The total cultivated land in India is of the order of 180 million hectare, about 75 million hectare is irrigated at present, by major, medium and minor irrigation projects by both surface and ground water. The annual rainfall varies from 10 cms to 100 cms in various parts of the country. The average agricultural land holding in the country has declined from 2.28 hectares in 1970-71 to 0.68 hectares in 1985-86, although it varies further among various states. Agriculture contributes to nearly 30% of net domestic product and provides livelihood to about 70% of the labour force. The total food-grain production during 1992 was about 146.2 million tonnes, the per capita production being 203kg based on all India average. The production per hectare is about 1368kg. Steady growth is being achieved through improved agricultural technology, implements and practices. The area under non-food crops is approximately one third of that under food crops.

About 40% of the country consists of non agricultural land, which is generally barren and not under forest cover. However all types of land is prone to drought as well as flood, in addition to soil salinity and degradation into semi-arid dryland in the country.

About 175 million hectare of land is threatened by degradation like saline, alkali soil, water logged areas, ravinous and gullied lands, areas under revagues of shifting cultivation desertification etc. About 8000 hectares of good arable land are being lost annually due to ingress of ravine. The total flood prone area is about 260 million heactare. The food grain requirement of the country’s estimated population of one billion by 2000 A.D. is about 225 million tonnes. Both national and institutional agencies are engaged in formulating various strategies for achieving this target. Optimisation of water use in agricultural activity is a major yardstick in achieving the desired production.

The role of drip irrigation

Drip irrigation is thought of as not so much an irrigation system as a total plant support system meaning that water, fertilizer and other necessities are delivered over quite different situations, namely, a large system suitable for a large grower or corporate farmer, a smaller system which could be used by a reliable operation in a low capital, low agronomic skill environment which may exist at a village level in a lesser developed country.

The basic system consists of polyvinyl chloride hose or tube in which the emitters are installed as per spacing provided during manufacturer. Fertilizers and sanitation agents are kept in specified vessels.

The circulating mains consist of 20-25mm PVC pipe extending from fertilizer vessels and run past every in field valve and then vessel. Also passing through every in field valve in the system in conjunction with fertilizer mains in a high pressure clear water main connected to a pressure system, which does not circulate. Injection of fertilizer can be done as per requirement of crop in the field, at single point as well as multiple points. The advantages of the system can be summarised as under:

1. Saving of water
   Due to localised application of water to the root of the plant, surface evaporation in reduced, run off is decreased and deep percolation loss is avoided resulting in upto 60% saving in water used in conventional irrigation.

2. Better yield of crop
   Increase in root length as well as crop yield has been experienced due to slow and frequent supply of water.

3. Saving in labour and energy
   Scientific design of the system using principle of hydraulics would require labour only to start and stop the operation and less energy for pumping less water at lesser pressure than open field system.

4. Suitable for poor soil
   Both light and heavy soils difficult for ordinary irrigation system can be successfully irrigated by this system.

5. Weed growth minimised
   Growth of weeds is reduced due to partial wetting of soil.

6. Convenient for cultural practices
   The field is always accessible for spraying, weeding and harvesting

7. Less soil erosion

8. Use of saline water
   Due to frequent watering, the soil moisture always remains high thereby salt concentration remains below harmful level.

9. Improve efficiency of fertilizers
   Due to reduced loss of nutrient through leaching and run off water and localised application of fertilizers, the efficiency is greatly increased.

Description of the drip system

Depending upon the situation the main water pipe is laid out along or perpendicular to length of farm. Sub-main runs perpendicular to the main and laterals and laid
perpendicular to the submain along the row of plants. The mainline and submain pipes are buried at about 30 cms below the ground. The water supply rate is matched to suit the evaporation rates and the type of motor and pump is designed to deliver required quantity of waste to the system at a pressure of about 1-1.5kg/cm². Addition of a filter system either of gravel type or volume type along with the stainless steel wiremesh is necessary to avoid clogging of the emitters. The main waterline is usually chosen of PVC depending on the quantity of water to be handled. The submains are generally of HDPE tubes of 16mm diameter, on which the dripper or emitters are fixed with the help of black LLDPE thin tubings of 4mm internal diameter. One end of the micro tube is attached to lateral and other to dripper. The dripper or emitters are mainly of two types, threaded and pressure compensated. The threaded type dripper helps to maintain uniform pressure and ensures equal supply of water to every plant. The other type ensures uniform supply of water to all plants even in slopes with high gradients even if there is variation in water line pressure. The other components for assembling the system are gate valve, hose collar, start connectors reducers and plugs for main, submain, laterals etc. The system is varied in design such as biwall, plastic emitter, non plastic emitter, microtube etc. without much variation in water use efficiency.

The drip irrigation system ranges are commonly classified as

\( a \) Surface drip irrigation system
   i) Microtube system
   ii) Pressure compensating drip system
   iii) Non-pressure compensating drip system
   iv) In line drip system

\( b \) Sub surface drip irrigation system
   i) Biwall system
   ii) Turbotape system
   iii) Typhoon system

The selection of emitter is based on the following characteristics

a) should be compact, serviceable and inexpensive to keep the system cost low;

b) should have a relatively low discharge to keep the system cost low;

c) should not vary significantly with pressure and this will give good uniformity of distribution;

d) should have a relatively large cross sectional area to avoid clogging problem.

These will help in achieving high system efficiency by means of high emission uniformity, easy flow management and keeping initial and annual cost to a minimum.

Periodic preventative maintenance is the key for the successful working of microirrigations system. The general maintenance includes regular cleaning of filter, check-
Cost benefit of the system

The cost of drip supply has been estimated to be at the rate of Rs.20000 per ha. This can be set off against the benefit in irrigating 18 lakh ha of command area in a major ongoing project as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible savings</th>
<th>Rs. million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Cost of network of field channels</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cost of land</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Saving for filling agricultural fields</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Land levelling and drainage</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Survey of Net Work</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Saving in cost of main land</td>
<td>10,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Saving in cost of Branch land</td>
<td>7,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Saving in cost of distributaries</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is claimed to be same as the cost of supply of drips to cover the area.

The benefit of drip irrigation applied to individual crops has been derived through prolonged field investigation. The finding including saving in water has been presented in Table 2.

However it is advisable to adopt specified system for deriving maximum benefit at a particular condition, which should be recommended by the designer or supplier of the system. Some of the circumstances commanding the suitability of a particular type are indicated below:

A) Surface drip irrigation

1) Pressure compensating system - Recommended under drip (Approx all conditions cost Rs.37,000/ha)
2) Partial pressure system - As above compensating drip turbo drippers (Approx. cost Rs.36,000/ha)
3) Microtube irrigation system - Flat lands (Approx. cost Rs.32000/ha).

B) Subsurface drip irrigation

1) Biwall irrigation system - Morechoking was observed in biwall (Approx. cost Rs.35000/ha).
2) Turbo-tape irrigation system - Recommended in fine textured soil with proper care (Approx. cost Rs.38000/ha).

Inspite of the above findings, the constraints such as high initial cost, non availability of subsidy in all states, high import duty on raw material, lack of awareness, lack of research and development absence of countrywide network for manufacturing and distribution etc. are hampering the growth of adoption of this system. Recently Government of India has announced 50% subsidy in all cases of adoption of drip system, which is likely to make it probable to achieve the projected coverage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crop</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomegranate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar cane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>