



E-mail: [FMHS-ResearchEthics@nottingham.ac.uk](mailto:FMHS-ResearchEthics@nottingham.ac.uk)

20<sup>th</sup> January 2016

Dr Adele Horobin  
Patient and Public Involvement and Engagement Manager  
National Institute for Health Research  
Nottingham Hearing Biomedical Research Unit  
Ropewalk House, 113 The Ropewalk  
Nottingham  
NG1 5DU

**Faculty of Medicine and  
Health Sciences**

Research Ethics Committee  
C/o Faculty PVC Office  
School of Medicine Education Centre  
B Floor, Medical School  
Queen's Medical Centre Campus  
Nottingham University Hospitals  
Nottingham  
NG7 2UH

Dear Dr Horobin

**Co-ordinating a regional approach to support for patient and public  
Involvement: the East Midlands training programme for lay assessors, Adele  
Horobin, George Brown, Fred Higton, Stevie Vanhegan, Andrew Wragg,  
Paula Wray, Dawn-Marie Walker.**

Thank you for sending us the above paper that you have submitted for publication.  
I can confirm that this type of work and its methodology falls under the category of  
course evaluation, and would not have required full research ethics review or  
approval.

As somebody organising the course obtaining feedback from course participants  
about the course content, delivery and how it might be improved is considered part  
of routine activity expected in your daily professional role.

Yours sincerely

**Professor Ravi Mahajan  
Chair, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee**