Sex differences in postprandial lipaemia after acute high-intensity interval running in young people
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Sex differences in postprandial lipaemia after acute high-intensity interval running in young people

Acute exercise reduces postprandial triacylglycerol concentrations ([TAG]) in boys and girls; however, it is not known whether between-sex differences exist in response to exercise. Fifteen boys (mean(SD): 11.8(0.4) years) and sixteen girls (12.1(0.7) years) completed two, 2-day conditions. On day 1, participants rested (CON) or completed 10×1 min high-intensity interval runs at 100% maximal aerobic speed with 1 min recovery (HIIR). On day 2, participants consumed a standardised breakfast and lunch over a 6.5-h period during which seven capillary blood samples were collected. Based on ratios of the geometric means (95% CI for ratios), fasting [TAG] was 32% lower in boys than girls (-44 to -18%, ES=1.31, $P<0.001$), and 12% lower after HIIR than CON (-18 to -5%, ES=0.42, $P=0.003$); the magnitude of reduction was not significantly different between the sexes (8% (ES=0.36) vs. 15% (ES=0.47), respectively; $P=0.29$). The total area under the [TAG] versus time curve was 27% lower in boys than girls (-40 to -10%, ES=1.02, $P=0.005$), and 10% lower after HIIR than CON (-16 to -5%, ES=0.36, $P=0.001$); the magnitude of reduction was similar between the sexes (11% (ES=0.43) vs. 10% (ES=0.31), respectively; $P=0.87$). The small-moderate reduction in postprandial [TAG] after HIIR was similar between the sexes.
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Introduction

Elevated postprandial triacylglycerol concentrations ([TAG]) are established as a superior independent risk factor for future cardiovascular disease in adults than traditional fasting concentrations (Bansal et al., 2007). The paediatric origins of atherosclerosis are well established (McGill et al., 2000), and elevated fasting [TAG] in childhood predicts young adult cardiovascular disease (Morrison, Glueck, & Wang, 2012). Considering the majority of waking hours are spent in a postprandial state, low physical activity and poor diet may exacerbate the risk of future cardiovascular disease with prolonged elevated [TAG]. Therefore, lifestyle interventions that reduce
postprandial [TAG] and delay precursors of atherosclerotic progression should begin early in life (McGill et al., 2000).

Studies in adults have consistently demonstrated greater postprandial [TAG] in men than women at rest (Couillard et al., 1999; Jackson et al., 2010). Although the mechanisms accounting for this sex difference have not been fully elucidated, greater skeletal muscle uptake and retention of plasma [TAG] (Horton, Commerford, Pagliassotti, & Bessesen, 2002), lower abdominal visceral adipose tissue accumulation (Couillard et al., 1999), greater suppression of upper-body subcutaneous adipose tissue lipolysis (Jensen, 1995) and/or the protective effect of oestrogen (Westerveld, 1998) in women have been implicated. Nevertheless, Gill, Herd, Tsetsonis, and Hardman (2002) pooled data and compared results from 38 men and 43 women and reported that the exercise-induced reduction in postprandial [TAG] was not different between men and women (23.5% vs. 19.8%, respectively).

Acute moderate- to high-intensity exercise performed the day before a standardised meal reduces postprandial [TAG] in boys and girls (Tolfrey, Thackray, & Barrett, 2014b), highlighting the potential for exercise to promote a healthier cardiovascular risk profile at a time when many adverse health behaviours can become established. However, it is not known whether the postprandial TAG response is different in boys and girls the day following a single bout of exercise. This represents an important gap in our understanding of this marker of future cardiovascular disease risk in young people, and may have important implications in terms of identifying underlying sex differences in the physiological response to exercise during this critical period of growth and development.
Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare directly the postprandial TAG response in healthy 11- to 13-year-old boys and girls, and to examine the magnitude of change in postprandial [TAG] following a single bout of exercise.

Methods

Participants

After approval from the University Ethical Advisory Committee, 15 boys (11.3 to 12.9 years) and 16 girls (11.3 to 13.3 years) volunteered to participate in this study conducted at Loughborough University, United Kingdom. Written assent from participants and written informed consent from a parent or guardian was obtained before the study commenced. All participants were in good health and were generally physically active, but not specifically accustomed to high-intensity running. Physical and physiological characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Anthropometry and physical maturation

Stature was measured to the nearest 0.01 m using a fixed stadiometer (Holtain Ltd, Crosswell, UK), body mass was quantified to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale (Seca 770, Seca Ltd, Hamburg, Germany) and body mass index was calculated as body mass (kg) divided by stature (m) squared. Skinfold thickness was measured at the triceps and subscapular to the nearest 0.2 mm using Harpenden callipers (Baty International, West Sussex, UK). All measurements were taken on the right-hand side of the body, and the median of three measurements at each site was used to estimate percent body fat (Slaughter et al., 1988).

Participants provided a self-assessment of their level of physical maturity using drawings depicting the five stages of pubic hair development, ranging from 1 indicating
pre-pubescence to 5 indicating full sexual maturity (Tanner, 1962). The median
(interquartile range) stage of pubic hair development was 2(1) for boys (stage 1: \( n=2 \);
stage 2: \( n=11 \); stage 3: \( n=2 \)) and 2(3) for girls (stage 1: \( n=4 \); stage 2: \( n=6 \); stage 3: \( n=1 \);
stage 4: \( n=3 \); stage 5: \( n=2 \)). Menstrual cycle phase was not controlled in the female
participants because 12 of the 16 girls were premenarcheal, and two of the remaining
four girls reported that their menstrual cycle was sporadic and irregular.

**Preliminary exercise measurements**

Participants were familiarised with walking and running on the treadmill (h/p/cosmos
mercury med, Nussdorf-Traunstein, Germany) prior to completing an incremental
speed-based protocol to determine peak oxygen uptake (\( \dot{V}O_2 \)) and maximal aerobic
speed (MAS). The protocol started at 5.0 km·h\(^{-1}\) for girls and 6.0 km·h\(^{-1}\) for boys with
the treadmill gradient set at 1% throughout and the treadmill speed was increased in 0.5
km·h\(^{-1}\) increments every 30 s until volitional exhaustion. Heart rate was recorded using
short-range telemetry (Polar PE 4000, Kempele, Finland), ratings of perceived exertion
(RPE) were recorded in the last 10 s of each 30 s stage using Borg’s 6-20 scale, and
expired air samples were monitored continuously using an online breath-by-breath gas
analysis system (Metalyzer 3B, Cortex, Leipzig, Germany). An average of the breath-
by-breath \( \dot{V}O_2 \) data was taken every 10 s, and peak \( \dot{V}O_2 \) was defined as the highest 30 s
rolling average; the treadmill speed corresponding to peak \( \dot{V}O_2 \) was recorded as MAS.

**Experimental design**

Using a within measures, crossover design, participants completed two, 2-day
experimental conditions separated by at least 14 days: rest control (CON) and high-
intensity interval running (HIIR). The study design is presented in Figure 1.
Day 1: intervention day

Participants reported to the laboratory at 15:30 and completed all measures by 17:30. Body mass was quantified upon arrival to standardise the meals provided on day 2 (described below). During CON, participants rested for the duration of the visit. During HIIR, a 5 min warm-up was completed at 60% MAS followed immediately by the acute high-intensity running intervals. The high-intensity running comprised 10×1 min treadmill runs at 100% MAS, with 1 min active recovery between intervals. Participants dismounted the treadmill during the recovery periods and were encouraged to pace around the lab to avoid venous pooling and feeling light-headed. Heart rate was monitored continuously and RPE was recorded in the last 10 s of each running interval as described previously.

Standardisation of dietary intake and physical activity

Participants weighed, recorded and replicated their habitual dietary intake during the 48-h period (pre-intervention and intervention day) before day 2 of both experimental conditions. Two-day diet records were analysed using dietary analysis software (CompEat Pro Version 5.8.0, Nutrition Systems, Banbury, UK).

Participants consumed a cereal snack bar (1.2 g fat, 15.9 g carbohydrate, 1.0 g protein, 335 kJ energy) at 19:45 on the intervention day to standardise the overnight fasting period. Participants were allowed to drink plain water, but no other drinks or food, before arriving at the laboratory on day 2.

Participants recorded all physical activity categorised according to intensity level during the pre-intervention and intervention day of the first condition. Participants were asked to minimise their physical activity during this period, and the activity pattern was replicated before the subsequent condition.
Day 2: postprandial day

After a 12-h overnight fast, participants arrived at the laboratory at ~07:45 and provided a fasting capillary blood sample after 10 min seated rest. A standardised breakfast was consumed within 15 min marking the start of the postprandial period (08:00) (Figure 1). Breakfast consisted of croissants, chocolate spread, whole milk, double cream and milkshake powder. The meal quantity was prescribed relative to body mass and provided 1.5 g fat (60.7% of meal total energy), 1.8 g carbohydrate (32.6%), 0.4 g protein (6.7%) and 93 kJ energy per kilogram body mass. Subsequent capillary blood samples were taken at 0.5, 1, 3, 4.5, 5 and 6.5-h following the start of the breakfast, and participants consumed a standardised lunch, within 20 min, at 4-h (Figure 1). Lunch consisted of white bread, butter, cheese, potato crisps, whole milk and milkshake powder, and provided 1.2 g fat (51.9%), 1.9 g carbohydrate (36.5%), 0.6 g protein (11.6%) and 89 kJ energy per kilogram body mass. Participants rested throughout the day and were able to read, watch DVD films and play non-active computer games. Participants consumed water *ad libitum* in the postprandial period of the first condition; the ingested volume was replicated in the subsequent condition.

Analytical methods

After the hand was pre-warmed for 5 min in water heated to 40°C, the fingertip was pierced (Unistick 3 Extra, Owen Mumford, Oxford, UK) and 600 µL whole capillary blood was collected into potassium EDTA coated Microvette CB300 tubes (Sarstedt Ltd, Leicester, UK). The whole blood samples were immediately centrifuged at 12,800g for 15 min (Eppendorf 5415c, Hamburg, Germany) and the resulting plasma was stored at -80°C for up to two months before subsequent analyses. Plasma [TAG] and glucose concentrations ([glucose]) were analysed by enzymatic, colorimetric methods using a
benchtop analyser (Pentra 400, HORIBA ABX Diagnostics, Montpellier, France). The within-batch coefficient of variation for plasma [TAG] and [glucose] were 1.0 and 0.4%, respectively for boys and 1.6 and 0.8%, respectively for girls. Haemoglobin concentration and haematocrit were quantified in duplicate at 0 and 6.5-h to estimate the acute change in plasma volume (Dill & Costill, 1974).

**Statistical analyses**

Data were analysed using SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM Corporation, New York, USA). The trapezium rule was used to calculate the total area under the curve for TAG (TAUC-TAG) and glucose (TAUC-glucose). The incremental area under the curve (iAUC) was calculated using the same method after adjusting for fasting concentrations. Normality of the data was checked using Shapiro Wilk tests. Normally distributed data are presented as mean (SD). Concentrations of TAG and glucose were not normally distributed and were natural log transformed prior to analysis. These data are presented as geometric mean (95% confidence intervals (CI)) and analysis is based on ratios of the geometric means and 95% CI for ratios.

Physical and physiological characteristics and HIIR responses were compared between groups using linear mixed models with sex as the sole factor. Physical maturity was compared between groups using a non-parametric Mann Whitney-U test and presented as median (interquartile range). The 95% CI was derived using a bootstrapping method (Table 1).

Energy and macronutrient intakes, estimated changes in plasma volume and fasting concentrations were compared using linear mixed models with fixed factors for sex and condition. Differences in postprandial plasma [TAG] and [glucose] were examined using three separate approaches: 1) differences over the 6.5 h postprandial
period (2 × 2 × 7 condition-by-sex-by-time linear mixed model); 2) TAUC (2 × 2 condition-by-sex linear mixed model); and 3) iAUC (2 × 2 condition-by-sex linear mixed model). Where significant main effects of condition or sex were identified for the TAUC or iAUC analysis, temporal changes were examined over sub-sections of the total postprandial period (0-1 h, 1-4.5 h, 4.5-6.5 h) using separate linear mixed models with sex and condition included as fixed factors. All linear mixed models were adjusted appropriately for the period effect (Senn, 1993).

Bivariate correlations identifying possible determinants of the exercise-induced changes in TAUC-TAG were quantified using Pearson’s product moment correlations. Statistical significance was accepted as \( P<0.05 \). Absolute standardised effect sizes (ES) are included to supplement important findings, with an ES of 0.2 considered the minimum important difference, 0.5 moderate and 0.8 large (Cohen, 1988).

Results

**Participant characteristics**

Girls were marginally older than boys (ES=0.49, \( P=0.19 \)), and percent body fat was lower in boys compared with girls (ES=1.75, \( P<0.001 \)) (Table 1). Peak \( \text{VO}_2 \) was higher, on average, in boys than girls (ES=2.08, \( P<0.001 \)), with a trend for MAS to be higher in boys than girls (ES=0.70, \( P=0.06 \)) (Table 1). The other measured physical and physiological variables were similar between the sexes (\( P\geq0.41 \)) (Table 1).

**Dietary intake**

Energy and macronutrient intakes were similar on the pre-intervention day across conditions and groups (main effect condition \( P\geq0.11 \); main effect sex \( P\geq0.08 \); condition-by-sex interaction \( P\geq0.36 \)). Energy and macronutrient intakes during the
intervention day are displayed in Table 2. Energy and macronutrient intakes were similar between conditions \((P \geq 0.69)\). Energy intake \((ES=1.02, P=0.003)\), and absolute intakes of protein \((ES=0.83, P=0.03)\), carbohydrate \((ES=0.67, P=0.06)\) and fat \((ES=0.97, P=0.004)\) were greater in boys than girls. The relative percentage contribution of protein, carbohydrate and fat to total energy intake was similar between conditions \((P \geq 0.23)\); however, the relative contributions of carbohydrate and fat to total energy intake were lower \((ES=0.91, P=0.02)\) and higher \((ES=0.72, P=0.05)\), respectively, in boys than girls.

**Exercise responses**

Exercise responses are displayed in Table 3. Peak heart rate determined during the speed-based incremental treadmill protocol was meaningfully, albeit not significantly, lower in boys than girls \((ES=0.57, P=0.13)\). During HIIR, average start interval heart rate was lower in boys than girls when expressed in beats-min\(^{-1}\) \((ES=1.73, P<0.001)\), and as a percentage of peak heart rate \((ES=1.65, P<0.001)\). Average end interval heart rate was lower in boys than girls \((ES=0.75, P=0.05)\), but was not significantly different when expressed as a percentage of peak heart rate \((P=0.25)\). Average RPE over the 10 intervals was greater in boys than girls \((ES=0.85, P=0.03)\).

**Fasting plasma concentrations**

Average changes in plasma volume between the fasting and 6.5-h postprandial samples were not different across conditions or groups (main effect condition \(P=0.88\); main effect sex \(P=0.44\); condition-by-sex interaction \(P=0.95\)). Therefore, the raw plasma concentrations were used in all statistical analyses without adjustment. Fasting [TAG] and [glucose] are displayed in Table 4. Fasting [TAG] was 32% lower in boys than girls
(main effect sex: ES=1.31, \( P<0.001 \)) and 12\% lower after HIIR than CON (main effect condition: ES=0.42, \( P=0.003 \)). Despite a seemingly greater reduction in fasting [TAG] in the girls (15\%, ES=0.47) than boys (8\%, ES=0.36), this difference was not statistically significant (condition-by-sex interaction \( P=0.29 \)). Fasting [glucose] was similar across conditions and groups (main effect condition \( P=0.33 \); main effect sex \( P=0.13 \); condition-by-sex interaction \( P=0.66 \)).

**Postprandial plasma concentrations**

Linear mixed models for postprandial plasma [TAG] over time revealed significant main effects of condition (\( P<0.001 \)), sex (\( P=0.004 \)) and time (\( P<0.001 \)), but not a condition-by-sex interaction (\( P=0.60 \)) (Figure 2). The TAUC-TAG was 27\% lower in boys than girls (main effect sex: ES=1.02, \( P=0.005 \)) and 10\% lower after HIIR than CON (main effect condition: ES=0.36, \( P=0.001 \)), but the magnitude of reduction following HIIR was similar in boys and girls (11\% (ES=0.43) vs. 10\% (ES=0.31), respectively; condition-by-sex interaction \( P=0.87 \)) (Table 4). The TAUC-TAG was lower in boys than girls between 0-1 h by 26\% (-39 to -10\%, ES=1.03, \( P=0.004 \)), 1-4.5 h by 32\% (-45 to -14\%, ES=1.16, \( P=0.002 \)) and 4.5-6.5 h by 18\% (-33 to -1\%, ES=0.68, \( P=0.04 \)). The TAUC-TAG was lower in HIIR than CON between 0-1 h by 11\% (-16 to -5\%, ES=0.40, \( P=0.001 \)), 1-4.5 h by 11\% (-17 to -5\%, ES=0.35, \( P=0.001 \)) and 4.5-6.5 h by 9\% (-15 to -2\%, ES=0.32, \( P=0.01 \)). The iAUC-TAG was similar across conditions and groups (main effect condition \( P=0.73 \); main effect sex \( P=0.78 \); condition-by-sex interaction \( P=0.19 \)) (Table 4).

Linear mixed models for plasma [glucose] revealed no main effects of condition or sex when analysed over the 6.5 h postprandial period or summarized in the TAUC-glucose (Table 4) (main effect condition \( P\geq0.19 \); main effect sex \( P\geq0.31 \)). However, the
condition-by-sex interactions were significant for plasma [glucose] over the 6.5 h postprandial period \( (P=0.04) \) and near significant for TAUC-glucose \( (P=0.06) \), with -1% vs. 3% changes for both outcome variables in boys and girls, respectively. The iAUC-glucose was similar across conditions and groups (main effect condition \( P=0.27 \); main effect sex \( P=0.28 \); condition-by-sex interaction \( P=0.23 \)) (Table 4).

**Individual changes and predictors of the HIIR-induced change in TAUC-TAG**

Individual changes (delta) in TAUC-TAG between HIIR and CON for boys and girls are shown in Figure 3. The reduction in TAUC-TAG following HIIR was greater than changes in CON for ten (67%) boys and ten (63%) girls. End interval percent peak heart rate demonstrated an inverse relationship with delta TAUC-TAG \( (r=-0.44, P=0.01) \). The HIIR-induced change in fasting [TAG] was positively correlated with the change in TAUC-TAG relative to CON \( (r=0.50, P=0.005) \).

**Discussion**

The primary finding of the present study was that the magnitude of reduction in postprandial [TAG] after a single session of HIIR was similar in 11- to 13-year-old boys and girls (11% (ES=0.43) vs. 10% (ES=0.31), respectively). The small-to-moderate reductions in fasting [TAG] after HIIR were not significantly different between the sexes (8% (ES=0.36) vs. 15% (ES=0.47) in boys and girls, respectively). However, the main effect of sex revealed that fasting and postprandial [TAG] were lower in boys than girls.

The HIIR-induced reduction in fasting [TAG] supports the majority of previous exercise postprandial studies in young people (Lee, Burns, White, Kuk, & Arslanian, 2013; Tolfrey et al., 2014a). The higher fasting [TAG] in girls compared with boys, whilst also evident elsewhere in the literature (Boddy et al., 2014), may have
contributed to the heightened postprandial TAG response (Couch et al., 2000).

However, substantial intra-individual variation is evident in childhood fasting [TAG] (Tolfrey, Campbell, & Jones, 1999), and impaired postprandial TAG clearance is associated independently with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (Bansal et al., 2007). Therefore, postprandial [TAG] may be more informative of metabolic health in young people.

The lower postprandial [TAG] in boys than girls contrasts previous findings in adults, which have demonstrated consistently that premenopausal women experience lower postprandial [TAG] than men (Couillard et al., 1999; Jackson et al., 2010). Recent studies in adolescents are less consistent, with one study reporting a lower TAUC-TAG response in girls than boys (Bond et al., 2015c), whereas another study reported no difference (Bond et al., 2015b). The reason for the contrasting findings in young people is unclear, but the slightly older age group (~14 years) recruited in the studies by Bond et al. (2015b, 2015c) may influence the postprandial lipaemic response. Nevertheless, the time-zone analysis in this study revealed lower postprandial [TAG] in the boys than girls throughout the entire 6.5-h postprandial period.

While the mechanistic pathways underlying the lower postprandial [TAG] in boys cannot be determined directly from this study, differences in biological maturation, body composition, cardiorespiratory fitness and/or dietary intake may be implicated. A transient state of insulin resistance occurs during puberty in both sexes, which typically peaks at pubertal stage 3 and girls appear more insulin resistant than boys at all stages of sexual maturation (Moran et al., 1999). Considering insulin resistance is an independent predictor of postprandial [TAG] in healthy adults (Jeppesen et al., 1995), it is possible that greater insulin resistance in girls may amplify the postprandial lipaemic response compared with similarly aged boys. Although not significantly different, a
wider range of self-assessed biological maturity ratings were identified in the girls. Girls enter and end puberty approximately two years before boys and pubertal events do not occur in the same sequence between the sexes (Baxter-Jones, Eisenmann, & Sherar, 2005). Consequently, it is difficult to align girls and boys for pubertal status when making between-sex comparisons (Baxter-Jones et al., 2005). Whilst the limitations of self-assessed sexual maturation may have restricted the ability to detect subtle between-group differences in this study, previous evidence suggests this method provides an accurate and reliable surrogate measure of biological maturation (Matsudo & Matsudo, 1994). A priori matching of the young participants based on biological maturation would be the best way to address this study limitation, but this would be very difficult logistically and ethically too. Nevertheless, a positive association between sexual maturation and fasting [TAG] in 10- to 13-year-old boys and girls has been reported previously (Bertrais et al., 2000); therefore, it seems plausible that biological maturation may influence postprandial lipaemia in young people. Previous research has also demonstrated that menstrual cycle phase exerts a meaningful influence on postprandial lipaemia in women (Gill, Malkova, & Hardman, 2005), although there is conflicting evidence (Wendler, Michel, Kästner, & Schmahl, 1992). The majority of the girls in this study were premenarcheal and, therefore, the potential confounding effects of cyclical hormone changes on the between condition and sex comparisons were minimised.

In accordance with previous studies in this age group (Bond et al., 2015b, 2015c; Kelly et al., 2014), percent body fat was significantly lower in boys compared with girls. Although the values for boys and girls were within the optimal range for health (McCarthy, Cole, Fry, Jebb, & Prentice, 2006), percent body fat has been identified as a strong determinant of insulin resistance in children (Arslanian & Suprasongsin, 1996). Furthermore, measures of adiposity are associated with
cardiovascular disease risk factors, including fasting [TAG], in young people
(Steinberger et al., 2005). The reported between-sex differences in body fat distribution
may be more enlightening in explaining the sex dimorphism in postprandial [TAG] seen
in the present study (Huang, Johnson, Figueroa-Colon, Dwyer, & Goran, 2001). In this
regard, visceral adipose tissue has been identified as the strongest predictor of
postprandial [TAG] in overweight white adolescents, but the limited sample size
precluded a direct sex comparison (Lee et al., 2013).

Alternatively, it is possible that the greater peak VO₂ in boys (Table 1) confers a
protective effect on postprandial lipaemia; however, cardiorespiratory fitness has not
been identified as a predictor of postprandial [TAG] in adolescents (Bond et al., 2015b,
2015c) or adults (Gill et al., 2002). Finally, although dietary intake was different on the
intervention day between the sexes (Table 2), energy and macronutrient intakes were all
greater in boys than girls, supporting previous evidence in similarly aged young people
(Greatwood, Daly-Smith, McGregor, & McKenna, 2013), and the relative proportion of
carbohydrate, a potent stimulator of [TAG], was lower in boys. Therefore, this
component is unlikely to be an influencing factor based on the available evidence.
While a number of potential explanations have been highlighted, further work is
required to elucidate the precise mechanisms explaining the exaggerated postprandial
TAG profile in girls.

The reduction in postprandial [TAG] following HIIR supports several studies in
adults adopting acute intermittent, high-intensity exercise protocols; however, this
finding is not universal (reviewed by Burns, Miyashita, & Stensel, 2015). Recent
evidence in 12- to 14-year-old boys reported a reduction in postprandial [TAG] after a
single session of repeated maximal cycle sprints (Sedgwick, Morris, Nevill, & Barrett,
2015). In contrast to our findings and those of Sedgwick et al. (2015), Bond et al.
(2015b, 2015c) reported no effect of moderate- or high-intensity exercise on TAUC-TAG in boys and girls, although iAUC-TAG was significantly lower following exercise in girls, but not boys (Bond et al., 2015b). This finding is surprising considering the majority of studies support the TAG-lowering effect of acute moderate- to vigorous-intensity exercise in boys and girls (Tolfrey et al., 2014). However, this disparity may be explained by the timing of the exercise session completed ~1-h before the high-fat meal (Bond et al., 2015b) or accumulated during the postprandial period (Bond et al., 2015c). A further study in adolescent boys and girls isolated the acute effect of a two-week high-intensity intermittent cycling intervention from the chronic training adaptation by including a postprandial assessment the day after the last exercise bout (Bond et al. 2015a). Although the postprandial TAG profile the day after exercise was not significantly different to the pre-intervention response (Bond et al. 2015a), the marked difference in study design limits the ability to make direct comparisons with the acute-based literature. Our findings extend the evidence base by demonstrating that the acute HIIR-induced reduction in postprandial [TAG] was similar in boys and girls (11% vs. 10%, respectively; Table 4, Figure 2), and supports the similar moderate-intensity exercise-induced reduction in postprandial [TAG] reported in men and women (23.5% vs. 19.8%, respectively) (Gill et al., 2002). Therefore, the findings of this study suggest that 11- to 13-year-old boys and girls may acquire similar metabolic health benefits in postprandial TAG metabolism the day following acute HIIR.

The mechanisms responsible for the HIIR-induced reduction in postprandial [TAG] were not measured directly in this study due to the invasive nature of the techniques required. In adults, increased clearance of circulating TAG facilitated by enhanced lipoprotein lipase (LPL) activity and/or the secretion of fewer, TAG-richer very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL) have been implicated (Maraki & Sidossis, 2013).
Recent evidence indicates that exercise appears to increase the affinity of large VLDL for LPL-mediated hydrolysis in the fasted and postprandial state, which is likely to contribute to the exercise-induced reduction in [TAG] (Ghafouri et al., 2015). Indeed, the meaningful relationship between the HIIR-induced change in fasting [TAG] and TAUC-TAG ($r=0.50, P=0.005$), and the small differences in iAUC-TAG between conditions may point to changes in endogenous and not exogenous TAG pathways (Davitt, Arent, Tuazon, Golem, & Henderson, 2013).

Although the clinical significance of our findings cannot be elucidated, the majority of the postprandial [TAG] (97%) were below the 2.3 mmol·L$^{-1}$ threshold proposed as a desirable concentration in young people (Kolovou, Bilianou, & Mikhailidis, 2011). Postprandial [TAG] are established as an independent risk marker for future cardiovascular disease (Bansal et al., 2007), and the majority of young people do not meet the current physical activity guidelines (Hallal et al., 2012). Therefore, the potential for a single session of HIIR to reduce an important marker of future atherogenic risk in boys and girls is encouraging.

The inter-individual variability in postprandial [TAG] following HIIR (Figure 3) supports the heterogeneous response reported in previous exercise postprandial studies in young people (Tolfrey et al., 2014a) and adults (Gill et al., 2002). A similar proportion of boys and girls experienced a HIIR-induced reduction in postprandial [TAG] (~65%; Figure 3). Average end-interval percent peak heart rate was significantly correlated with delta TAUC-TAG suggesting that exercising at a higher relative intensity augments the reduction in postprandial [TAG]. Consequently, small increases in effort could afford greater potential gains in long-term health providing the exercise stimulus can be applied regularly without risking injury or burn-out in the young participants.
Conclusions
Fasting and postprandial [TAG] were lower in healthy 11- to 12-year-old boys compared with similarly aged girls, but the small-to-moderate reduction following acute HIIR was not significantly or meaningfully different between the sexes. This suggests that boys and girls can experience similar metabolic health benefits in fasting and postprandial TAG metabolism the day after a single bout of HIIR.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Diagram of the 2-day study protocol. TAG, triacylglycerol. +Evening meal replicated from first condition.

Figure 2. Fasting (F) and postprandial plasma triacylglycerol concentrations ([TAG]) in the control (CON) and high-intensity interval running (HIIR) conditions for \( n = 16 \) girls and \( n = 15 \) boys. Values are mean (SD). Black rectangles denote consumption of breakfast and lunch meals at 08:00 and 12:00, respectively. Main effect condition \( P < 0.001 \); main effect sex \( P = 0.004 \); condition-by-sex interaction \( P = 0.600 \).

Figure 3. Individual changes (delta) in the total area under the plasma triacylglycerol (TAG) concentration versus time curve (TAUC) in the high-intensity interval running (HIIR) condition compared with the control condition (CON) in boys (□) and girls (■). A negative response indicates a reduction in TAUC-TAG in HIIR compared with CON.
### Table 1  Physical and physiological characteristics.

|                         | Boys  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>11.8 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>42.8 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (m)</td>
<td>1.53 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>18.3 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent body fat (%)</td>
<td>13.5 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean body mass (kg)</td>
<td>36.7 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubic hair development**</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak oxygen uptake (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>55 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal aerobic speed (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>12.5 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                         | Girls  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>12.1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>45.1 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (m)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>18.7 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent body fat (%)</td>
<td>21.4 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean body mass (kg)</td>
<td>35.4 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubic hair development**</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak oxygen uptake (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>43 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal aerobic speed (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>11.5 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Girls vs. Boys 95% CI*</th>
<th>Effect Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>-0.7 to 0.1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>-8.1 to 3.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stature (m)</td>
<td>-0.09 to 0.04</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>-2.1 to 1.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent body fat (%)</td>
<td>-11.1 to -4.6a</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean body mass (kg)</td>
<td>-2.7 to 5.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubic hair development**</td>
<td>-2 to 1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak oxygen uptake (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>8 to 17a</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal aerobic speed (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>-0.04 to 1.98</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD) for $n = 15$ boys and $n = 16$ girls. *95% confidence interval of the mean absolute difference between the groups. **Self-assessment – median (interquartile range).

* Significant difference between boys and girls ($P < 0.001$)
Table 2  Energy and macronutrient intakes during the intervention day in the control (CON) and high-intensity interval running (HIIR) conditions in boys and girls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boys (n = 15)</th>
<th>Girls (n = 16)</th>
<th>Girls vs. Boys</th>
<th>CON vs. HIIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HIIR</td>
<td>CON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (MJ·day⁻¹)</td>
<td>8.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>8.1 (1.7)</td>
<td>6.5 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g·day⁻¹)</td>
<td>69.7 (19.1)</td>
<td>70.9 (19.3)</td>
<td>54.1 (19.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHO (g·day⁻¹)</td>
<td>249 (42)</td>
<td>248 (64)</td>
<td>222 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g·day⁻¹)</td>
<td>68.4 (25.8)</td>
<td>70.5 (24.6)</td>
<td>49.1 (15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% energy intake from protein</td>
<td>14.7 (2.7)</td>
<td>15.2 (3.8)</td>
<td>13.9 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% energy intake from CHO</td>
<td>53.7 (6.6)</td>
<td>52.0 (8.6)</td>
<td>57.9 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% energy intake from fat</td>
<td>31.6 (6.3)</td>
<td>32.8 (8.3)</td>
<td>28.2 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD) for n = 15 boys and n = 16 girls. *95% confidence interval of the mean absolute difference between the groups or experimental conditions.

CHO, carbohydrate.

a Significant difference between boys and girls (P < 0.05)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boys ($n = 15$)</th>
<th>Girls ($n = 16$)</th>
<th>Girls vs. Boys 95% CI*</th>
<th>Effect Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak heart rate (beats·min$^{-1}$)**</td>
<td>200 (6)</td>
<td>203 (7)</td>
<td>-9 to 1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start interval heart rate (beats·min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>144 (10)</td>
<td>164 (12)</td>
<td>-28 to -11$^{a}$</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent peak heart rate (%)</td>
<td>72 (5)</td>
<td>80 (5)</td>
<td>-12 to -5$^{a}$</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End interval heart rate (beats·min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>190 (7)</td>
<td>196 (8)</td>
<td>-11.3 to -0.1$^{a}$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent peak heart rate (%)</td>
<td>95 (3)</td>
<td>96 (2)</td>
<td>-3 to 1</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating of perceived exertion</td>
<td>16 (1)</td>
<td>14 (2)</td>
<td>0.2 to 2.6$^{a}$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD) for $n = 15$ boys and $n = 16$ girls. *95% confidence interval of the mean absolute difference between the groups. **Peak heart rate was determined during the speed-based incremental treadmill protocol.

$^{a}$ Significant difference between boys and girls ($P < 0.05$)
Table 4  Fasting and postprandial plasma triacylglycerol and glucose concentrations in the control (CON) and high-intensity interval running (HIIR) conditions in boys and girls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boys ($n = 15$)</th>
<th>Girls ($n = 16$)</th>
<th>Girls vs. Boys 95% CI*</th>
<th>CON vs. HIIR 95% CI*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HIIR</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HIIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triacylglycerol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.56(0.49 to 0.65)</td>
<td>0.52(0.45 to 0.60)</td>
<td>0.87(0.75 to 1.00)</td>
<td>0.74(0.64 to 0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAUC (mmol·L⁻¹ 6.5 h)</td>
<td>6.35(5.44 to 7.41)</td>
<td>5.67(4.85 to 6.61)</td>
<td>8.63(7.43 to 10.02)</td>
<td>7.77(6.69 to 9.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iAUC (mmol·L⁻¹ 6.5 h)</td>
<td>2.54(1.76 to 3.64)</td>
<td>2.20(1.53 to 3.15)</td>
<td>2.21(1.56 to 3.15)</td>
<td>2.82(1.98 to 4.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glucose</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.51(5.28 to 5.75)</td>
<td>5.42(5.20 to 5.65)</td>
<td>5.71(5.48 to 5.95)</td>
<td>5.67(5.45 to 5.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAUC (mmol·L⁻¹ 6.5 h)</td>
<td>42.5(41.2 to 43.9)</td>
<td>42.3(40.9 to 43.6)</td>
<td>42.4(41.1 to 43.7)</td>
<td>43.8(42.5 to 45.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iAUC (mmol·L⁻¹ 6.5 h)</td>
<td>6.59(4.92 to 8.84)</td>
<td>6.50(4.85 to 8.71)</td>
<td>4.73(3.56 to 6.28)</td>
<td>6.43(4.84 to 8.54)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are geometric mean (95% confidence interval) for $n = 15$ boys and $n = 16$ girls. Statistical analyses are based on natural log transformed data. *95% confidence interval for the ratio of geometric means.

TAUC, total area under the concentration versus time curve; iAUC, incremental area under the concentration versus time curve.

<sup>a</sup> Significant difference between boys and girls ($P < 0.05$)

<sup>b</sup> Significant difference between HIIR and CON ($P < 0.05$)
Figure 1

Day 1: Intervention Day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15:30</th>
<th>17:30</th>
<th>20:00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rest (CON)</td>
<td>Evening meal</td>
<td>Cereal bar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High-intensity interval running (HIIR)

Day 2: Postprandial Day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>08:00</th>
<th>12:00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time after breakfast (hours)

Key:

- Test meals

- Capillary blood sample for [TAG] and [glucose]

- Capillary blood sample for [TAG], [glucose], [haemoglobin] and haematocrit
Figure 2

Plasma [TAG] (mmol L⁻¹)

Time after breakfast (hours)

- Girls CON
- Girls HIIR
- Boys CON
- Boys HIIR