previous studies of the impact of legal change on financial markets, even when they
used time-series data, were not able to overcome the problem that legal change may be
enogenous to the economic cycle (Djankov et al., 2007: 323). In this paper we have
shown how legal datasets covering a long time series can be combined with
cointegration analysis to throw new light on this question. We saw, firstly, that legal
reforms which strengthened creditor protection in India in the 1990s and 2000s were
positively associated with banking development, measured by bank credit in relation to
GDP per capita; secondly, that this association operated independently of trends in stock
market development and GDP growth; and, thirdly, that the direction of causation ran
from legal reforms to the growth of bank credit, rather than the reverse.

Although India is a common law system, we cannot conclude from our analysis that
its legal origin was, as such, the driver of legal change. That would require a deeper
analysis of relevant political and institutional forces (see Armour and Lele, 2008) and a
comparison of India’s experience with that of civil law systems (as in Armour et al.,
2008a). We have, however, seen that legal change can be a significant factor, in its own
right, in shaping financial outcomes; and, specifically, that changes to the law governing
the enforcement of security interests may be an important means of encouraging bank
lending in a developing country context.
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