Ankle sprain injury is very common in sports. Without proper care, an ankle sprained for five or more times can be more prone to chronic ankle instability. In severe condition, it may lead to articular cartilage defect, early joint osteoarthritis and deformity, which may ultimately need surgical treatment to reconstruct, or to replace with an artificial joint. Besides research on the treatment and rehabilitation, it is also worth conducting research on its prevention. Sports biomechanists can contribute in injury prevention, by analysing injury aetiology and mechanism, designing preventive intervention, and evaluating the effectiveness in a biomechanics laboratory [1]. Since 2004, I and my research team in the Sport Performance and Biomechanics Laboratory at Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong had been investigating and preventing sport-related ankle ligamentous sprain injury.
The first step was to understand the extent of the problem, and thus I conducted a systematic review which summarized 227 epidemiology studies since the first study published in 1977 [2]. It suggested that the ankle joint was the second common injured body site in sports, with inversion sprain being the most common diagnosis accounting for 84%, and the injury incidence was highest in rugby, football, volleyball, handball and basketball. Local studies reported that 81% of ankle sprain injuries attending an emergency centre were ligamentous sprains sustained mainly in basketball (37%) and football (29%) sports [3], and only one-sixth of the patients injured athletes chose to attend an emergency centre, consult orthopaedic specialists, or seek physiotherapy treatment. Therefore the total number of sports injuries could be estimated the greatest among all kinds of injuries. Although we now have “better” sports shoes and protective gear, the prevalence and incidence of ankle sprain is still comparable to the previous 36 years.

While it is important to know the pattern of the injury, it is essential to know the cause and the process of the injury. For the cause, or the aetiologies, we summarized the knowledge from the literature and elaborate it in two aspects [4]. Firstly, an incorrect landing posture with a slightly inverted or supinated ankle joint causes a ground reaction force acting on the lateral foot edge to point medially and not pass through the ankle joint centre, thus creating a vigorous inward twisting torque, subsequent excessive inversion, and finally high ligament strains which tear the lateral ligaments (Figure 4.2). Secondly, the reaction of the peroneal muscles, which function to resist ankle inversion, is too slow (60-90 ms) to catch up to accommodate the sudden explosive inversion which happened within 50 ms after a foot strike. The stability of a joint depends on both the contraction of the muscles and the contribution from the ligaments, therefore, when the muscles are inactive, the joint stability would rely mainly on the ligaments. Since the ligaments possess viscoelastic property, a sudden explosive stretch would tear them.
The clinically presented mechanism which was an excessive supination, a combination of inversion and plantarflexion motion at the ankle joint, was not adequate for forensic biomechanists and biomedical engineers to analyze and prevent the injury. Over the past 10 years, I was fortunate enough to capture one accidental ankle sprain case during a sports shoe stability test in a laboratory (Figure 4.3). The injury was immediately diagnosed by an orthopaedic specialist to be a grade I anterior talofibular ligament sprain injury, and I presented the injurious motion with biomechanics quantities – this was the first ever ankle sprain biomechanics case report [5]. After this, to date, there were two more similar reported cases from Oslo [6] and Freiburg [7]. However, it is impractical to rely on such injury incidents captured unintentionally for a systematic study, as it may take a very long time or forever to obtain a significant amount of cases.
In order to quantitatively analyze the injury mechanism, I collaborated with Oslo Sports Trauma Research Centre and developed a model-based image-matching motion analysis technique for the purpose [8], and utilized it to study real injury cases captured in televised sports events [9,10]. The data from these reports suggested that the ankle joints were all in an inverted and internally rotated orientation, plus either a dorsiflexed or plantarflexed ankle orientation (Figure 4.4). Nevertheless, all data showed high inversion velocities (>500 deg/s) which were all greater than that collected during common sporting activities [11]. I further used the profile of ankle kinematics data to drive a computational foot and ankle model (Figure 4.5) developed by Michigan State University and Northwestern University to simulate the injury [12], and the result suggested that an inversion moment of 23 Nm and an internal rotation moment of 11 Nm were presented, resulting in a 15-20% strain at the anterior talofibular ligament [13].

![Figure 4.4: Screenshots of five ankle sprain injury cases in tennis competitions. All ankles were inverted and internally rotated, plus either a dorsiflexed or plantarflexed orientation.](image)

![Figure 4.5: A computational foot and ankle model to simulate the injury incident to determine the joint torque and ligament strain.](image)

The above-mentioned studies had provided some knowledge for the understanding of the ankle inversion sprain injury. Then my research team proceeded with the prevention of the injury. We started with shoes [14], as I believed that the design of the construct of shoes or inserts may change the foot and ankle biomechanics,
Figure 4.6: An uni-axial gyrometer is attached to the heel counter to identify the injury hazard by the twisting velocity.

For the corrective system, the first attempt was to design a semi-rigid brace with magnetorheological fluid which turns rigid in a very short time when a magnetic field is present – this was done by closing the circuit of an electric coil in our device. However the attempt was suspended as the material cost was high, and we were not sure if there is any potential health hazard in case of leakage of the metal fluid. The current attempt is to deliver myoelectric stimulation to the peroneal muscles (Figure 4.7) to initiate very fast ankle eversion or pronation within 25 ms
to resist the injury mechanism which happens within 50 ms after the foot strike [24]. The system has been evaluated to be effective in a biomechanics laboratory, and was granted US patent in 2012 [25] and Chinese patent in 2013. Because of the position of the peroneal muscle belly, the stimulation has to be delivered at the upper shank for the best effect [26], and the system is currently carried by a pair of wireless wearable parts, or a sport legging covering the whole shank. The device only gives mechanical support by assisting the muscle reaction to generate muscular forces when in need, and allows the athlete to have an agile ankle joint for most of the time for the best performance.
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*Figure 4.7: Electrical stimulation is given to the muscles at the lateral shank for stopping the ankle sprain injury motion.*

The intelligent anti-sprain system is being revised for better accuracy in identifying injury risk, and for better agility, comfort, durability and user setting interface when it is being carried in a pair of sport legging (Figure 4.8). We are still conducting some refinement research work to improve the accuracy in identifying the injurious hazardous motion, the optimization of the electrical stimulation to provide the best prevention effect and comfort, and to include advanced textiles technology to improve the quality of the final wearable apparel. The invention will be commercialized as a prophylactic sport apparel in the coming years.
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