
This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Objectively assessed sedentary time and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a case–control study

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00125-013-3051-5>

PUBLISHER

© The Authors. Published by Springer Verlag (Germany)

VERSION

VoR (Version of Record)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This work is made available according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) licence. Full details of this licence are available at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Hamer, Mark, Sophie Bostock, Ruth A. Hackett, and Andrew Steptoe. 2019. "Objectively Assessed Sedentary Time and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Case–control Study". figshare. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/19223>.

Objectively assessed sedentary time and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a case–control study

Mark Hamer · Sophie Bostock · Ruth Hackett · Andrew Steptoe

Received: 18 June 2013 / Accepted: 23 August 2013 / Published online: 27 September 2013
© The Author(s) 2013. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Keywords Accelerometry · Case–control · Physical activity · Sedentary · Type 2 diabetes mellitus

To the Editor: There is some evidence to suggest detrimental, linear associations between objectively assessed sedentary time and various metabolic risk factors [1, 2], although it remains unclear if these associations are independent of moderate to vigorous physical activity [3, 4]. The effects of sedentary behaviour on health might be more apparent in clinical populations and the elderly, although the majority of research in this area has been conducted in healthy participants, which might partly explain inconsistencies in the findings. Thus, translation into specific clinical populations is needed. If a reduction in risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus can be achieved by rectifying the imbalance between sitting time and light-intensity ('lifestyle') activity, this would have important implications for early intervention and treatment. The aim of this study was to compare objectively assessed levels of sedentary and physical activity in type 2 diabetic patients and age matched healthy controls.

Healthy controls were drawn from a sub-sample of participants from the Whitehall II epidemiological cohort, as described previously [5]. Type 2 diabetic patients without history of cardiovascular disease were recruited from primary care clinics. Each diabetic patient was matched with two healthy controls based on age, sex and income. Participants gave full informed written consent to participate in the study and ethics approval was obtained from the University College London Hospital committee on the Ethics of Human Research.

Participants wore an accelerometer (Actigraph GT3X, Pensacola, FL, USA) around the hip that records movement on the vertical and horizontal axis, during waking hours for 7 consecutive days. The accelerometer provides a measure of the frequency, intensity and duration of physical activity and allows classification of activity levels as sedentary, light, moderate and vigorous. The raw accelerometry data were processed using specialist software (MAHUffe, Cambridge, UK) to produce a series of standardised outcome variables. All participants included in the present analysis recorded a minimum of 10 h per day wear time and provided data for 5 days after exclusion of the first and last days of wear. Non-wear time was defined as intervals of at least 60 consecutive minutes of zero counts/minute (cpm). We used cut-off points previously described [5] to calculate daily times in each activity intensity band: sedentary (<1.5 metabolic equivalent of task [MET]): 0–199 cpm; light (1.5–3 MET) 200–1,998 cpm; moderate to vigorous physical activity (>3 MET): $\geq 1,999$ cpm. All physical activity variables were converted to time (in minutes) per valid day. General linear models were employed to compare Actigraph data between cases and controls, making adjustments for wear time. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 21 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Participants comprised 223 healthy controls (aged 64.0 ± 6.3 years; BMI 25.7 ± 3.8 kg/m²) and 122 type 2 diabetic patients (aged 63.9 ± 6.9 years; BMI 31.0 ± 5.6 kg/m²). In comparison with healthy controls, diabetic patients recorded more sedentary time (636 vs 662 min/day, $p=0.001$), less light-intensity activity (208 vs 186 min/day, $p=0.02$), but similar levels of moderate to vigorous activity (36 vs 33 min/day, $p=0.23$) after adjusting for wear time. In further models that were adjusted for BMI (see Table 1), the differences in sedentary time were attenuated. Rates of smoking were higher among diabetic patients (13.8%) compared with healthy controls (5.4%), although further adjustment for

M. Hamer (✉) · S. Bostock · R. Hackett · A. Steptoe
Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, 1–19 Torrington Place, London WC1E 6BT, UK
e-mail: m.hamer@ucl.ac.uk

Table 1 The difference in sedentary time and physical activity between diabetic patients and healthy controls

Activity level	Model 1 <i>B</i> (95% CI)	Model 2 <i>B</i> (95% CI)
Sedentary time (min/day)		
Control group	Reference	Reference
Diabetic group	25.7 (10.3, 41.2)	15.0 (−2.6, 32.6)
Light activity (min/day)		
Control group	Reference	Reference
Diabetic group	−22.3 (−36.2, −8.4)	−17.7 (−33.5, −1.8)
Moderate to vigorous activity (min/day)		
Control group	Reference	Reference
Diabetic group	−3.3 (−8.8, 3.2)	2.9 (−3.3, 9.0)

Model 1 adjusted for wear time

Model 2 adjusted for wear time and BMI

smoking had negligible effects on the differences in sedentary time (14.8 min/day; 95% CI, −3.2, 32.7).

The main findings from this study show differences in the balance between sedentary and light-intensity activity in diabetic patients and healthy controls. The greater time spent sedentary in diabetic patients was substantial (~3 h per week) and might have aetiological relevance if this is reflective of habitual behaviour. The protective effect of physical activity becomes apparent with moderate-intensity exercise such as walking [6], although recent data in older adults has suggested that light activity also confers benefit in reducing the risk of type 2 diabetes [7]. The mechanisms may be linked to the displacement of sedentary time. A recent study showed that interrupting sitting time every 20 min with short 2 min bouts of light- or moderate-intensity walking lowered postprandial glucose and insulin levels in overweight/obese adults [8].

Given that the difference in sedentary time between diabetic patients and healthy controls was largely attenuated by adjustment for BMI, this suggests that excess weight might be a key barrier to activity. Indeed, a recent study in middle-aged adults demonstrated that BMI at baseline was prospectively associated with greater television viewing at follow-up, but not the converse [9].

The method used to assess sedentary time in this study has some limitations. The Actigraph quantifies time spent in different intensities of activity by summing time above and below specified count thresholds that may be less accurate for distinguishing sedentary and light activities. Thus, methods that employ postural allocation may be more reliable. The study was cross-sectional and we did not collect physical activity data prior to the development of diabetes. Nevertheless, physical activity behaviour appears to track from adulthood into older age [5]. Participants were matched for key variables although we were unable to control for other potentially important factors such as dietary intake and family history of diabetes.

In summary, type 2 diabetic patients recorded greater time in sedentary activity compared with healthy controls. Given the barriers to physical activity, it would be desirable if patients could gain benefit from incorporating relatively light levels of activity into their treatment modalities, which might be accomplished by simply reducing or breaking up sedentary time with movement. Patients may be more motivated by this approach, and becoming and staying active could seem more manageable.

Funding This study was funded by the British Heart Foundation (RE/10/005/28296). The funders had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Contribution statement MH had full access to the data, and takes responsibility for the integrity and accuracy of the results. All authors contributed to the concept and design of study, and drafting and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors have approved the final version to be published.

Duality of interest The authors declare that there is no duality of interest associated with this manuscript.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- Henson J, Yates T, Biddle SJ et al (2013) Associations of objectively measured sedentary behaviour and physical activity with markers of cardiometabolic health. *Diabetologia* 56:1012–1020
- Healy GN, Matthews CE, Dunstan DW, Winkler EA, Owen N (2011) Sedentary time and cardio-metabolic biomarkers in US adults: NHANES 2003–06. *Eur Heart J* 32:590–597
- Ekelund U, Brage S, Griffin SJ, Wareham NJ, ProActive UK Research Group (2009) Objectively measured moderate- and vigorous-intensity physical activity but not sedentary time predicts insulin resistance in high-risk individuals. *Diabetes Care* 32:1081–1086
- Stamatakis E, Hamer M, Tilling K, Lawlor DA (2012) Sedentary time in relation to cardio-metabolic risk factors: differential associations for self-report vs accelerometry in working age adults. *Int J Epidemiol* 41: 1328–1337
- Hamer M, Kivimaki M, Steptoe A (2012) Longitudinal patterns in physical activity and sedentary behaviour from mid-life to early old age: a substudy of the Whitehall II cohort. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 66:1110–1115
- Jeon CY, Lokken RP, Hu FB, van Dam RM (2007) Physical activity of moderate intensity and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care* 30:744–752
- Demakos P, Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Steptoe A (2010) Low-intensity physical activity is associated with reduced risk of incident type 2 diabetes in older adults: evidence from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Diabetologia* 53:1877–1885
- Dunstan DW, Kingwell BA, Larsen R et al (2012) Breaking up prolonged sitting reduces postprandial glucose and insulin responses. *Diabetes Care* 35:976–983
- Pulsford RM, Stamatakis E, Britton AR, Brunner EJ, Hillsdon MM (2013) Sitting behavior and obesity: evidence from the Whitehall II Study. *Am J Prev Med* 44:132–138