

Research Impact Infrastructure

Neil Thakur, Ph.D.
Richard Ikeda, Ph.D
National Institutes of Health
November 13, 2017

Overlapping problems with research and career information

- **Duplicate data and wasted effort:** Researchers have to curate and combine data that is scattered across public and private sources- ORCID, SCOPUS, PubMed, RPPRs, Vivo, Trellis, etc., and must do this in multiple times in multiple systems.
- **Poor tracking and measurement**– Funders can't track their impacts on researcher careers, especially across different funders.
- **Inefficient research networks** – Researchers and associated groups do not use modern technology for networking and hiring (e.g., finding mentors, collaborators, employees, reviewers, etc.)
- **Bad incentives**- Current measures of research productivity do not adequately incentivize openness, rigor and impact. Current fragmentation in research and career data and reporting makes it difficult to implement new measures.

Goals

- Reduce researcher burden
- Maintain researcher control and privacy
- Support collaboration and networking services
- Track funder impact
- Encourage development of better productivity measures and incentives

Examples of broad goals: Openness, Rigor, Diversity

Openness- Impact tracking?

NIH DIRECTOR'S BLOG

Blog Info

Editor: Kendall Morgan, Ph.D.

Clinical Trials: Sharing of Data and Living Up to Our End of the Bargain

Posted on September 16, 2016 by Drs. Kathy L. Hudson and Francis S. Collins

if you have comments or questions not related

Rigor- Community measures?

NATURE | COMMENT

Shake up conferences

Shai D. Silberberg, Devon C. Crawford, Robert Finkelstein, Walter J. Koroshetz, Robert D. Blank, Hudson H. Freeze, Howard H. Garrison & Yvette R. Seger


09 August 2017

Emojis, smartphone technologies and revamped guidelines would boost transparency at scientific meetings, say Shai D. Silberberg and colleagues.

- Can funders measure their own success?
- Can funders empower the science community to work on these priorities?

Diversity- facilitate networking?

Figure 1. Percent of underrepresented and well-represented trainees across career stages in the biomedical research workforce.



Hannah A. Valantine et al. CBE Life Sci Educ 2016;15:fe4

Community wide issues


Focus on the burden

- FDP experience with profile data: Fragmentation, burden, inefficiency

Do funders have the leverage to address many larger goals?

- Funders are small in scale
- Research funding is only one of many incentives and systems

Create a comprehensive research impact infrastructure with unique identifiers



Link

- Products (RRID, DOI, ORCID)
- Funding (DOIs?)
- People (ORCID)
- Institutions (?)

Enable

- Burden reduction
- Impact analysis
- Metrics
- Innovation and economic growth

DOIs for funding (grants, contracts, etc)

Utilize the publications tracking infrastructure to track grants

- Better tracking of people across their careers and funding agencies
- More accurate identification of research products
- More robust data to identify potential reviewers and assess conflicts of interest
- Validation for grant /product associations

As an overlay, a universal funding number system for all funding agencies

- Provide a 'common denominator' funding identifier format to harmonize NIH's grants system and contract system, and harmonize with other funders
- An inexpensive way for funding agencies to develop unique identifiers for their funding. Requires permanent location for funding information

ORBIT: ORCID Reducing Burden and Improving Transparency

ORCID will enhance their data model and 3rd party service integrations to:

- broaden connections to research and career data usually reported on CVs
- link researchers to funding and professional activities with verified and structured data
- serve as an open hub for other systems
- will also explore institutional identifiers

Goals

- **Reduce researcher burden** of applying for funds and maintaining multiple profiles
- **Track impact** of research and professional development through transparently-curated open data
- **Support collaboration and networking services** to build efficient and equitable markets for reviewers, collaborators, mentors, etc.
- **Maintain researcher control** of their own data and how it is used across platforms
- **Encourage development of better productivity measures and incentives**

Use Case: Better Measures



ORBIT aggregates by person...

- Products (DOIs, Etc)
- Funding (DOIs?)
- Institutions (institutional identifiers?)

• Product level metrics can be retained in metadata

- Relative citation ratio (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27599104>)
- Openness? (licenses?)
- Rigor? (badges?)


Product level metrics can aggregate to...

- Person level measures
- Award level measures
- Funding initiative level measures
- Institution level measures

Use Case: Application Forms


- ORCID/ORBIT data hub
- SciENCv writes creates biosketches for NIH, NSF, ED
- User approval for data linkage
- Reduced burden, validation, structured data
- Scaling: eRA as 1/10th users of ORCID

ORCID as profile data hub
SciENCv has report writer



Use case: Better university data

- ORCID/ORBIT integrates data streams for linked accounts
- Users can manage their data in the system they prefer



ORCID integration with NIH systems

ORCID provides investigators with persistent digital identifiers and helps them track their research products

Phase 1: integration with SciENcv

- Link to ORCID in SciENcv and download ORCID citations into biosketches

Phase 2 (current): Allow ORCID in eRA profiles

- Facilitate data exchange, funding/ORCID linkages

Phase 3 (future): Expand ORCID data model and integration with eRA

- Use ORCID data to automate other forms like Other Support, RPPR?
- Upload NIH data (funding, products, profile data) into ORCID?
- Use ORCID as a hub and interchange for all profile data, reducing burden for federal and private profile systems?

Contacts

- Rick Ikeda (richard.ikeda@nih.gov)
- Neil Thakur (thakurn@od.nih.gov)
- Laure Haak (l.haak@orcid.org)
- Josh Brown (j.brown@orcid.org)



SciENCv = Science Experts Network Curriculum Vitae

Vision- *Let investigators harvest their data from multiple systems to support funding applications, reporting and collaboration with less burden and complexity*

Goals

- **Reduce burden** of applying for federal funds and maintaining federal profiles
- **Track impact** of federal investments in science and scientist careers through scientist-curated data
- **Support collaboration and networking services** to find reviewers, collaborators, mentors, etc.

Products to date

- NIH biosketches, NSF biosketch, Ed IES biosketch
- Embedded XML
- Integration with ORCID, Fastlane, PubMed and eRA
- Bulk upload of citations from reference manager software
- Internal refinements: user testing, adopting agile software principles